## Lecture VII: Deck Transformations & Galois conspondence

Last Time: We defined unicessal concerning: 
$$p: \vec{Y} \rightarrow Y$$
 conving set  $\forall j: \vec{z} \rightarrow Y$   
concing a  $j_0 \in \vec{T} \land z_0 \in \vec{Z}$  with  $p(i_0) = j(z_0)$  converses  
we have  $q: \vec{F}, \vec{Y} \rightarrow \vec{Z}$  Billing of part to  $q_1$  with  $f(j_0) = z_0$ .  
THE is the universe of the unique is to unique is to  
the universal concerning of a number of the universal is a they are the universal concerning of an universal concerning of a number of the upperbases on  $X, Y$   
THE I: Universal concerning of an uncertainty of a constrained  
or preventived.  
• Generalizations of THEELS is a useable the hypotheses on  $X, Y$   
• THEEL: Ensure to unique is  $\mathbf{F}$  is simply converted  
•  $p: X \rightarrow Y$  converted to the prevention of the imperiation of  $\mathbf{T}$  is a simply converted a density of the converted  
•  $p: X \rightarrow Y$  converted is  $\mathbf{F}$  in the set of  $\mathbf{F}$  one path converted  
•  $p: X \rightarrow Y$  converted is  $\mathbf{F}$  in  $\mathbf{F}$  one path converted  
•  $p = X \rightarrow Y$  converted in the lass of  $\mathbf{F}$  imply converted  
•  $p = X \rightarrow Y$  converted is  $\mathbf{F}$  in  $\mathbf{F}$  and  $\mathbf{F}$  one path converted  
•  $p = X \rightarrow Y$  converted is  $\mathbf{F}$  in  $\mathbf{F}$  or  $p$  is united  
•  $p = X \rightarrow Y$  converted is  $\mathbf{F}$  in  $\mathbf{F}$  or  $p$  is united  
•  $p = X \rightarrow Y$  converted is  $\mathbf{F}$  interval  $\mathbf{F}$  or  $p$  is  $\mathbf{F}$  or  $\mathbf{F}$  or  $\mathbf{F}$  in  $\mathbf{F}$  or  $\mathbf{F}$  is  $\mathbf{F}$  in  $\mathbf{F}$  or  $\mathbf{F}$  in  $\mathbf{F}$  or  $\mathbf{F}$  is  $\mathbf{F}$  or  $\mathbf{F}$  in  $\mathbf{F}$  or  $\mathbf{F}$  is  $\mathbf{F}$  or  $\mathbf{F}$  in  $\mathbf{F}$  or  $\mathbf{F}$  is  $\mathbf{F}$  or  $\mathbf{F}$  in  $\mathbf{F}$  or  $\mathbf{F}$  is  $\mathbf{F}$  or  $\mathbf{F}$  is  $\mathbf{F}$  or  $\mathbf{F}$  or

