

Lecture VII : Gröbner basis over valued fields

Recall: $0 \neq f = \sum_{u \text{ finite}} c_u x^u \in K[x_1^{\pm}, \dots, x_n^{\pm}] = S$ (K, val) valued field with a splitting $\Gamma_{\text{val}} \ni \delta \xrightarrow{\text{finite}} t^{\delta} \in K^{\times}$ (homomorphism).

We define $m_{\omega}(f) = \sum_u \overline{t^{\text{top}(f)_{(\omega)} - \langle \omega, u \rangle} c_u} x^u \in K[x_1^{\pm}, \dots, x_n^{\pm}]$ for $\omega \in \Gamma_{\text{val}}^n$

Lecture V $\rightarrow \sum_{u \in \Lambda} \overline{t^{-\text{val}(c_u)} c_u} x^u$ where $\Lambda = \{u \mid \text{top}(f)_{(\omega)} = -\text{val}(c_u) + \langle \omega, u \rangle\}$
for $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Note: In the first definition, we need $\omega \in \Gamma_{\text{val}}^n$ because otherwise there is no guarantee that $\text{top}(f)_{(\omega)} - \langle \omega, u \rangle \in \Gamma_{\text{val}}$, so can't use the splitting (= $-\text{val}(c_u)$) for $u \in \Lambda_{\omega}$ so only for those exponents, t^{\square} is well-defined!

Remark: $K^{\times} \rightarrow \overline{K^{\times}}$
 $a \mapsto \overline{t^{-\text{val}(a)} a}$ is a homomorphism of multiplication ab groups

Lemma 0: Given $f, g \in S \setminus \{0\}$ $\text{top}(fg) = \text{top}(f) + \text{top}(g)$

Proof: Write $g = \sum_v d_v x^v \implies fg = \sum_a (\sum_{u+v=a} c_u d_v) x^a$

$$\text{top}(fg)_{(\omega)} = \max_a \left(\underbrace{-\text{val} \left(\sum_{u+v=a} c_u d_v \right) + \langle \omega, a \rangle}_{= -\infty \text{ if } a \notin \text{supp}(fg)} \right)$$

but $fg \neq 0$, so at least one term in $\text{supp}(fg)$

Claim \downarrow

$$= \max_u (-\text{val}(c_u) + \langle u, \omega \rangle) + \max_v (-\text{val}(d_v) + \langle v, \omega \rangle)$$

$$= \text{top}(f)_{(\omega)} + \text{top}(g)_{(\omega)}.$$

Pt of Claim:

$$(*) \quad \text{val} \left(\sum_{u+v=a} c_u d_v \right) \geq \min_{u+v=a} \{ \text{val}(c_u) + \text{val}(d_v) \}$$

$$(*) \quad \langle \omega, a \rangle - \text{val} \left(\sum_{u+v=a} c_u d_v \right) \leq \langle \omega, a \rangle - \min_{u+v=a} \{ \text{val}(c_u) + \text{val}(d_v) \}.$$

$$= \min_{u+v=a} \{ \text{val}(c_u) + \text{val}(d_v) \} + \langle \omega, u \rangle + \langle \omega, v \rangle = \max_{u+v=a} \{ -\text{val}(c_u) - \text{val}(d_v) \}$$

\downarrow $\text{min} = \text{max}(-) + \langle \omega, u \rangle + \langle \omega, v \rangle$

$$\langle \omega, a \rangle = \langle \omega, u \rangle + \langle \omega, v \rangle$$

$$= \max_{u+v=a} \{ (-\text{val}(c_u) + \langle \omega, u \rangle) + (-\text{val}(d_v) + \langle \omega, v \rangle) \}$$

$$\leq \max_{u,v} \{ -\text{val}(c_u) + \langle w, u \rangle + (-\text{val}(d_v) + \langle w, v \rangle) \} = \max_u + \max_v$$

$$= \text{trop}(f)_{(w)} + \text{trop}(g)_{(w)}$$

so now take max of a in $(*)$ & get $\text{trop}(fg)_{(w)} \leq \text{trop}(f)_{(w)} + \text{trop}(g)_{(w)}$

(\Rightarrow) If $w \notin \mathcal{C}(V(f)) \cup \mathcal{C}(V(g))$, then $\text{trop}(f)_{(w)}$ & $\text{trop}(g)_{(w)}$ are achieved at unique monomials $c_u x^u, d_v x^v$ for $u \in \text{supp}(f), v \in \text{supp}(g)$

We claim: $c_u d_v x^{u+v}$ is a term in fg & so $\text{trop}(fg)_{(w)} \geq \text{trop}(c_u d_v x^{u+v})$

For this, it's enough to show that this term won't cancel with any others: Take $u+v = a$ & $(\sum_{\tilde{u}+\tilde{v}=a} c_{\tilde{u}} d_{\tilde{v}}) = \text{coeff of } x^a \text{ in } fg$.

