

## Lecture XXXVIII: Regular local rings & Smoothness of Varieties

Last Time: We defined smooth / singular points of varieties over  $\bar{K} = \mathbb{K}$ .

Proposition: For every local ring  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  that is the localization of a  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra of finite type at a prime ideal, we have

$$\dim R \leq \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$$

where  $\mathbb{K} = \bar{\mathbb{K}} = R/\mathfrak{m}$

Theorem: Given  $X$  algebraic variety over  $\bar{\mathbb{K}} = \mathbb{K}$  &  $p \in X$  we have

$$\dim T_p X \geq \dim_p X := \dim \mathcal{O}_{X,p} = \max \{ \dim X_i : p \in X_i \}$$

if  $X = X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_r$  is the irreducible decomp of  $X$

Definition:  $p \in X$  is a smooth / non-singular / regular point  $\Leftrightarrow \dim T_p X = \dim_p X$

Q: What is the nature of a generic point of  $X$ ?

### §1 Regular local rings:

Remark: The crux of the proof of Proposition 2 §37.2 is Krull's Principal Ideal Thm. Thus, the statement is also true for Noetherian local rings.

Corollary: Given a Noetherian local ring  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  we have,

$$\dim R \leq \dim_{R/\mathfrak{m}} \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$$

In particular,  $R$  has finite Krull dimension

Furthermore, equality holds if, and only if  $\mathfrak{m}$  is generated by a regular sequence

(ie  $\{f_1, \dots, f_r\}$  st.  $f_1$  is a non-zero divisor in  $R$

$\cdot \forall i=1, \dots, r-1 \quad \bar{f}_{i+1}$  is a non-zero divisor in  $R / \langle f_1, \dots, f_i \rangle$ )

Definition: We say a Noetherian local ring  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is regular if  $\dim R = \dim_{R/\mathfrak{m}} \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$

For such rings, a regular system of parameters for  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a minimal set of generators of  $\mathfrak{m}$  (hence, of size =  $\dim R$ )

Theorem 1: A Noetherian regular local ring is a domain.

• We will show a slightly weaker result, and then use it to prove Theorem 1. We'll need the following definition:

Definition: Given  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  Noetherian local ring, the associated graded ring of  $R$ :

$$S := \mathfrak{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}} R = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \frac{\mathfrak{m}^k}{\mathfrak{m}^{k+1}}$$

Name:  $S_k := \frac{\mathfrak{m}^k}{\mathfrak{m}^{k+1}}$   $k^{\text{th}}$  graded piece

•  $S_0 = \frac{\mathfrak{m}^0}{\mathfrak{m}} = R/\mathfrak{m} = K$  (residue field)

•  $S$  is a ring with multiplication between graded pieces defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathfrak{m}^k}{\mathfrak{m}^{k+1}} \times \frac{\mathfrak{m}^l}{\mathfrak{m}^{l+1}} &\longrightarrow \frac{\mathfrak{m}^{k+l}}{\mathfrak{m}^{k+l+1}} \\ (\bar{a}, \bar{b}) &\longmapsto \overline{ab} \end{aligned}$$

(extended linearly to  $S \times S \longrightarrow S$ )

In particular,  $S_k$  is a  $K$ -vector space  $\forall k$

Remark: The construction is more general. For any A Noetherian ring &  $I \subseteq A$  ideal,

the associated graded  $\mathfrak{gr}_I R = \bigoplus_n \frac{I^n}{I^{n+1}}$  is a Noetherian ring & an  $R/I$ -module.

Theorem 2: If  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is regular Noetherian local ring, then  $\mathfrak{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}} R$  is a domain.

Furthermore, it is isomorphic to  $K[y_1, \dots, y_d]$  where  $d = \dim R$ .

Proof: Set  $d = \dim R$  & pick  $a_1, \dots, a_d$  generators for  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Since  $R$  is regular,

then  $\{a_1, \dots, a_d\}$  is a regular sequence. Set  $S = \mathfrak{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}} R$

By construction,  $\{\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_d\} \in S_1$  is a  $K$ -basis for  $S_1$ .