X 😁 9Febeck(X | Y) Remark . I permutes "pan cakes" over a connected open in Y P↓ ← • Since X is connected, given  $X_0, X, \in X$  with  $P(x_0) = P(x_1)$   $\exists at most me f \in Deck(X|Y)$  with  $F(x_0) = X$ , r 💿 Next goals ( Compute Deck ( F(Y) my galois covering) Build quotients X of  $\tilde{Y}$  & identify Deck ( $\tilde{Y}|X$ ) with subporeps of Deck( $\tilde{Y}|T$ ) ->> galois conespondence 37.1 Jalois Corrings. We are interested in special corerings, where points many given fiber can be related via a Deck transf. Definition: Assume X, Y are connected & Hausdorff. We say a coreing p: X -> Y is galois (alt. regular se normal) if given x., x, with P(xo)=P(x,) I fe Deck(XIY) with f(xo)=x, Remark 2: Some X is connected of F is a lift of p relative to p with fixed initial value f must be unique by Theorem 2 \$ 4.3. <u>Examples</u>: (1)  $p: \mathbb{C}^{\times} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$   $p_{(2)} = z^{k}$  is a concurring map. pis Jalois: IF 2"= 2" , then z = wz' for some well with wk=1 Then  $f: \mathbb{C}^{\times} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times} \xrightarrow{} w \xrightarrow{} w \xrightarrow{} is$  the corresponding element in  $\operatorname{Deck}(\mathbb{C}^{\times} \xrightarrow{} \mathbb{C}^{\times})$ (2) P: IH & exp D where IH &= 3 2: Re(2)<0} is a galois corning  $Deck(H^{\varrho} \rightarrow H^{\varrho}) = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{2\pi in}(z) = z + 2\pi in for n \in \mathbb{Z} \} \cong \mathbb{Z}$ The choice of terminology is not a coincidence . Theorem 1 : Assume Y is a connected manifold & let B: I - Y be its universal when  $(\Im \widetilde{Y} )$  is a connected mfld). Then, p is galvis &  $\operatorname{Deck}(\widetilde{Y} | Y) \simeq \overline{\operatorname{II}}_1(Y)$ . Proof: We show both claims separated a build an explicit group isomorphism. · Claim 1: p is galois: If Fix Xo, X, E T with P(Xo)=P(X,). Fix ! f lifting of p whatine to p with f(Xo)=X1 We need to show that f is a homomorphism. We do this by explicitly building f's showing it's untinuous

Similar maxing builds : 
$$g: \tilde{Y} \longrightarrow \tilde{Y}$$
 lefting of  $p$  atlating  
to  $p$  with  $g(x_1) = x_0$ .  
Thus for  $g, gof: \tilde{Y} \longrightarrow \tilde{Y}$  lift  $p$  rul to  $p$  with  $gof(x_0) = x_0$  a for  $g(x_1) = x_1$   
We conclude :  $fog = gof = id_{\tilde{Y}}$  by uniqueness of lifts ( $\tilde{Y}$  is consulted).  
• Rick  $\tilde{g}_0 = (g_0, \alpha) \in p^{-1}(g_0)$ , e.g.  $(g_0, [g_0, g_0])$ . We build an explicit map  
 $\tilde{\Phi}$ : Deck ( $\tilde{Y}|Y$ )  $\longrightarrow$   $\tilde{W}_1(Y, g_0)$   
 $\sigma' \longrightarrow [pod \sigma]$   
where  $\delta\sigma: [o, 1] \longrightarrow \tilde{Y}$  is any unice with  $\tilde{Y}_{\sigma}(o) = \tilde{g}_0$  a  $\tilde{g}(1) = \sigma(\tilde{g}_0)$ .  
 $\tilde{\Phi}$  is well-defined since [ $\delta\sigma_1$  is uniquely determined by the endpiects ( $\tilde{Y}$  is simply constants)  
a  $[pov] = [pov']$  if  $Lr] = [v']$  (if  $v \sim v'$  via  $H$ , thus pov  $v pov'$  via  $poH$ )  
•  $\frac{1}{\sigma_2}$  joining  $\tilde{g}_0$  a  $\sigma_1(\tilde{g}_0)$  in  $\tilde{Y}$   
 $\tilde{Y}_{\sigma_2}$  joining  $\tilde{g}_0$  a  $\sigma_1(\tilde{g}_0)$  in  $\tilde{Y}$   
Thus  $\sigma_1 \circ \delta\sigma_2$  joins  $\sigma_1(\tilde{g}_0) = \tilde{Y}_1 \circ \sigma_2(\tilde{g}_0)$  so  $\chi_0^{+}(\tilde{g}(\sigma_2))$  joins  $\tilde{g}_0 = \sigma_0 \circ \sigma_2$   
Thus  $\tilde{\Phi}(\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2) = [po \delta_{\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2}] = [po \delta_{\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2}] = [po \delta_{\sigma_1}] + [po \delta_{\sigma_2}] = 0$ 

