

## ON CONFORMALLY SYMMETRIC MANIFOLDS WITH METRICS OF INDICES 0 AND 1.

By A. DERDZIŃSKI and W. ROTER.

§1. **Introduction.** An  $n$ -dimensional ( $n \geq 4$ ) Riemannian manifold  $M$  with a metric  $g_{ij}$  (which need not be positive definite) is said to be conformally symmetric [1]<sup>1</sup> if its Weyl's conformal curvature tensor

$$(1) \quad C^h_{ijk} = R^h_{ijk} - (g_{ij}R^h_k - g_{ik}R^h_j + \delta^h_k R_{ij} - \delta^h_j R_{ik})/(n-2) \\ + R(\delta^h_k g_{ij} - \delta^h_j g_{ik})/(n-1)(n-2)$$

is parallel, i.e.

$$(2) \quad C^h_{ijk,t} = 0.$$

Clearly the class of conformally symmetric manifolds contains all conformally flat as well as all locally symmetric manifolds of dimension  $n \geq 4$ . In this paper we are interested in Riemannian manifolds which are essentially conformally symmetric, that is, lie beyond the two classes mentioned above. Their existence has been proved by the second-named author in [5] (see also [6]). In Section 3 of this paper we prove that essentially conformally symmetric  $n$ -manifolds ( $n \geq 4$ ) cannot have a positive definite metric (which has been proved in [6] by a similar argument for  $n \geq 5$ ). Section 4 is devoted to essentially conformally symmetric manifolds with metrics of index one. Roughly speaking, we prove there that such a manifold always admits a field of tangent isotropic lines. We recall the result of Tanno ([9], Theorem 6), which will be used below: Any non-conformally flat conformally symmetric manifold has a constant scalar curvature.

Throughout this paper, by a manifold we shall mean a connected and paracompact Hausdorff manifold of class  $C^\infty$  or analytic.

### §2. Some lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** *Let an (algebraic) tensor  $A_{lmhs_1 \dots s_p}$  of type  $(0, P+3)$  be symmetric in  $(l, m)$  and skew-symmetric in  $(m, h)$ . Then  $A_{lmhs_1 \dots s_p} = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Fix  $s_1, \dots, s_p$  and set  $B_{lmh} = A_{lmhs_1 \dots s_p}$ . We have  $B_{lmh} = B_{mth} = -B_{mhl} = -B_{hml} = B_{hlm} = B_{lhm} = -B_{lmh}$ , so  $B_{lmh} = 0$ , as desired.

**Lemma 2.** *The Weyl's conformal curvature tensor satisfies the relations*

$$(3) \quad C_{hijk} = -C_{ihjk} = -C_{hikj} = C_{jkh i},$$

$$(4) \quad C^h_{ijk} + C^h_{jki} + C^h_{kij} = 0, \quad C^r_{ijr} = C^r_{irk} = C^r_{rjk} = 0,$$

$$(5) \quad C^r_{ijk,r} = (n-3)[R_{ij,k} - R_{ik,j} - (R_{,k}g_{ij} - R_{,j}g_{ik})/2(n-1)]/(n-2).$$

**Lemma 3** ([4], Lemma 1). *Every conformally symmetric Riemannian manifold*

Received July 12, 1976.

1) Numbers in brackets refer to the references at the end of the paper.

satisfies the condition

$$(6) \quad R_{rj}R^r_{ikl} + R_{rk}R^r_{ilj} + R_{rl}R^r_{ijk} = 0.$$

**Lemma 4.** Every conformally symmetric Riemannian manifold satisfies the relations

$$(7) \quad R_{rj}C^r_{ikl} + R_{rk}C^r_{ilj} + R_{rl}C^r_{ijk} = 0,$$

$$(8) \quad R_{rj,p}C^r_{ikl} + R_{rk,p}C^r_{ilj} + R_{rl,p}C^r_{ijk} = 0.$$

The proof follows immediately from (6), (1) and (2).