If coeff = 0, then $\exists \tilde{u} \neq \tilde{u}'$ in $\text{supp}(f)$ & $\tilde{v} \neq \tilde{v}'$ in $\text{supp}(g)$ with $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \neq (u, v)$

$$\text{and } -\text{val}(c_{\tilde{u}}) - \text{val}(d_{\tilde{v}}) = -\text{val}(c_{\tilde{u}'}) - \text{val}(d_{\tilde{v}'}) \geq -\text{val}(c_{\tilde{u}}) - \text{val}(d_{\tilde{v}}) \quad (**)$$

(because the min valuations of the summands have to cancel!) $\forall \tilde{u} + \tilde{v} = a$

$$\text{But } -\text{val}(c_{\tilde{u}}) - \text{val}(d_{\tilde{v}}) + \langle w, a \rangle = (-\text{val}(c_{\tilde{u}}) + \langle \tilde{u}, w \rangle) + (-\text{val}(d_{\tilde{v}}) + \langle \tilde{v}, w \rangle)$$

$$\leq (-\text{val}(c_u) + \langle u, w \rangle + (-\text{val}(d_v) + \langle v, w \rangle)) \geq u+v=a$$

This contradicts $(**)$! \square

But the complement of $\mathcal{C}(V(f)) \cup \mathcal{C}(V(g))$ is a union of n -dim'l polyhedra in \mathbb{R}^n (see Lecture VI)

and $\text{trop}(fg)_{(w)} = \text{trop}(f)_{(w)} + \text{trop}(g)_{(w)}$ there.

Since both sides are continuous functions in \mathbb{R}^n , the equality holds in \mathbb{R}^n \square

Lemma 1: Given $f, g \in S \setminus \{0\}$, $\text{in}_w(fg) = \text{in}_w(f) \text{in}_w(g) \quad \forall w \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof: By Lemma 0, $\text{trop}(fg)_{(w)} = \text{trop}(f)_{(w)} + \text{trop}(g)_{(w)}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} I_f + I_g &= \{ u+v \mid \text{trop}(f)_{(w)} = -\text{val}(c_u) + \langle u, w \rangle \text{ & } \text{trop}(g)_{(w)} = -\text{val}(d_v) + \langle v, w \rangle \} \\ &= \{ a \in \text{supp}(fg) \mid \text{trop}(fg)_{(w)} = -\text{val}(\text{coeff}_{x^a}(fg)) + \langle w, a \rangle \} =: I_{fg,w} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{so } \text{in}_w(fg) = \sum_{a \in I_{fg,w}} \frac{t^{-\text{val}(\text{coeff}_{x^a}(fg))}}{\text{coeff}_{x^a}(fg)} x^a$$

$$\text{& } \text{coeff}_{x^a}(fg) = \sum_{u+v=a} c_u d_v \quad \text{& by } (*) \quad -\text{val}(\text{coeff}_{x^a}(fg)) = -\text{val}(c_u) - \text{val}(d_v)$$

$$\text{so } \text{in}_w(fg) = \sum_{a \in I_{fg,w}} \left(\sum_{\substack{u+v=a \\ u \in I_{f,w} \\ v \in I_{g,w}}} c_u t^{-\text{val}(c_u)} d_v t^{-\text{val}(d_v)} \right) x^a$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{a \in I_{fg,w}} \sum_{\substack{u \in I_{f,w} \\ v \in I_{g,w} \\ u+v=a}} \overline{c_u t^{-w(u)}} \overline{d_v t^{-w(v)}} x^a \\
 \text{Remark (P1)} \quad &\stackrel{\text{by } (*)}{=} \sum_{u \in I_{f,w}} \overline{c_u t^{-w(u)}} x^u \sum_{v \in I_{g,w}} \overline{d_v t^{-w(v)}} x^v \\
 &= \text{in}_w(f) \cdot \text{in}_w(g)
 \end{aligned}$$

and the result holds \square .

