

Action dimensions of some simple complexes of groups

MICHAEL DAVIS

(joint work with Giang Le, Kevin Schreve)

The *geometric dimension* of a discrete torsion-free group G is the smallest dimension of a model for BG by a CW complex. This number equals $\text{cd } G$, the cohomological dimension of G , provided $\text{cd } G \neq 2$. The *action dimension* of G , denoted $\text{actdim } G$ is the smallest dimension of a model for BG by a manifold with boundary (possibly empty boundary). In other words, $\text{actdim } G$ is the minimum dimension of a thickening of a model for BG to a manifold. It follows that $\text{gdim } G \leq \text{actdim } G$ with equality if and only if BG is homotopy equivalent to a closed manifold. On general principles, $\text{actdim } G \leq 2 \text{gdim } G$.

In [2], Bestvina, Kapovich, and Kleiner defined a number which is a lower bound for the action dimension of G called its “obstructor dimension,” denoted $\text{obdim } G$. It is based on the classical van Kampen obstruction for embedding a simplicial complex K into a euclidean space of given dimension. Let $\mathcal{C}(K)$ denote the configuration space of unordered pairs of distinct points in K , i.e., if $\Delta \subset K \times K$ is the diagonal, then $\mathcal{C}(K)$ is the quotient of $(K \times K) - \Delta$ by the free involution which interchanges the factors. Let $c : \mathcal{C}(K) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}P^\infty$ classify the double cover and let w_1 be the generator of $H^1(\mathbf{R}P^\infty; \mathbb{Z}/2)$. The *van Kampen obstruction* of K in degree m is the cohomology class $\text{vk}^m(K) := c^*(w_1)^m \in H^m(\mathcal{C}(K); \mathbb{Z}/2)$. It is an obstruction to embedding K in \mathbf{R}^m . Let $\text{Cone } K$ stand for the cone of infinite radius with base K , i.e., $K \times [0, \infty)/K \times 0$. The simplicial complex K is an *m-obstructor* for G if $\text{Cone } K$ coarsely embeds in EG (or, more precisely, in some contractible geodesic space on which G acts properly) and if $\text{vk}^m(K) \neq 0$. Define $\text{obdim } G = 2 + \max\{m \mid \text{there is an } m\text{-obstructor } K \text{ for } G\}$. The main result of [2] is that $\text{obdim } G \leq \text{actdim } G$.

A *simple complex of groups* over a poset \mathcal{Q} is a functor $G\mathcal{Q}$ from \mathcal{Q} to the category of groups and monomorphisms. The group G_σ associated to the element $\sigma \in \mathcal{Q}$ is called the *local group* at σ . One can glue together the classifying spaces BG_σ , with $\sigma \in \mathcal{Q}$, to form a space $BG\mathcal{Q}$ called the *aspherical realization* of $G\mathcal{Q}$. Assume the geometric realization of \mathcal{Q} is simply connected. Then van Kampen’s Theorem implies that $\pi_1(BG\mathcal{Q})$ is the direct limit G of $G\mathcal{Q}$. The $K(G, 1)$ -Question for $G\mathcal{Q}$ asks if $BG\mathcal{Q}$ is aspherical, i.e., if it is homotopy equivalent to BG . If, whenever $\tau < \sigma$, we have $\dim BG_\tau < \dim BG_\sigma$, then $\dim BG\mathcal{Q} = \max\{\dim BG_\sigma \mid \sigma \in \mathcal{Q}\}$. If the answer to the $K(G, 1)$ -Question is affirmative, then, supposing each BG_σ has minimum dimension $\text{gdim } G_\sigma$, we see $\text{gdim } G = \max\{\text{gdim } G_\sigma \mid \sigma \in \mathcal{Q}\}$. Given models for the BG_σ by manifolds with boundary M_σ and “dual disks” D_σ , one can glue together the $M_\sigma \times D_\sigma$ to get a manifold model for $BG\mathcal{Q}$. Hence, when the $K(G, 1)$ -Question has a positive answer, we get a manifold model for BG and hence, an upper bound for $\text{actdim } G$. Note that these methods only work when the $K(G, 1)$ -Question has a positive answer.

In most applications \mathcal{Q} will be a poset of the form $\mathcal{S}(L)$, where L is a simplicial complex and $\mathcal{S}(L)$ means the poset of simplices in L (including the empty simplex).

Our first two examples of such simple complexes of groups are “Artin complexes” and “graph product complexes”.

One associates to a Coxeter system (W, S) a simplicial complex L called its “nerve”. The vertex set of L is S and its simplices are the subsets of S which generate finite subgroups (such subgroups are said to be “spherical” Coxeter groups). There is an Artin group A associated to (W, S) and for each $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(L)$ there is an associated “spherical” Artin group A_σ . The functor $\sigma \mapsto A_\sigma$ defines a simple complex of groups $AS(L)$ called the *Artin complex*. The direct limit of $AS(L)$ is the *Artin group* A associated to (W, S) . The $K(\pi, 1)$ -Question for $AS(L)$ was raised in [4]. Although the answer is not known in general, it was proved in [4] that the answer is positive whenever L is a flag complex. In particular, since L is a flag complex whenever A is right-angled (i.e., when A is a “RAAG”), the $K(\pi, 1)$ -Question has a positive answer for RAAGs.