• Uain 3: 
$$\Phi$$
 is injective  
 $SF/F(x \in with \Phi(\sigma) = [II_{30}]$  Then  $[Po\delta\sigma] = [II_{30}]$ .  
But  $u = Po\delta\sigma$  is null-humstopic  $wY = it$  has a unique lift to  $\tilde{Y}$  with  
 $\hat{u}_{(30)} = (y_0, [II_{30}]) = \tilde{y}_0$ . By construction,  $\hat{u}$  is a loop by Prop 1 § 5.1 (it lifts a  
null-humstopic loop) Uniqueness of lifts gives  $\hat{u} = \delta_{\sigma}$  and  $\tilde{y}_0 = \hat{u}_{(1)} = \delta\sigma(i)$ , so  $\delta\sigma$  is  
a loop around  $\tilde{y}_0$ . We get  $\sigma(\tilde{y}_0) = \tilde{y}_0$ . The uniqueness in Remark 2 gives  $\sigma = id\tilde{y}$ .  
• Uain 4:  $\Phi$  is surjective.

Sty Fix de E.(T, 4.) a v sopin Y based at yo with 
$$[v_1] = d$$
. Pick the  
unique lift  $\hat{U}$  of  $v$  relations to  $p$  with  $\hat{U}(0) = \tilde{g}_0 d$  white  $\tilde{g}_1 = \hat{U}(1)$ , so  $p(\tilde{g}_1) = \tilde{g}_0 P(\tilde{g}_0)$ .  
Thus, since  $p$  is Galois, we have  $\sigma \in Deck(\tilde{Y}|Y)$  with  $\sigma(\tilde{g}_0) = \tilde{g}_1$ .  
We can take  $\tilde{g}_0 = \hat{U}$ , so  $\Phi(\sigma) = [p_0 \tilde{V}_0] = [p_0 \tilde{U}] = [v_1] = d$   
Examples. (1) exp:  $C \longrightarrow C^{\times}$  is the universal convinged  $C^{\times}$  because  $C$  is simpley can  
a exp is a verticing (There is 86.1)  
object  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , write  $\tilde{G}_n: C \longrightarrow C$   $\tilde{G}_n(2) = \tilde{r} + 2\tilde{n}(n, kons & eq(\tilde{h}_1)) = exp(2)$ , so  
 $\tilde{G}_n \in Deck(C|C^{\times})$ .  
. From  $g \in Eeck(C|C^{\times}) = exp(\sigma(\sigma)) = 1$  so  $\sigma(\sigma) = 2\tilde{n}(n = \tilde{G}_n(\sigma))$   
 $\Rightarrow \sigma = \tilde{G}_n$  by uniqueness  
(actuaring :  $\pi_1(C^{\vee}) = Deck(C|C^{\times}) = 3\tilde{G}_n: n \in \tilde{r} + 2\tilde{n}(n)$ ,  $C^{\times} \circ V(\Gamma) = 2\tilde{n}(n = \tilde{G}_n(\sigma))$   
 $\Rightarrow \sigma = \tilde{G}_n$  by uniqueness  
(actuaring :  $\pi_1(C^{\vee}) = Deck(C|C^{\times} C^{\vee}) = 3\tilde{G}_n: n \in \tilde{r} + 2\tilde{n}(n)$ ,  $C^{\times} \circ V(\Gamma) = 2\tilde{n}(n = \tilde{G}_n(\sigma))$   
 $=\tilde{r} \sigma = \tilde{G}_n$  by uniqueness  
(actuaring :  $\pi_1(C^{\vee}) = Deck(C|C^{\times} C^{\vee}) = 3\tilde{G}_n: n \in \tilde{r} + 2\tilde{n}(n)$ ,  $C^{\times} \circ V(\Gamma) = 2\tilde{n}(n) = \tilde{G}_n(\sigma)$   
 $exp(n) X \in \Gamma$ , pick  $\tilde{G}_Y$ .  $C \longrightarrow C$   $\tilde{G}_Y(2) = 2 + Y$  homes  $\pi(\tilde{G}_Y)_{(2)} = \pi(2)$  the  
universal conving of  $\sqrt{\Gamma}$ .  
. Given  $X \in L$ , pick  $\tilde{G}_Y$ .  $C \longrightarrow C$   $\tilde{G}_Y(2) = 2 + Y$  homes  $\pi(\tilde{G}_Y)_{(2)} = \pi(2)$  the  
so  $\tilde{G}_Y \in Deck(C|C|_{T}^{\wedge})$ , we get  $\pi(\sigma(\sigma)) = \tilde{n}(\sigma) = 0 \in \tilde{G}/\Gamma$   
 $\nabla(\sigma) = Y = \tilde{G}_{10} \in T$  so  $\sigma = \tilde{G}_Y$ .  
(andusin  $\pi_1(C/p) = Deck(C|C|_{T}^{\wedge})$ , we get  $X \in T^{\vee} Y \cong T \cong Z \times Z$   
[Ansistent with  $C/T \cong S^{\vee} S^{\vee}$ .]