*Remark.* Formulae (6) and (7) remain true under the assumption  $C^r_{ijk,r} = 0$  (see proof of Lemma 1 of [4]).

**Lemma 5.** Let  $M$  be a conformally symmetric manifold of constant scalar curvature. For any positive integer  $K$  we have

$$(9) \quad R_{rk,s_1\dots s_K}C^r_{lmp} = 0.$$

*Proof.* Differentiating (2) covariantly and making use of the Ricci identity, we obtain

$$(10) \quad C_{rijk}R^r_{hlm,p} + C_{hrjk}R^r_{ilm,p} + C_{hirk}R^r_{jlm,p} + C_{hijr}R^r_{klm,p} = 0.$$

But the last relation, in virtue of

$$(11) \quad R^h_{ijk,p} = (g_{ij}R^h_{k,p} - g_{ik}R^h_{j,p} + \delta^h_k R_{ij,p} - \delta^h_j R_{ik,p})/(n-2),$$

which is a consequence of (1) and  $R = \text{const.}$ , leads immediately to

$$(12) \quad g_{hl}R_{rm,p}C^r_{ijk} - g_{hm}R_{rl,p}C^r_{ijk} + R_{hl,p}C_{mijk} - R_{hm,p}C_{lijk} - g_{il}R_{rm,p}C^r_{hjk} \\ + g_{im}R_{rl,p}C^r_{hjk} + R_{il,p}C_{hmjk} - R_{im,p}C_{hljk} + g_{jl}R_{rm,p}C^r_{khi} \\ - g_{jm}R_{rl,p}C^r_{khi} + R_{jl,p}C_{himk} - R_{jm,p}C_{hilk} + g_{kl}R_{rm,p}C^r_{jih} \\ - g_{km}R_{rl,p}C^r_{jih} + R_{kl,p}C_{hijm} - R_{km,p}C_{hijl} = 0.$$

Contracting now (12) with  $g^{hl}$  and applying (4), we find

$$(13) \quad (n-2)R_{rm,p}C^r_{ijk} + R_{ri,p}C^r_{mjk} + R_{rj,p}C^r_{imk} + R_{rk,p}C^r_{ijm} \\ - g_{jm}R^{rs}_{,p}C_{rkit} - g_{km}R^{rs}_{,p}C_{rjis} = 0.$$

On the other hand, it follows easily from (5) and (2) that  $R_{ij,p} = R_{ip,j}$ , which together with (13) yields

$$(14) \quad (n-2)R_{rp,m}C^r_{ijk} + R_{rp,i}C^r_{mjk} + R_{rp,j}C^r_{imk} + R_{rk,p}C^r_{ijm} \\ - g_{jm}R_{rp,s}C^r_{k^s i} - g_{km}R^{rs}_{,p}C_{rjis} = 0.$$

Contracting (14) with  $g^{pk}$  and taking into account the obvious formulae  $R_{rp,s}C^{rps}_i = 0$  and  $R^{rs}_{,m}C_{rjis} = R^{rs}_{,m}C_{rijis}$ , we obtain

$$(15) \quad (n-3)R^{rs}_{,m}C_{rijis} + R^{rs}_{,i}C_{rmjs} + R^{rs}_{,j}C_{rimis} = 0,$$

whence

$$(16) \quad (n-3)R_{rm,s}C^r_{ij^s} + R_{rs,i}C^r_{mj^s} + R_{rj,s}C^r_{im^s} = 0.$$

But in view of (8) and  $R = \text{const.}$ ,  $R_{rj,s}C^r_{im^s} = R^{rs}_{,m}C_{rijis}$ , which reduces (16) to  $(n-2)R^{rs}_{,m}C_{rijis} + R^{rs}_{,i}C_{rmjs} = 0$ , that is,  $R^{rs}_{,i}C_{rmjs} = (2-n)R^{rs}_{,m}C_{rijis} = (2-n)^2 R^{rs}_{,i}C_{rmjs}$ ,

so  $n > 3$  yields

$$(17) \quad R^{rs}{}_{,m} C_{rijs} = 0.$$

Substituting (17) into (13) and using (8) we obtain  $(n-1)R_{rm,p} C^r_{ijk} + R_{ri,p} C^r_{mjk} = 0$ , hence  $R_{ri,p} C^r_{mjk} = (1-n)R_{rm,p} C^r_{ijk} = (1-n)^2 R_{ri,p} C^r_{mjk}$ , which implies

$$(18) \quad R_{ri,p} C^r_{mjk} = 0.$$

This proves (9) in view of (2).

