

Lecture VIII: Gröbner basis over valued fields II

Last time: $f, g \in K[x_1^{\pm}, \dots, x_n^{\pm}] \setminus \{0\}$, then $\text{trop}(fg)_{(w)} = \text{trop}(f)_{(w)} + \text{trop}(g)_{(w)}$

Use this to show $\text{in}_w(fg) = \text{in}_w(f) \text{ in}_w(g) \quad \forall w \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Q: What about the behavior of $\text{trop}()$ & in_w with respect to addition?

Example: $n=1 \quad f = 1+x, \quad g = 2-x \quad w = (1) \in \mathbb{R}^1. \quad K = \mathbb{C}$ with trivial valn.

$$\begin{aligned} \cdot \text{trop}(f)_{(w)} &= \max \{0, 1\} = 1 \quad \& \quad \text{trop}(g)_{(w)} = \max \{0, -1\} = 1, \text{trop}(f+g)_{(w)} = 0 \\ \cdot \text{in}_w(f) &= \sum_u \frac{c_u t^{-\text{val}(c_u)}}{c_u} x^u = x, \quad \text{in}_w(g) = -x, \quad \text{in}_w(f+g) = \text{in}_w(3) = 3. \end{aligned}$$

So $\text{trop}(f+g)_{(w)} \leq \max \{\text{trop}(f)_{(w)}, \text{trop}(g)_{(w)}\}$ & $\text{in}_w(f+g) \neq \text{in}_w(f) + \text{in}_w(g)$
 (in general: \leq)

Lemma 1: Assume $\{f_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ to be a finite collection in $K[x_1^{\pm}, \dots, x_n^{\pm}] \setminus \{0\}$ with disjoint supports pairwise

Fix $f = \sum_{\alpha \in A} f_\alpha \quad , \quad N = \text{trop}(f)_{(w)}, \quad W_\alpha = \text{trop}(f_\alpha)_{(w)}. \quad \text{Then}$

$$\text{in}_w(f) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \\ W_\alpha = N}} \text{in}_w(f_\alpha) \quad (*)$$

PF: Since the supports are pairwise disjoint, no cancellations occur & we conclude that $\text{trop}(f)_{(w)} = \max_{\alpha} W_\alpha$. The identity (*) follows by definition of w -initial terms. \square

For this, it's convenient to restrict to homogeneous ideals ($f = \sum_i f_i \quad \deg(f_i) = i$).
Claim: I has a set of homogeneous generators $\Leftrightarrow I \subset K[x_0, \dots, x_n] \quad f \in I \Rightarrow f_i \in I \quad \forall i$

Lemma 2: Fix $I \subset K[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ homogeneous ideal, $w \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Then, $\text{in}_w I$ is a homogeneous ideal in $K[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ ($K = \mathbb{Q}/M_w$) & we can find a homogeneous Gröbner basis for I .

Proof: • Homogeneity: Write $I = \sum_i f_i$ f_i homogeneous of deg i , so $\{f_i\}$ have disjoint supports. Then by Lemma 1, $\text{in}_w(I) = \sum_i \text{in}_w(f_i)$. $\text{in}_w(f_i)$ homogeneous of deg i .

Since I homogeneous, $f_i \in I \quad \forall i$, $\text{in}_w(f_i) = \text{trop}(f_i)_{(w)} \in \text{in}_w(I) \quad \forall i$. \Rightarrow This is the def'n of $\text{in}_w(I)$ in homogeneous pieces

In particular, $\text{in}_w I = \langle \text{in}_w(f) : f \in I \text{ homogeneous} \rangle \subseteq K[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ is gen. by homogeneous poly's, so $\text{in}_w I$ is homogeneous.

• Homog Gröbner basis:

Recall: $\{g_1, \dots, g_s\} \subseteq I$ is a GB if $\text{in}_w(I) = \langle \text{in}_w(g_1), \dots, \text{in}_w(g_s) \rangle$.

Since $K[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ is Noetherian, among $\{\text{in}_w(f) : f \in I \text{ homogeneous}\}$ which generates $\text{in}_w(I)$, we can pick a finite set that still generates it. This gives the homog GB \square

The following result will be crucial:

Key Prop: $I \subset R$ homogeneous, $w \in \Gamma_{\text{rel}}^{n+1}$, $g \in I$ homogeneous. Then $g = \text{in}_w(f) \Leftrightarrow \text{some } f \in I$.

Proof: Write $g = \sum_u c_u x^u \text{ in}_w(f_u)$ $f_u \in I$ homogeneous. $c_u \in k$. So

$$= \sum_u c_u \text{ in}_w(x^u f_u) = \sum_u c_u \text{ in}_w(\tilde{f}_u) \quad \tilde{f}_u \in I \text{ homogeneous}$$

$$\text{Pick } a_u \in K \quad \bar{a}_u = c_u, \text{ then } g = \sum_u \text{ in}_w(c_u \tilde{f}_u) = \sum_u \text{ in}_w(\tilde{f}_u)$$

so we may assume $\text{wt}(a_u) = 0$

$$\text{So } g = \sum_u a_u \text{ in}_w(f_u) \quad f_u \in I \text{ homog, all of the same degree} = \deg(g)$$

For each u , write $W_u = \max_{\text{finite}} \text{trop}(f_u)(w) \in \Gamma_{\text{rel}}$ because $w \in \Gamma_{\text{rel}}$.