## § 7.2 Galois conespondence:

Our next goal is to build corning maps from subgroup of Deck ( $\tilde{Y}|Y$ ). This is the content of the "galois correspondence". In order to do this, we'll need the notion of a projer discontinuous action on locally impact spaces (eg monifolds)

Since p is a conving, we can lift v to  $\hat{u}(uniquely)$  relative to p with  $\hat{u}(o) = a$ . We need to check that  $f \circ \hat{u} = u$ .

Now, for a u byth lift v allatte to q. (go for 
$$u = por u = v = goa)$$
  
a catisfy for (c) = f(a) = u(o). By uniqueness of lifts, we let for  $u = u$   
 $\overline{tr}(z)$ , By contraction any  $h: \overline{Y} \rightarrow \overline{Y}$  homo with for  $h = h$  satisfies  
go for  $h = go f$ , so,  $poh = p$  is  $h \in Deck(\overline{Y}|Y)$   
(laim 3:  $f: \overline{Y} \longrightarrow X$  is the universal converged  $X$ . ( $\Rightarrow$   $T_1(X) = Deck(\overline{T}|X)$ )  
because  $X$  is constrained  
 $\overline{Y} \rightarrow \overline{Y}$  is the universal converged  $X$ . ( $\Rightarrow$   $T_1(X) = Deck(\overline{T}|X)$ )  
 $\overline{Y} \rightarrow \overline{Y}$  is the universal converged  $L$  addition  $\overline{T}$  is simply connected because  
 $g:\overline{Y} \rightarrow \overline{Y}$  is the universal converged  $L$  addition  $\overline{T}$  is simply connected because  
 $g:\overline{Y} \rightarrow \overline{Y}$  is the universal converse  $X$  (is a converted manifold (use Theorem 2 §G.))  
Since  $X$  is a connected manifold,  $h:\overline{Y} \rightarrow X$  is the universal converged  $\overline{Y} X$  (use Theorem 2 §G.))  
 $g:\overline{Y} \rightarrow \overline{Y}$  is the universal converse  $X$  (is a converged for  $f$   
 $a \in \overline{Y} - \frac{2!}{2} = 2$   
 $g:u = gog' = u = universal gog' = u = universal gog for  $f$   
 $a \in \overline{Y} - \frac{2!}{2} = 2$   
 $Y$  ( $\mu = g(2g)$ ) ( $\mu = g(2g)$ ) ( $\mu = g(2g) = g(2g) = g(2g) = g(2g) = g(2g) = g(2g) = g(2g)$   
 $Y = g(2g)$  with  $g(2g) = 2 = 2$  ( $g(2g') \circ g = p$ .  
 $Y = g(2g)$  ( $\mu = g(2g)$ ) ( $\mu = g(2g) = g(2g) = g(2g) = f(2g) = f(2g)$   
 $f = g' \circ g$ , as we wanted,  $u$   
 $F = g' \circ g$ , as we wanted,  $u$   
 $F = g' \circ g'$ , as we wanted,  $u$   
 $F = g' \circ g'$ , as we wanted,  $u$   
 $F = g' \circ g'$ , as we wanted,  $u$   
 $F = g' \circ g'$ , as we wanted,  $u$   
 $F = g' \circ g'$ , as we wanted,  $u$   
 $F = g' \circ g'$ , as we wanted,  $u$   
 $F = g' \circ g'$ , as we wanted,  $u$   
 $F = g' \circ g'$ ,  $f = T = \sigma(a) = a'$  ( $f = sme$   $F \in H$ , then  $f_{ab} = f = a'$ , is a galaxies,  $T = g'$ .  
 $F = g' \circ g'$ ,  $f = T = \sigma(a) = a'$  ( $f = sme$   $F \in H$ ,  $f = g' = a'$ ,  $i \in a \sim \mu a'$ .  
 $F = g' \circ g'$ ,  $f = T = \sigma(a) = f = g' = T = \sigma($$ 

