

REFLECTION GROUPS AND CAT(0) COMPLEXES WITH EXOTIC LOCAL STRUCTURES

MICHAEL W. DAVIS AND JOHN MEIER

ABSTRACT. We show that, in contrast to the situation for the standard complex on which a right angled Coxeter group W acts, there are cocompact W -actions on CAT(0) complexes such that the local topology of the complex is distinctly different from the end topology of W .

If \tilde{X} is a contractible n -manifold or homology n -manifold, then, since it satisfies Poincaré duality, its cohomology with compact supports, $H_c^*(\tilde{X})$, is concentrated in dimension n and is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} in that dimension. It follows that the homology at infinity of \tilde{X} is concentrated in dimension $n - 1$ and is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} in that dimension (see [?] for definitions and references for homology at infinity). More particularly, recall that a simplicial complex is a homology n -manifold if and only if the link of each vertex is a “generalized homology $(n - 1)$ -sphere” (i.e., a homology $(n - 1)$ -manifold with the same homology as S^{n-1}). So, the above argument shows that if the link of each vertex in an aspherical simplicial complex X is a generalized homology $(n - 1)$ -sphere, then the homology at infinity of its universal cover \tilde{X} is concentrated in dimension $n - 1$ and hence, \tilde{X} is $(n - 2)$ -acyclic at infinity. This shows that hypotheses concerning the local topology of an aspherical space can have implications for the end topology of its universal cover.

There are other local-to-asymptotic results for nonpositively curved complexes. If L is a simplicial complex, we let $\mathcal{S}(L)$ denote the set of all closed simplices of L , including the empty simplex. Let \tilde{X} be a (locally finite) CAT(0) cubical complex, and for each vertex $x \in \tilde{X}$, let L_x denote its link. If for each vertex x and for each closed simplex $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(L_x)$, $L_x - \sigma$ is m -connected (resp., m -acyclic), then \tilde{X} is m -connected (resp., m -acyclic) at infinity (see [?] and the references cited there). We call the complexes $L_x - \sigma$ the *punctured links* of \tilde{X} .

In recent work [?], we have shown there is a close connection between local topology and end topology of the standard complexes on which Coxeter groups act. The *nerve* of a Coxeter system (W, S) is the simplicial complex L with one vertex for each element of the generating set S and one simplex for each subset of S which generates a finite subgroup of W . As explained in [?], [?] or [?], associated to (W, S) there is natural cell complex, here denoted $|W|$, such that $|W|$ is a model for \underline{EW} and such that the link of each of its vertices is isomorphic to L (see [?] for the definition of \underline{EG}). This implies, for example, that if L is a triangulation of an $(n - 1)$ -sphere, then $|W|$ is a contractible n -manifold.

Date: January 15, 2004.

Key words and phrases. Coxeter groups, topology at infinity .

MSC 2000: 20F55, 20J05, 51E24, 57M07.

Davis was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0104026.

Meier thanks The Ohio State University for hosting him while on sabbatical.

If S is finite (which we shall henceforth always assume), then L is a finite complex and the quotient space $|W|/W$ is compact. It is proved in [?] and [?] that the natural piecewise Euclidean metric on $|W|$ is CAT(0). In [?] the authors established a direct correspondence between the topological properties of L and the asymptotic topological properties of $|W|$ (or of any locally finite building with associated Coxeter system (W, S)). For example:

Theorem. (See 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 in [?]) *Let W be a finitely generated Coxeter group with associated nerve L . Then*

- (1) *W is simply connected at infinity if and only if $L - \sigma$ is simply connected for each $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(L)$.*
- (2) *W is m -acyclic at infinity if and only if $L - \sigma$ is m -acyclic for each $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(L)$.*
- (3) *W is m -connected at infinity if and only if $L - \sigma$ is m -connected for each $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(L)$.*

Thus, not only do the connectivity properties of the punctured links $L - \sigma$ determine the connectivity at infinity of W (i.e., of $|W|$), the converse is also true. This leads to speculation that, in the general context of nonpositive curvature, similar asymptotic-to-local results might hold. For example, one might speculate that if X is a nonpositively curved, finite Poincaré complex with, say, extendable geodesics, then the links of vertices in X are forced to be generalized homology spheres (and hence, X is a homology manifold). Similarly, it could be speculated that if \tilde{X} is a nonpositively curved cubical complex (with extendable geodesics) and if \tilde{X} is m -connected (resp., m -acyclic) at infinity, then the punctured links of vertices must be m -connected (resp., m -acyclic). The purpose of this note is to give some examples which set such speculations to rest: there are no general results of this nature. (For further examples illuminating the difficulty of getting asymptotic-to-local results, see [?].)