Note: If K has trivial valuation, then the proof is much simpler since the twistings don't play a role (in other words, we will have $\overline{\text{coeff}} = 0$ if and only if $\text{coeff} = 0$)

From now on, we shift from Laurent polynomials to honest polynomials & restrict to homogeneous ideals $I \subseteq R = K[x_0, \dots, x_n]$

Def: $I \subseteq R$ is homogeneous if $\forall f = \sum_i f_{d_i}$ where f_{d_i} is homogeneous polynomial of $\deg f_i = d_i$, we have $f \in I \implies f_{d_i} \in I \forall i$.

Note: Here, homogeneous refers to the standard grading, i.e. $\deg(x_i) = 1 \forall i = 0, \dots, n$

So $\deg(x^\alpha) = |\alpha| := \alpha_0 + \dots + \alpha_n \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{n+1}$.

Later in the course, we'll consider other gradings, including multigradings.

Def: Multigrading induced by a matrix $A \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times (n+1)}$

$$\deg_A(x^\alpha) = A\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^m$$

We will relate these multigrading & homogeneous ideals wrt these multigradings to torus actions of $(K^\times)^m$ induced by the lattice of rows of A .

Here, multihomogeneous = A-homogeneous

Eg: $f = x^3 - yz^2$ is A-homog for $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ & homogeneous degree = $(3, 3)$.

Def: If $I \subseteq K[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ is homogeneous, we define its initial ideal wrt ω in $\omega(I) = \langle \text{in}_\omega(f) : f \in I \rangle \subseteq K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$

Def: A set $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_s\} \subset I$ is a Gröbner basis for I with respect to ω if $\text{in}_\omega(I) = \langle \text{in}_\omega(g_1), \dots, \text{in}_\omega(g_s) \rangle$

Remark: Traditional Gröbner basis theory uses term orders (or monomial orders) $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \cdot \text{total order} \\ \cdot f < x^\alpha \forall \alpha \Rightarrow \text{well-order} \\ \cdot \text{in}_\omega(f) \text{ is always a monomial} \\ \cdot x^\alpha < x^\beta \Rightarrow x^{\alpha+\gamma} < x^{\beta+\gamma} \end{array} \right.$ & works with trivial valuation.

Examples.

- $\leq_{\text{lex}} x^\alpha \leq_{\text{lex}} x^\beta$ if first nonzero entry in $\beta - \alpha$ is > 0 .
- $\leq_{\text{dlex}} x^\alpha \leq_{\text{dlex}} x^\beta$ if $|\alpha| < |\beta|$ or $|\alpha| = |\beta|$ & $x^\alpha \leq_{\text{lex}} x^\beta$.
- $\leq_{\text{revlex}} x^\alpha \leq_{\text{revlex}} x^\beta$ if $|\alpha| < |\beta|$ or $|\alpha| = |\beta|$ & the last nonzero entry in $\beta - \alpha$ is negative.

Eg. $x_1 x_3 >_{\text{dlex}} x_2^2$ but $x_1 x_3 <_{\text{revlex}} x_2^2$

extends our earlier notion \rightarrow weight orders $\leq_\omega : x^\alpha \leq_\omega x^\beta$ if $\langle \omega, \alpha \rangle \leq \langle \omega, \beta \rangle$
 ω generic \Rightarrow we want $\text{in}_\omega(f)$ to always be a single monomial.

- block orders = Take orders on a partition of the variables & use lex order for the blocks.

Prop (Rothman, [1986]) Every monomial order in K is a lexicographic product of at most $n+1$ weight orders \leq_{ω_i} .

Prop [Bayer [1982]] Any monomial order can be approximated by a single weight order \leq_ω ; i.e. given a finite set of pairs of monomials $(m_i < n_i)$, we can find ω such that $m_i \leq_\omega n_i$ & \leq_ω is compatible with $<$ (i.e. $m_i <_\omega n_i \Rightarrow m_i < n_i$)

Why? Can use these monomial orders to develop a division algorithm in K . Using this:

- gives an algorithm to compute Gröbner basis wrt. $<$ (Buchberger's algorithm)
- Compute Syzygies, regular sequences in R -modules.
- Construct flat degenerations
- Test ideal membership
- Compute Hilbert functions
- Do elimination, given $\{x_1, \dots, x_s\}$ compute $I \cap K[x_1, \dots, x_s]$.
- Do saturation, projective closures, compute radicals, ...

[See Eisenbud's Commutative Algebra book [Chapter 15] for more on this...]