Toposethis, we used an interlude to fixed-pt her proper disentimenon actions.  
Recall: G yroup a X a top space. A yroup action GGX is an action  
of 6 on the set IXI st g. : X -> X is a contenuous map for each gGG.  
Def: We say GGX is properly discontinuous if for each KCX empet  
the set 38 EG : g(K) NK 
$$\neq \emptyset$$
? is finite.  
Examples :(i) Z CR by translation.  
(2) SL<sub>0</sub>(Z) C H = 3 Im (2) >0? by Lowar fractional transf  
Austria important example is the following:  
Lemma 1: beck (TIY) C T is properly descontinuous (Same is true for any subprop  
Lemma 2: IF X is Handolff bradly compact & GCX is properly discontinuous  
the X can continue X =  $V_{H}$  = f=t; T ->>> X the quotient map  
. Since f is continuous, and F is commated than so is X.  
By Lemma 2, X is Handolff .  
Next, we define  $q : X ->$  Y via  $q(X) = p(a)$  if  $f(a) = X$ .  
(Laim 2, X is Handolff .  
Next, we define  $q : X ->$  Y via  $q(X) = p(a)$  if  $f(a) = X$ .  
(Laim 1:  $q$  is coll-def  
3F/ Pick X =  $T/H$  =  $q = 4$  with  $f(a) = x = f(a)$ . This means  
 $\exists \sigma \in H \subseteq Deck(TIY)$  with  $T(a) = a'$ . Then  $P(a) = f^{0} T(a) = f(a)$ .  
So q is well-defined.  
I define  $x = q$  is continuous.

SF/ Pick UEY open. Then: g<sup>-'</sup>(U) ⊆ X is open ⊂ T<sup>-'</sup>(g<sup>-'</sup>(U)) ⊆ T is open the spectrum open open the spectrum open th  $\varsigma'(U) = J \times \in X$  with  $\varsigma(x) \in U$  =  $JT(a) : a \in \tilde{Y}$  with  $P(a) \in U$ tt'(q'(u)) = 3acT with  $p(a) \leq U^{2} = p'(u)$  a this set is often in T. Chaim 3: q is a corring SF/  $\tilde{Y}$   $\tilde{G}$  ; contribut by H  $\gamma$   $\tilde{G}$   $\tilde{G}$  given yer, pick yever open & 30 ; to opens in ~ with (i)  $P'(V) = \bigcup_{j \in J} \bigcup_{j} Z$  (2)  $P|U_j : \bigcup_{j} \longrightarrow V$  home  $V_j$ . Take  $W_j = f(U_j)$   $W_j$  & remove repetitions to get a collection  $\int W_j f_{j\in J'}$ for some  $J' \in J$ . By construction  $W_j$  is often since  $Ti'(W_j) = \bigsqcup_{s \in S_j} U_s$ , where Sj:=3keJ | I JEH with U(Uk)=Uj]. In addition.  $q_{W}: W_{j} \longrightarrow V$  is home  $V_{j}$  since  $q_{W}' = T_{0}(\eta_{U_{j}})^{-1}$  is continuous. Claim 4 : X is a manifold & f is a covering 35/ Pullback the manifold structure of Y via the local homomorphism of To turn X inte a manifold. Then, we can use Theorem 1(1) \$7.2 & Claims to conclude that fis a covering. . Using Thm 1 (2), (3) \$7.2 & Claims 1 through 4 we conclude that  $H = \text{Deck}(\tilde{Y}|X)$ . \$ 7.3 . Proof of Lennas 1 & 2 \$ 7.2 Lemma I. Deck (YIY) CT is properly discontinuous.