The construction of our examples is essentially the same as the construction of [?]. We will show that by making minor modifications in the construction of $|W|$ one gets a model for $\underline{E}W$, \mathfrak{W} , with a CAT(0) cubical structure so that the connectivity properties at infinity do not descend to connectivity properties of links. In fact, in all of our examples $|W|$ will be a manifold while \mathfrak{W} will not even be a homology manifold. We show that $|W|$ and \mathfrak{W} are equivariantly proper homotopy equivalent, so if Γ is any torsion-free subgroup of finite index in W , then \mathfrak{W}/Γ is a nonpositively curved Poincaré complex that is not a homology manifold. For simplicity, we restrict our construction to right angled Coxeter groups, which we briefly review below.

Right Angled Coxeter Groups. A simplicial complex L is a *flag complex* if any complete graph in the 1-skeleton of L is actually the 1-skeleton of a simplex in L . The barycentric subdivision of any cell complex is a flag complex; hence, the condition of being a flag complex imposes no restriction on the topology of L — it can be any polyhedron. The importance of flag complexes in CAT(0) geometry stems from the result of Gromov [?, p. 122] that the natural piecewise Euclidean metric on a cubical complex is nonpositively curved (= locally CAT(0)) if and only if the link of each vertex is a flag complex.

Suppose L is a finite flag complex. For each integer $k \geq 0$, let $L^{(k)}$ denote the set of k -simplices in L and as before let $\mathcal{S}(L)$ denote the poset of all simplices in L (including the empty simplex).

Associated to L there is a group W defined as follows. For each $i \in L^{(0)}$ introduce a symbol s_i and set $S = \{s_i\}_{i \in L^{(0)}}$. W is defined by the presentation:

$$W = \langle S \mid s_i^2 = 1, s_i s_j = s_j s_i \text{ when } \{i, j\} \in L^{(1)} \rangle .$$

(W, S) is called a *right angled Coxeter system*. Its nerve is L .

The Cubical Complex $|W|$. For each $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(L)$, let W_σ denote the subgroup generated by the elements of S which correspond to vertices of σ . Then $W_\sigma \simeq (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{\dim(\sigma)+1}$. Set

$$WS(L) = \coprod_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(L)} W/W_\sigma .$$

$WS(L)$ is called the *poset of spherical cosets* (the partial order is given by inclusion). The complex $|W|$ is defined to be the geometric realization of $WS(L)$. There is an obvious left W action on $|W|$. The cubical structure on $|W|$ is defined as follows. There is one vertex of $|W|$ for each element of W ($= W/W_\emptyset$). For each spherical coset wW_σ , we then fill in a Euclidean cube of dimension $\dim(\sigma) + 1$ with vertices corresponding to the elements of wW_σ . (Note that the elements of W_σ can naturally be identified with the vertices of a cube of dimension $\dim(\sigma) + 1$.) The poset of cubes in $|W|$ is $WS(L)$ and the link of each vertex is L .

The geometric realization of the poset $\mathcal{S}(L)$ is denoted K . The inclusion $\mathcal{S}(L) \hookrightarrow WS(L)$ defined by $\sigma \mapsto W_\sigma$ induces an inclusion $K \hookrightarrow |W|$ and we identify K with its image in $|W|$. Similarly, the orbit projection $WS(L) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{S}(L)$ defined by $wW_\sigma \mapsto \sigma$ induces a projection $|W| \twoheadrightarrow K$ which factors through a homeomorphism $|W|/W \rightarrow K$. Thus, K is a fundamental domain for the W -action on $|W|$ and the orbit projection $|W| \rightarrow K$ restricts to the identity on K .

The geometric realization of $\mathcal{S}(L)_{>\emptyset}$ can be identified with the barycentric subdivision L' of L . Thus, K is the cone on L' (the empty set provides the cone point). For each $i \in L^{(0)}$, let K_i denote the geometric realization of $\mathcal{S}(L)_{\geq\{i\}}$, i.e., K_i is the closed star of i in L' . We call K_i the *mirror* of K of type i .

Here is another description of $|W|$. For each point $x \in K$ let $\sigma(x)$ be the simplex spanned by $\{i \in L^{(0)} \mid x \in K_i\}$. Then

$$|W| = (W \times K) / \sim$$

where the equivalence relation \sim is defined by $(w, x) \sim (w', x')$ if and only if $x = x'$ and $w^{-1}w' \in W_{\sigma(x)}$.