**§3. Conformally symmetric manifolds with positive definite metrics.**

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $M$  be a conformally symmetric Riemannian manifold. If  $M$  is not conformally flat, then*

$$(19) \quad R^i{}_{r,s_1\dots s_K} R_{il,p_1\dots p_N} = 0$$

for arbitrary integers  $K, N \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* Since  $R = \text{const.}$ , Lemma 5 works. Substituting (18) into (12) we obtain

$$(20) \quad R_{hl,p_1\dots p_N} C_{mijk} - R_{hm,p_1\dots p_N} C_{lijjk} + R_{il,p_1\dots p_N} C_{hmjk} - R_{im,p_1\dots p_N} C_{hljk} \\ + R_{jl,p_1\dots p_N} C_{hismk} - R_{jm,p_1\dots p_N} C_{hiltk} + R_{kl,p_1\dots p_N} C_{hijm} \\ - R_{km,p_1\dots p_N} C_{hijl} = 0$$

for  $N=1$ , which extends to arbitrary  $N$  in view of (2). Define the tensor  $A$  of type  $(0, K+N+6)$  by  $A_{lmhs_1\dots s_K p_1\dots p_N rjk} = R^i{}_{r,s_1\dots s_K} R_{il,p_1\dots p_N} C_{hmjk}$ . Transvecting now (20) with  $R^i{}_{r,s_1\dots s_K}$  and using (9) and Lemma 2, we conclude that  $A$  satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 1. Therefore  $A=0$ , which implies our assertion, since  $M$  is not conformally flat.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $M$  be a conformally symmetric  $n$ -dimensional ( $n \geq 4$ ) Riemannian manifold with a positive definite metric. Then either  $M$  is conformally flat or  $M$  is locally symmetric.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $M$  is not conformally flat. For  $K=N=1$  (19) yields  $R^{ij,k} R_{ij,k} = 0$ , so

$$(21) \quad R_{ij,k} = 0$$

and  $M$  is locally symmetric by (11).

**Theorem 3.** *Suppose that a Riemannian manifold  $M$  satisfies the relation*

$$(22) \quad C_{hijk,r_1\dots r_P} = 0$$

for some  $P \geq 1$ . If the metric of  $M$  is positive definite, then either  $M$  is conformally flat or  $M$  is locally symmetric.

*Proof.* By the result of Tanno ([9], Theorem 2), (22) leads to (2) and our assertion reduces to Theorem 2.

Generalizing a result of Simon ([7], Theorem 1) we obtain (cf. [6], Theorem 3)

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $M$  be a complete simply connected conformally symmetric  $n$ -manifold ( $n \geq 4$ ) with a positive definite metric. If  $M$  is not conformally flat, then either  $M$  is a symmetric Einstein manifold or it is a product of such manifolds.*

The proof can be obtained from Theorem 2 and (21) by standard de Rham decomposition techniques.

#### § 4. Conformally symmetric manifolds with metrics of index one.

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $H$  be an analytic tensor field of type  $(P, Q)$  on the analytic manifold  $M$  and let  $x \in M$ . If  $H_x = 0$  and  $(\mathcal{F}^N H)_x = 0$  for each  $N \geq 1$ , then  $H$  vanishes identically on  $M$ .*

In fact, for any local chart at  $x$  we have  $H_{j_1 \dots j_Q}^{i_1 \dots i_P}(x) = 0$  and  $\partial_{s_1} \dots \partial_{s_N} H_{j_1 \dots j_Q}^{i_1 \dots i_P}(x) = 0$ ,  $N \geq 1$ . Since  $M$  is connected, our assertion follows from analyticity of  $H$ .

