Lecture XXV: Riemann - Humits a Topplagy of compact R.S.
Recall Given we to
$$(1)^{(1)} \times 10^{\circ}$$
 on X compact Riemann surface, then $K = (w)$ is
call the commical divisor of X. It's unique up to linear equivalence
deg $K = \sum_{X \in X} K_{(X)} = 2g-2$ where $g = games(X) = dim H'(X, 0)$
 $= dim H'(X, D)$
525.1 The Riemann-Humits Theorem:
This X,Y compact RS a $f:X \rightarrow Y$ the constant holomorphic uses of degree n
 $\Rightarrow n = |f^{-1}(v_{0})| = \sum_{X \in F(v_{0})} Y(f_{X})$ where $Y(f_{X}X)$ is the multiplicit of
 $f + dx$. Usually near x by we write $F: \oplus \longrightarrow \oplus$ as $h_{(2)} = 2^{h}$.
Definition $b(f_{X}, x) = Y(f_{X}, x) - 1$ is called the Leanching state of f beta
 $h_{W} = W(f_{X}, x) = Y(f_{X}, x) - 1$ is called the Leanching state of f beta
In particular: F unbanneled at $x = 0$ $k(f_{X}) = 0$ $\Rightarrow Y(f_{X}) = 1$ (as f bead
humanisphism near x .
Lemman: (1) $D = \sum_{X \in X} b(f_{X}, x) = X$ is a divisor $n X$. (Leanding divisor off)
 $y = \sum_{X \in Y} b(f_{X}, x) = X$ (divide a divisor $n Y$ (wither holes off)
 $Y = \sum_{X \in Y} b(f_{X}, x) = X$ (action near off)
 $Y = \sum_{X \in Y} b(f_{X}, x) = dig D$ is a divisor $n Y$ (wither holes off)
 X is empact, so Supp D is discute a compact, in finite
Definition $b(F) := \sum_{X \in Y} b(f_{X}, x) = dig D$ is called the total homologing state off.
Theorem: (Riemann-Humits Tormula) In the above setting , we have
 $g_X = \frac{b(F)}{Z} + digne(F)(g_Y - 1) + 1$
Equivalently, $2g_X - 2 = b(F) + digne(F)(2g_Y - 2)$.

=) $2g_X - z = b(F) + bigaee(F) (2g_Y - 2)$ <u>Example:</u> $Y = \mathbb{P}^2$ a $F: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ is a digree n holdworphic non-curst map with X compact, we get $2g - 2 = b(F) + n(z \cdot 0 - 2)$ In particular, b(F) is even! $g = \frac{b(F)}{z} - n + 1$

Secied case:
$$n=2$$
, we say the map $f: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is a hypubliptic one (max in the later!)
Secied case: $n=2$, we say the map $f: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is a hypubliptic one (max in the later.!)
Secied case: $n=2$, we say the map $f: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is a planification of consectivity.
Our starting point is a dami frontion theorem of mintable closed services of consects:
Classification Then: Fix S as areat-ble differentiable closed services of consects:
Classification Then: Fix S as areat-ble differentiable closed services of consects:
Classification Then: Fix S as areat-ble differentiable closed services of consects:
Classification Then: Fix S as areat-ble differentiable closed services of consects:
Classification Then: Fix S are areat-ble differentiable closed services of consects:
Classification Then: Fix S are areat-ble differentiable closed services of consects:
Classification Then: Fix S are areated by fixed the closed services of consected verses of the closed services of consected verses of the closed verse of the closed verses of the closed verse of the closed

Definition: The Euler devaluation of a model scientable surface interest boundary is

$$\mathcal{X}(S) = i \left(\left(H^{\circ}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \right) - r \left(I H^{1}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \right) + r \left(H^{2}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \right) \right)$$
Obs: IF S has goins g, then $X(S) = I - 2g + I = 2 - 2g$
So explosion to handle.
Peopletion: Fix a compact z-manifold S (prosble with boundary) e assume
S has a triangulation A. Then $X_{(A,S)} = V - E + T$ with $V = 4$ reduces of A
 $E = 4$ edges of A
is invariant under enfirements of A.
Texthemase, if S is reintable whent boundary, then S can be triangulated g
 $\mathcal{X}(S) = \mathcal{X}(Q,S)$.
Proof (1) Need to see (RHS) is invariant under enfirements of triangulations
3 ways of explaining:
1) Subdivide an edge by adding a vertex \Longrightarrow need to subdivide the z
triangles entaining it $V' = V + I$
 $E' = E + 3 \Longrightarrow V' - E' + T' = V - E + T$
There z operations are called dementary enfirements of triangulations for boundary
3) IF S has a boundary A we have an edge $e \subseteq 3S$, we can add I
when the triangle is $V' = V + I$
 $E' = E + 3 \Longrightarrow V' - E' + T' = V - E + T$
There z operations are called dementary enfirements of triangulations for boundary
3) IF S has a boundary A we have an edge $e \subseteq 3S$, we can add I
where enfirmment operation : subdivide an edge e in $3S$ by adding a pt. Then
subdivide the liting e : $e \Rightarrow V' - E' + T' = V - E + T$
 $T' = T + 2$
There is the intervalue of the care of a set $X = 0$ of $X = 0$ and $X = 0$. So the explanations of $X = 0$ is the intervalue of the intervalue $X = 0$. Then $X = 0$ is the intervalue $X = 0$ is the intervalue $X = 0$ in S by adding a pt. Then
 $X = explanations is the individe an edge E in S by adding a pt. Then
 $X' = T + 1$$

Key: My z triangulations has a common infimement (superimpson them & add interes & edges that turn this decomportion into a triangulation).