For a flag complex L that can be decomposed as $L = L_1 \cup L_2$, we will construct a different CAT(0) cubical complex \mathfrak{W} on which the associated right angled Coxeter group W acts as a cocompact reflection group. The complexes \mathfrak{W} and $|W|$ will have the same pro-homotopy type. However, the topology of the links of vertices in \mathfrak{W} can differ dramatically from that of the links of $|W|$.

The construction of \mathfrak{W} . Suppose that a finite flag complex L can be decomposed as the union of two full subcomplexes: $L = L_1 \cup L_2$. Set $L_0 = L_1 \cap L_2$. Since L_0, L_1 and L_2 are full subcomplexes of L each of them is a flag complex.

For any simplicial complex L and a point z not in L , let $C_z L$ be the simplicial complex defined by taking the cone on L with cone point z .

Let x_1, x_2 and v be points that are not in L and define new simplicial complexes:

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{L}_1 &= L_1 \cup C_v L_0 \\ \widehat{L}_2 &= L_2 \cup C_v L_0 \\ \widehat{K}_1 &= C_{x_1} \widehat{L}_1 \\ \widehat{K}_2 &= C_{x_2} \widehat{L}_2\end{aligned}$$

Let \widehat{K} denote the result of gluing \widehat{K}_1 to \widehat{K}_2 along $C_v L_0$.

In Figure ?? we show a simple example that highlights the difference between K and \widehat{K} . The original simplicial complex L is a circuit of length 8, and K is the cone on this octagon. We let $L_0 \simeq S^0$ be two antipodal vertices (indicated by dots in the figure on the right), and let L_1 and L_2 be the two simplicial arcs in L which are separated by L_0 .

FIGURE 1. The difference between K (left) and \widehat{K} (right)

Returning to the case where L is an arbitrary finite flag complex, we note that L is a subcomplex of \widehat{K} (we think of it as the boundary of \widehat{K}). Also, \widehat{K} is contractible (it is the union of two contractible pieces glued along a contractible subcomplex). The space \mathfrak{W} is defined by hollowing out each copy of K in $|W|$ and replacing it with a copy of \widehat{K} . Since K and \widehat{K} are both contractible, $|W|$ and \mathfrak{W} are proper homotopy equivalent; hence, \mathfrak{W} is also contractible.

Here is a more precise description of \mathfrak{W} . Recalling that for $i \in L^{(0)}$, K_i is the closed star of i in the barycentric subdivision of L (which is a subspace of \widehat{K}), we see that K_i is identified with a subspace of \widehat{K} . So, define \widehat{K}_i to be K_i . We then proceed as before. For each point $x \in \widehat{K}$, let $\sigma(x)$ be the simplex spanned by $\{i \in L^{(0)} \mid x \in \widehat{K}_i\}$ and let

$$\mathfrak{W} = (W \times \widehat{K}) / \sim$$

where the equivalence relation \sim is defined as before.

It is not difficult to define the cubical structure on \mathfrak{W} and to see that it is CAT(0). The vertex set is $Wx_1 \amalg Wx_2$. For $\alpha = 1, 2$ and for a spherical coset $wW_\sigma \in WS(L_\alpha)$, the vertices $wW_\sigma x_\alpha$ span a cube of dimension $\dim(\sigma)+1$. Also, for each spherical coset $wW_\sigma \in WS(L_0)$, we have a cube spanned by $wW_\sigma x_1 \amalg wW_\sigma x_2$. Its dimension is $\dim(\sigma) + 2$. (In particular, corresponding to the case where σ is empty, we have an edge from wx_1 to wx_2 .) For $\alpha = 1, 2$, the link of x_α in \mathfrak{W} is \widehat{L}_α . Since \widehat{L}_α is a flag complex, the cubical structure is CAT(0). We also note that the punctured link $\widehat{L}_\alpha - v$ is homotopy equivalent to L_α .

Remark. In [?] the above construction was used only in the case where L is a homology sphere and $L_0 \subset L$ is a homology sphere embedded in codimension one.

In the following examples we will always choose L to be a triangulation of an n -sphere and L_0 to be a codimension one submanifold triangulated as a full subcomplex. L_1 and L_2 will then be n -manifolds with boundary. (However, L_0, L_1 and L_2 need not be connected.) Since $L \simeq S^n$, $|W|$ is a contractible $(n+1)$ -manifold; however, \mathfrak{W} need not be a manifold.