Set $f = \sum_u t^{W_u} f_u \in I$ homog of degree $= \deg(g)$. Note: $\text{in}_w(t^{W_u} f_u) = \text{in}_w(f_u)$

Know: $\text{trop}(f)(w) \leq \max_u \text{trop}(t^{W_u} f_u)(w) = \max_u (-W_u + \text{trop}(f_u)(w)) = 0$.

- If $\text{trop}(f)(w) < 0$, then $\sum_u \text{in}_w(t^{W_u} f_u) = \sum_u \text{in}_w(f_u) = 0$ (it would have given the terms in f of weight $= 0$, but there are none!) This implies $g = 0 = \text{in}_w(0)$ ✓

- Otherwise, $\text{trop}(f)(w) = 0 \Rightarrow g = \sum_u w_u (t^{W_u} f_u) = \text{in}_w(\sum_u t^{W_u} f_u) = \text{in}_w(f)$

Natural questions: Fix $I \subset R$ homogeneous ideal
 $w \in \mathbb{R}^n \rightsquigarrow \text{in}_w(I) \subseteq k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ ideal

Q1: How many initial ideals are there? Finitely many?

Q2: Given w, w' , how to decide if $\text{in}_w(I) = \text{in}_{w'}(I)$?

Eg If $I = \langle g \rangle \rightsquigarrow$ Initial ideals \leftrightarrow dual complex to Newton subdivision of g

[monomials] \leftrightarrow max cells (ie $w \notin \mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{V}(g))$)

So Q1 & Q2 have a nice answer for hypersurfaces (principal ideals).

Idea: $I \rightsquigarrow \text{in}_w I$ is a (flat) degeneration & $\text{in}_w I$'s are simpler to study.

Towards Q2: need to iterate this construction, ie take initial w -terms in $k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ where we have $\text{rel}(k)$ trivial ("constant coefficient case")

This allows us to study how does in_w change under small perturbations of w .

Prop: Fix $f \in k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ $w, v \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. There exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\forall \epsilon'$ with $0 < \epsilon' < \epsilon$: $\text{in}_v(\text{in}_w(f)) = \text{in}_{w+\epsilon'v}(f)$.

Proof: Write $f = \sum_u c_u x^u$, $W = \text{trop}(f)_{(w)}$, so $\text{in}_w f = \sum_u \overline{c_u t^{-\text{val}(c_u)}} x^u$

By definition, $\text{in}_v(\text{in}_w f) = \sum_{u \in \text{supp}(\text{in}_w f)} \overline{c_u t^{-\text{val}(c_u)}} x^u$ $\text{val}(c_u) + \langle w, v \rangle = W$
 $\langle u, v \rangle = \text{trop}(\text{in}_w f)_{(v)}$

Write $W' = \text{trop}(\text{in}_w f)_{(v)} = \max_{u \in \text{supp}(\text{in}_w f)} \{-\text{val}(c_u) + \langle v, u \rangle\} = \max_{u \in \text{supp}(\text{in}_w f)} \{\langle v, u \rangle\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trop}(f)_{(w+\epsilon'v)} &= \max_u \{-\text{val}(c_u) + \langle w+\epsilon'v, u \rangle\} \\ &= \max_u \{-\text{val}(c_u) + \langle w, u \rangle + \epsilon' \langle v, u \rangle\} \leq W + \epsilon' W' \end{aligned}$$

Proof of (*): If $u \in \text{supp}(\text{in}_w f)$, this holds trivially:

$$-\text{val}(c_u) + \langle w, u \rangle + \epsilon' \langle v, u \rangle = W + \epsilon' \langle v, u \rangle \leq W + \epsilon' W'$$

$\& \Rightarrow \text{for some } u.$

If $u \notin \text{supp}(\text{in}_w f)$, then $-\text{val}(c_u) + \langle w, u \rangle < W$ & we can find $\epsilon' \ll 1$ with $-\text{val}(c_u) + \langle w, u \rangle + \underline{\epsilon' \langle v, u \rangle} < W + \epsilon' W'$.

Furthermore, the previous argument shows that for some $u \in \overset{\circ}{\text{supp}}(\text{in}_w f)$, equality in (*) is achieved, so $\text{trop}(f)_{(w+\epsilon'v)} = W + \epsilon' W'$ for $\epsilon' \ll 1$. Moreover, $\{u : -\text{val}(c_u) + \langle w+\epsilon'v, u \rangle = W + \epsilon' W'\} = \{u : -\text{val}(c_u) + \langle w, u \rangle = W \& \langle v, u \rangle = W'\}$ for $\epsilon' \ll 1$.

We can find $\epsilon > 0$ so that these sets are $=$ for any $\epsilon' < \epsilon$ & by definition $\text{in}_v(\text{in}_w f) = \text{in}_{w+\epsilon'v}(f) \quad \forall \epsilon' \leq \epsilon$. \square

Note: In later lectures we'll see that this proposition extends from (Laurent) polynomials to homogeneous ideals.