<u>Broof</u>. We know  $G = beck(\tilde{\gamma}|\gamma) \ \mathfrak{G} \, \tilde{\gamma} \ by definition. To cleck it's properly disc., we ment to show that <math>|\beta g \in G : gK \cap K \neq \phi f| < \infty$  for each  $K \subseteq \tilde{\gamma} \ compact$ . Take  $K' = p(K) \subset \tilde{\gamma} \ compact$ . For each  $g \in K'$  pick  $V_g \subseteq \tilde{\gamma} \ for a g$ .  $3U_j^{(g)}f_{j} \in J_g$  has the definition of covering. Since K' is compact, we can pick

a finite set 1V1,..., Vn & covering K'& the corresp collections of opens in T 4 Ujiljet; These cover K, so we can restrict to a finite number of opens of Y corning K. Ł The may get with gKAK # \$ are the ones stabilizing one of the subcollections  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{m_i} \bigcup_{k=1}^{(i)} fra fixed index <math>\hat{z}_{z1, \dots, n}$ By the unit property of  $\tilde{Y} \longrightarrow Y$ , each pair  $U_{jk}^{(i)}$ ,  $U_{js}^{(i)}$  k/s is permuted by a single geb, so the stabilizer of each  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{m_i} \bigcup_{k=1}^{(i)}$  is finite. This implies that GCF is propuly discontinuous. Lemma Z: IF X is locally impact, Hausborth& GCX is properly discritinuous, thin XG ( with the quotient top ) is Hausdorff. 3F/ We show the statement by proving any  $X \neq X'$  in X can be reparated by two G-invariant open ubbas U > X & U'> X' (Ginnariant mous U=TT'(T(U))) . Claim 1. We can reduce to the case when U is open & U' is G-invariant. 34 Since  $U \cap U' = \phi$ , given any geo where  $\phi = g \cdot \phi = g \cup \Omega g U' = g \cup \Omega U'$ . We then get W=G.U is open, G-invariant, XEN & WNU'=\$, A · We start by picking U & V opens separating X & X' by the Hausdorff condition on X. Using the local compactness we find spensu, V, with  $\overline{U_1 \land V_1}$  compacts  $X \in U_1 \subseteq \overline{U_1} \subseteq U$  $x' \in V_1 \subseteq \overline{V_1} \subseteq V$ · Claimz: We have U, NgV, = \$ 10 all but finitely many geV. 3F/ Since K\_= UI & K\_2 = VI are compact & GCX is projuly disc, we have finitely many elements s,,..., Ss EG with K, Agi Kz = Ø. But this implies  $\cup_{i} \cap g \vee_{i} = \emptyset \quad \forall g \notin \{g, \dots, g_{g}\}.$ By Claim 2, we can purther shrink U, to ensure the remaining finitely many intersection

are empty. Indeed, since  $gV_i$  is compact & X is Hausdorff, then  $gV_i$  is closed. Since  $X \notin gV_i$  we can shrink  $U_i$  to get  $U_i \cap gV_i = \emptyset$  for each  $g \in SS_1 \dots SS_i$ .

This gives U, & U=UgV, as the neighborhoods of x & X' required in Claim 1. SEV