Given a simplicial complex L of dimension d , there is another simplicial complex OL of the same dimension called the *octahedralization* of L . It is formed by replacing each simplex of L by a join of 0-spheres. In the case of a RAAG A associated to a flag complex L , OL is the link of a vertex in the standard model for BA as a union of tori.

Lemma. ([1, Theorems 5.1 and 5.2]). *Suppose L is a flag complex of dimension d . Then $\text{vk}^{2d}(OL) \neq 0$ if and only if $H_d(L; \mathbb{Z}/2) \neq 0$. (Hence, OL is a $2d$ -obstructor for the associated RAAG.)*

In the case of a general Artin group A , the relevant obstructor is defined by the simplicial complex L_\circlearrowleft of standard abelian subgroups of A (cf. [6]). It is a certain subdivision of L . Moreover, the cone on its octahedralization coarsely embeds in EA . By the Lemma, if $H_d(L; \mathbb{Z}/2) \neq 0$, then $\text{vk}^{2d}(OL_\circlearrowleft) \neq 0$ and hence, $\text{obdim } A = 2d + 2$. Using this and the gluing method in Le’s thesis [9] we get the following.

Theorem 1. *Suppose the $K(\pi, 1)$ -Question has a positive answer for the Artin complex $AS(L)$. Let d denote the dimension of L .*

- (1) *(Proved in [6]). If $H_d(L; \mathbb{Z}/2) \neq 0$, then $\text{actdim } A = \text{obdim } A = 2d + 2 = 2 \text{gdim } A$.*
- (2) *(Proved in [9]). If L embeds in some d -dimensional contractible complex (this implies $H_d(L; \mathbb{Z}/2) \neq 0$), then $\text{actdim } A \leq 2d + 1$.*

Suppose $\{G_v\}_{v \in \text{Vert } L^1}$ is a collection of groups indexed by the vertex set of a simplicial graph L^1 . Let L be the flag complex (i.e., the clique complex) of L^1 . For each $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(L)$, G_σ denotes the product of the G_v , with $v \in \text{Vert } \sigma$. There is simple complex of groups $GS(L)$ over $\mathcal{S}(L)$, called the *graph product complex*, defined by $\sigma \mapsto G_\sigma$. The group $G := \lim GS(L)$ is the *graph product* of the G_v . Note that G_v and G_w commute in G if and only if $\{v, w\}$ spans an edge of L^1 . When each G_v is equal to $\mathbb{Z}/2$, then G is the *right-angled Coxeter group* associated to L^1 . When each G_v is infinite cyclic, G is the RAAG associated to L^1 .

Consider the case, where each BG_v is a closed aspherical m -manifold M_v . Provided that we also assume that the ideal boundary of the universal cover of each

M_v is S^{m-1} , then the appropriate candidate for an obstructor is the complex $\tilde{O}L$ formed by taking the polyhedral join of $(m-1)$ -spheres over L . Its dimension is $\tilde{O}L = m(d+1) - 2$. We show that $\tilde{O}L$ is an $((m+1)(d+1) - 2)$ -obstructor for G if and only if $H_d(L; \mathbb{Z}/2) \neq 0$. This gives the following.

Theorem 2. *Suppose G is a graph product of closed m -manifold groups as above. Then $\text{gdim } G = m(d+1)$. Moreover,*

- (1) *If $H_d(L; \mathbb{Z}/2) \neq 0$, then $\text{actdim } A = \text{obdim } A = (m+1)(d+1)$.*
- (2) *If L embeds in some d -dimensional contractible complex, then $\text{actdim } G \leq (m+1)(d+1) - 1$.*

Details for these theorems and related results will appear in [7].

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Avramidi, M.W. Davis, B. Okun and K. Schreve, *The action dimension of right-angled Artin groups*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **48** (1) (2016), 115-126.
- [2] M. Bestvina, M. Kapovich and B. Kleiner, *Van Kampen's embedding obstruction for discrete groups*, Invent. Math., **150** (2) (2002), 219-235.
- [3] M. Bridson and A. Haefliger, *Metric Spaces of Non-positive Curvature*, Springer, New York, 1999.
- [4] R. Charney and M.W. Davis, *The $K(\pi, 1)$ -problem for hyperplane complements associated to infinite reflection groups*, JAMS **8** (1995), 597-627.
- [5] M.W. Davis, *The Geometry and Topology of Coxeter Groups*, London Math. Soc. Monograph Series, vol. 32, Princeton Univ. Press, 2008.
- [6] M.W. Davis and J. Huang, *Determining the action dimension of an Artin group by using its complex of abelian subgroups*, Bulletin London Math. Soc. (2017).
- [7] M.W. Davis, G. Le and K. Schreve, *Action dimensions of simple complexes of groups*, in preparation.
- [8] P. Deligne, *Les immeubles des groupes de tresses généralisés*, Invent. Math. **17** (1972), 273-302.
- [9] G. Le, *The action dimension of Artin groups*, PhD thesis, Department of Mathematics, Ohio State University, 2016.