Define the graded  $K$ -algebra homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi: K[x_1, \dots, x_d] &\longrightarrow S \\ x_i &\longmapsto \bar{a}_i \end{aligned}$$

so  $\varphi(\mathcal{P}(x_i)) = \mathcal{P}(\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_d)$

By construction,  $\varphi$  is surjective.

Claim 1:  $\dim R = \dim \mathfrak{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}} R$  because  $R$  is a Noetherian local ring

Pf/  $\dim \mathfrak{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}} R = \dim R_{\mathfrak{m}}$  (see Exercise 13.8 in Eisenbud's Comm. Alg book)

Claim 2:  $\varphi$  is injective.

PF:  $\varphi$  is a surjective ring homomorphism between two rings of the same dimension, and the domain of  $\varphi$  is a domain.

A chain of prime ideals  $\mathfrak{P}_0 \subsetneq \mathfrak{P}_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq \mathfrak{P}_s$  in  $\mathfrak{g}_m R$  of length  $d = \dim \mathfrak{g}_m R$  yields a proper chain of prime ideals in  $R$  since  $R$  is surjective!

$$\mathfrak{q}_0 \subsetneq \mathfrak{q}_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq \mathfrak{q}_d$$

If  $\mathfrak{q}_0 \neq \{0\}$ , we can extend this to a maximal chain in  $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  of length  $s+1$  by  $0 \subsetneq \mathfrak{q}_0 \subsetneq \mathfrak{q}_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq \mathfrak{q}_d$ .

This cannot happen because  $\dim \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_d] = d$  by Theorem 1 §33.2.

Conclusion:  $\mathfrak{q}_0 = \{0\}$  so  $\mathfrak{P}_0 = \varphi(0) = \{0\}$  i.e.  $\mathfrak{q}_0 = \ker \varphi = \{0\}$ .

Proposition 1: If  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is Noetherian local &  $\mathfrak{g}_m R$  is a domain, then so is  $R$ .

Proof: We set  $S = \mathfrak{g}_m R$  & assume it is a domain. We want to show  $R$  is also a domain. We argue by contradiction & pick  $a, b \in R \setminus \{0\}$  with  $ab = 0$ .

By Krull's Intersection Theorem:  $\bigcap \mathfrak{m}^n = \{0\}$  (because  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is Noetherian & local). Thus,  $\exists i, j$  with  $a \in \mathfrak{m}^i \setminus \mathfrak{m}^{i+1}$  &  $b \in \mathfrak{m}^j \setminus \mathfrak{m}^{j+1}$ .

In particular  $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in S \setminus \{0\}$  &  $\bar{a}\bar{b} = 0$  in  $S$ . This cannot happen since  $S$  is a domain.  $\square$

Proposition 2: If  $p$  is a smooth point of an alg variety  $X$  over  $\overline{\mathbb{K}} = \mathbb{K}$ , then  $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$  is a domain. In particular,  $p$  lies on a unique irreducible component of  $X$ .

Proof: Write  $R = \mathcal{O}_{X,p}$  & let  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_p \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{X,p}$  be the maximal ideal. Recall that

$R/\mathfrak{m} \cong \mathbb{K}$  since  $\overline{\mathbb{K}} = \mathbb{K}$ . By Corollary 1,  $R$  is a Noetherian regular local ring.

- By Theorem 1,  $\mathfrak{g}_m R$  is a domain.
- By Proposition 1,  $R$  is a domain.

Claim:  $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$  is a domain  $\Leftrightarrow p$  lies in a unique irreducible component of  $X$

pf: Components of  $X$  correspond to minimal primes of  $K[X] = \mathcal{O}_X(X)$ .

\_\_\_\_\_ containing  $p$  correspond to minimal primes of  $\mathcal{O}_{X,p} = K[X]_{\mathfrak{m}_p}$

Since  $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$  is always reduced,  $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$  has a unique minimal prime  $\mathcal{P} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{P} = \{0\}$ , i.e. if & only if  $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$  is a domain.  $\square$

From Proposition 2, we get an easy consequence

Corollary 2: Every smooth hypersurface in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  is irreducible

## §2. Smooth Locus of Algebraic Varieties:

Definition: Given an algebraic variety  $X$  over  $\overline{K} = K$ , we write

$$X_{sm} := \{p \in X : p \text{ is a smooth point}\}$$

Theorem 3:  $X_{sm}$  is a dense open subset of  $X$ .