(2) To prove part I, we need to show we can triangulate
$$\Sigma_g \& \operatorname{st} X_{(\Sigma_g)} = \overline{t}_{(\overline{\Sigma}_g)}$$
.
We can do this by induction on g .
• Basecases $g = 0 \& 1$ was due in examples above.
• Inductive step: Use $\Sigma_{g+1} = \Sigma_g \mp T^2$. We can take suit the
interior of any triangulation in the triangulation of $\Sigma_g \triangleq \Sigma_i = T^2 \& glue + 1 \text{ them.}$
The new triangulation will have :
 $V = V_1 + V_2 - 3$ (we identified writes on a triangles, we meanly side)
 $E = E_1 + E_2 - 3$ ($----glig_{15} - ------)$)
 $T = T_i - 1 + T_2 - 1$ (we removed a triangle on each side)
 $\Rightarrow V - E + T = (V_1 - E_1 + T_1) + (V_2 - E_2 + T_2) + (-3 - (-3) - 2))$
 $= 2 - 2 g + 0 + (-2)$
 $= 2 g = 2 - 2(g + 1) = X(S)$
Q: Haw can we compute $X(S)$ for S compact R.S?
A : Use polygon description of Σ_g given by the following topological model for Σ_g .

counter clock ise, with edges
$$q_1, b_1, q_1^{-1}, b_1^{-1}, q_2, b_2, q_2^{-1}, b_2^{-1}, \dots, q_g, b_g, q_g^{-1}, b_g^{-1}$$

identified accordingly.



Using this topplogical model, we can reprose the Rimann-Hurnitz formula. <u>Proof of Riemann-Hurnitz</u> We write a Triangulation for Y & use F to fift it to a triangulation of X We pick a triangulation of Y whom retries include all critical points of F. How can be build a triangulation of X from this?

Pictually:
beque F=6
B = entical volues of F = F(Brand divisor)
•
$$f_{|X-y|}(x)$$

B = entical volues of F = F(Brand divisor)
• $f_{|X-y|}(x)$
= $X \cdot F'(x)$ $Y \cdot B$ is an x-sheeted converse
= $f_{|X-y|}(x)$
= $TF \Delta \subseteq Y$ is a triangle, then $f'(Tat (\Delta)) \simeq \bigsqcup Tat(\Delta)$
= $Taking above of \bigsqcup f'(Tat(\Delta))$ we get a triangulation of X induced by f
• By refining the impact triangulation of Y (by stellar which initians), we may
assume each Δ m Y has at most 1 entical it as a patter.
• If $\Delta \subseteq Y \cdot S$, then Δ lifts to x triangles
= Vertices, Edges of Δ are also multiplied by x
• If Δ has a parter y is $B = X \in F'(y)$ is a branch of X is $f \in Y \cdot S$.
Then, the presimage of T wound X consists of k many Δ , cll $Y \leq I$
where x is the purimage of a cilical volue Y is $\sum_{X \in F'(y)} Y(f, X) = x$
 $\sum_{X \in F'(y)} I = \sum_{X \in F'(y)} (Y(f, X) - (Y(f, X) - I)) = x - \sum_{X \in F'(y)} b(t, X)$

$$\begin{array}{l} \Rightarrow \ \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{X}) = V_{\mathbf{X}} - \overline{\mathbf{E}}_{\mathbf{X}} + \overline{\mathbf{I}}_{\mathbf{X}} & by \ \text{Proprition} \\ = n \left(V_{\mathbf{Y}} - |\mathbf{B}| \right) + \sum_{i \in \mathbf{B}} \sum_{\mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{F}_{i}} 1 & -n \ \overline{\mathbf{E}}_{\mathbf{Y}} + n \ \overline{\mathbf{I}}_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ \text{suparate count practices in B must} & y \in \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{F}_{i} \\ = n \left(V_{\mathbf{Y}} - |\mathbf{B}| \right) + \sum_{i \in \mathbf{B}} \left(n - \sum_{\mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{F}_{i}} b(\mathbf{f}_{i,\mathbf{X}}) \right) & -n \ \overline{\mathbf{E}}_{\mathbf{Y}} + n \ \overline{\mathbf{I}}_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ y \in \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{F}_{i,\mathbf{y}} \\ = n \left(V_{\mathbf{Y}} - \overline{\mathbf{E}}_{\mathbf{Y}} + \overline{\mathbf{I}}_{\mathbf{Y}} \right) & -b \left(\mathbf{F} \right) & = deque(\mathbf{F}) \ \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{Y}) - b(\mathbf{F}) \\ Radposition \\ \text{Changing signs we get} & z_{\mathbf{0}}_{\mathbf{X}} - z = -\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{X}) = b(\mathbf{F}) + deque(\mathbf{F}) \left(z_{\mathbf{0}}_{\mathbf{Y}} - z \right) \end{array}$$