Example 1. Suppose that L is a 2-sphere, that L_1 is an annulus (a collared neighborhood of the equator) and that L_2 is the disjoint union of the two 2-disks (neighborhoods of the north and south poles). Then $L_0 = L_1 \cap L_2$ is the disjoint union of two circles, and \widehat{L}_1 is an annulus with its boundary coned off. So, \widehat{L}_1 is homeomorphic to a 2-sphere with two points identified. In particular, the link \widehat{L}_1 is not simply connected ($\pi_1(\widehat{L}_1) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$). Similarly, \widehat{L}_2 is the wedge of two 2-spheres. The punctured links L_1 and L_2 are also not simply connected. Nevertheless, the theorem quoted at the beginning implies that $|W|$ (and hence \mathfrak{W}) is simply connected at infinity, since the nerve L is a 2-sphere.

Example 2. Suppose L is an n -sphere and L_0 is a codimension one submanifold separating L into two pieces L_1 and L_2 . Then \mathfrak{W} is $(n-1)$ -connected at infinity by the theorem quoted at the beginning. On the other hand, $\widetilde{H}_*(\widehat{L}_1) \simeq H_*(L_1, L_0)$ can be nonzero in any dimension $< n$. Similarly, the homology of the punctured link L_1 is fairly arbitrary.

A true optimist might believe that these examples occur because there are two W -orbits of vertices, and that if W acts transitively on the 0-skeleton, then such examples disappear. The following modified version of our construction shows that this speculation is also false.

A construction with only one vertex orbit. Suppose L_0 is a subcomplex of L_1 and that t is a simplicial involution on L_0 . Let L denote the result of gluing together two copies of L_1 along L_0 via the map t . Call the two copies L_1 and L_2 . Then t extends to an involution on L (also denoted t) that interchanges L_1 and L_2 . Let W be the right angled Coxeter group associated to L . Let G denote the semidirect product, $G = W \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$. Here \mathbb{Z}_2 acts on the vertex set of L (the generating set of W) via t . The W -action on \mathfrak{W} extends to a G -action. Now there is only one G -orbit of vertices.

Example 3. Suppose that L_1 is the solid torus, $L_1 = D^2 \times S^1$ and that L_0 is its boundary, $L_0 = S^1 \times S^1$. Let $t : S^1 \times S^1 \rightarrow S^1 \times S^1$ be the involution which switches the factors. Then $L = S^3$ and \mathfrak{W} is 2-connected at infinity. The link of each vertex is isomorphic to \widehat{L}_1 . However, $H_2(\widehat{L}_1) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ and although $\pi_1(\widehat{L}_1) \simeq 0$, for the punctured link, L_1 , we have $\pi_1(L_1) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$.

Remark. We note that there is a simple method of altering the local topology of $|W|$ so that the connectivity of the links does not coincide with the connectivity at infinity: Form $|W|'$ by attaching a copy of $[0, 1]$ (or $[0, \infty)$) to each vertex of $|W|$. If one attaches unit intervals, then the resulting complex does not have extendable geodesics; if one attaches half lines, then the resulting complex is not cocompact. Further, while the complex $|W|'$ deformation retracts onto $|W|$, $|W|$ does not sit as a retract inside \mathfrak{W} .

REFERENCES

- [1] F.D. Ancel, M.W. Davis and C.R. Guilbault, CAT(0) reflection manifolds, in *Geometric Topology (Athens, GA, 1993)* AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math., volume 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI 1997, pp. 441–445.
- [2] N. Brady, J. McCammond, and J. Meier, Local-to-asymptotic topology for cocompact CAT(0) complexes, preprint.
- [3] M.W. Davis, Groups generated by reflections and aspherical manifolds not covered by Euclidean space. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **117** (1983), no. 2, 293–324.

- [4] M.W. Davis, Buildings are CAT(0) in *Geometry and Cohomology in Group Theory (Durham, 1994)*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **252**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1998, pp. 108–123,
- [5] M.W. Davis and J. Meier, The topology at infinity of Coxeter groups and buildings, to appear, *Comment. Math. Helv.*
- [6] M. Gromov, Hyperbolic groups, in *Essays in Group Theory* (ed. S.M. Gersten) M.S.R.I. Publ. **8** Springer-Verlag (Berlin, Heidelberg, New York), 1987, pp. 75–264.
- [7] W. Lück, *Transformation groups and algebraic K-theory*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1408, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [8] G. Moussong, Hyperbolic Coxeter groups, PhD thesis, The Ohio State University, 1988.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, 231 W. 18TH AVE, COLUMBUS, OH 43210

E-mail address: `mdavis@math.ohio-state.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LAFAYETTE COLLEGE, EASTON, PA 18042

E-mail address: `meierj@lafayette.edu`