To prove the theorem we will do it in 2 stages:

- (1) Prove it for irreducibles (Proposition 3 below & Lemma 1 next time)
- (2) Show if  $X = X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_m$  is an irred. decomp, then  $X_{sm} \cap X_i \cap X_j = \emptyset \forall i \neq j$

(i.e., points in 2 irreducible components are always singular.) (Proposition 2 above)

Proof: For each  $i$ , set  $X'_i := X_i \setminus \bigcup_{j \neq i} X_j$ . By construction,  $X'_i$  is an irreducible variety (it is open in the irreducible variety  $X_i$ ). By (1)  $(X'_i)_{sm}$  is dense open in  $X'_i$ .

By (2)  $X_{sm} = \bigcup_{i=1}^m (X'_i)_{sm}$ , so  $X_{sm}$  is open dense in  $\bigcup_{i=1}^m X'_i$  (open in  $X$ )

But each  $X'_i$  is dense in  $X_i$  because  $X'_i \subseteq X_i$  is non-empty open &  $X_i$  is irreducible.

Thus,  $X_{sm}$  is open dense in  $X$ .  $\square$

Proposition 3: If  $X$  is an irreducible variety,  $X_{sm}$  is open in  $X$

Proof: Write  $X = U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_r$  for an affine open cover.

Claim 1: If  $X_{sm} \cap U_i = (U_i)_{sm}$  is open in  $U_i$ , then  $X_{sm}$  is open in  $X$

pf:  $(U_i)_{sm} \subseteq U_i$  is open &  $U_i \subseteq X$  is open, so  $(U_i)_{sm} \subseteq X$  is open

Thus  $X_{sm} \subseteq X$  is open because  $X_{sm} \cap U_i \subseteq U_i$  is \_\_\_\_\_  $\forall i$ .  $\square$

Claim 2: The statement is true for irreducible affine varieties

PF/ Write  $I(X) = \langle f_1, \dots, f_r \rangle \subseteq K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . It is a prime ideal &  
 $X = V(f_1, \dots, f_r)$  is irreducible. By Corollary 3 § 34.1  $\text{codim}_{A^n} X \leq r$   
In turn,  $\dim X \geq n - r$  by Theorem 1 § 33.2.

• Since  $X$  is irreducible, we know  $\dim_p X := \dim \mathcal{O}_{X,p} = \dim X = d \quad \forall p \in X$ .

• Next, we show  $X_{\text{sm}} \subseteq X$  is open.

Set  $\text{Jac}(\underline{f}, \underline{q}) := \text{Jac}(f_1, \dots, f_r, \underline{q}) := \left( \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(\underline{q}) \right)_{i,j} \in K^{r \times n} \quad \forall \underline{q} \in X$ .

By Proposition 1 § 36.1  $T_p X = \text{Ker}(\text{Jac}(\underline{f}, p))$  has  $\dim = n - \text{rk}(\text{Jac}(\underline{f}, \underline{q}))$

Since  $\dim T_p X \geq d$  we know  $\text{rk}(\underline{f}, \underline{q}) \leq n - d \quad \forall \underline{q} \in X. \quad \geq n - r$

Thus, all  $(n-d+1) \times (n-d+1)$  minors of  $\text{Jac}(\underline{f}, \underline{q})$  vanish whenever  $\underline{q} \in X$ .

Then  $p \in X$  is non-singular if and only if  $\dim T_p X = d$ , i.e.  $\text{rk}(\text{Jac}(\underline{f}, p)) = n - d$

Thus  $X_{\text{sm}} = X \cap \bigcup_{\substack{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, r\} \\ |I|=n-d \\ I' \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}}} D(\text{minor}_{I, I'})$  where  $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, r\} \quad (r \geq n-d)$   
 $I' \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$

index the rows & columns of the corresponding  $(n-d) \times (n-d)$  submatrix  $J_{I, I'}$  of  $\text{Jac}(\underline{f}, p)$  giving the  
minor  $\text{minor}_{I, I'} = \det J_{I, I'}$ . We conclude  $X_{\text{sm}}$  is open in  $X$ .  $\square$

• Next time: we'll see  $X_{\text{sm}}$  is non-empty.