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $M$  be an analytic conformally symmetric Riemannian manifold. If  $x \in M$  and  $M$  is neither conformally flat nor locally symmetric, then (i) there exists  $N \geq 1$  such that  $R_{i j, s_1 \dots s_N}(x) \neq 0$ , (ii) for  $K, N \geq 1$  and any vectors  $u, u_1, \dots, u_K, v, v_1, \dots, v_N \in T_x M$ , the vectors  $a, b$  given by*

$$(23) \quad a_i = R_{i j, p_1 \dots p_K} u^j u_1^{p_1} \dots u_K^{p_K}$$

and  $b_i = R_{i k, s_1 \dots s_N} v^k v_1^{s_1} \dots v_N^{s_N}$ , are isotropic and mutually orthogonal.

*Proof.* (i): Conversely, we would have (21) in view of Lemma 6, so  $M$  would be locally symmetric by (11). (ii): By Theorem 1,  $a^i a_i = a^i b_i = b^i b_i = 0$ , which completes the proof.

Using Theorem 1 of [6] it is easy to see that essentially conformally symmetric manifolds may have metrics of index one. In the sequel, the notion of distribution will be used in the sense explained in [3], p. 10.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $M$  be a conformally symmetric analytic Riemannian manifold with a metric of index one. Suppose  $M$  is neither conformally flat nor locally symmetric. Then the assignment to each  $x \in M$  of the set  $L_x$  of all vectors  $a \in T_x M$  of the form (23), where  $u, u_1, \dots, u_K \in T_x M$  and  $K$  runs through positive integers, defines an analytic 1-dimensional isotropic distribution  $L$  on  $M$ .*

*Proof.* By our assumption on the index and (ii) of Lemma 7, any two vectors of  $L_x$  are collinear. Hence  $L_x$  is a vector space of dimension at most one. Furthermore, by (i) of Lemma 7,  $L_x \neq 0$ . Thus  $L$  is an isotropic 1-dimensional distribution. Now suppose that a vector  $a \neq 0$  of  $L_x$  is defined by (23). Extending  $u, u_1, \dots, u_K$  to analytic vector fields in a neighbourhood of  $x$  we define, again by (23), an analytic extension of  $a$  to a vector field which spans  $L$  at all points sufficiently near to  $x$ . Therefore  $L$  is analytic, which completes the proof.

**Corollary 2.** *Any essentially conformally symmetric analytic manifold  $M$  with a metric of index one admits two 1-dimensional  $C^\infty$  distributions which are distinct at each point of  $M$ .*

*Proof.* It is well-known that any Riemannian manifold with a metric of index 1 admits a 1-dimensional  $C^\infty$  distribution  $V$  which is nowhere isotropic (for a standard argument see e.g. [2], proof of Lemma 3). Our assertion is now satisfied by  $L$  and  $V$ .

**Corollary 3.** *Every essentially conformally symmetric analytic manifold  $M$  with a metric of index one admits a 2-dimensional  $C^\infty$  distribution.*

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $S$  be the standard sphere of dimension  $n = 2q$ ,  $q \geq 2$ , or  $n = 4q + 1$ ,  $q \geq 1$ . Then  $S$  admits no analytic, essentially conformally symmetric Riemannian metric.*

*Proof.* Conversely, suppose that  $S$  admits an analytic, essentially conformally symmetric Riemannian metric  $g_{ij}$ . By Theorem 2  $g_{ij}$  must be indefinite. Even-dimensional spheres do not admit indefinite metrics ([8], Theorem 40.11, p. 207 and Theorem 27.18, p. 144), so we may restrict ourselves to spheres of dimension  $n = 4q + 1$ . Since such spheres admit indefinite metrics of indices 1 and  $n - 1$  only ([8], l. cit.), we may assume index one by changing the sign of  $g_{ij}$  if necessary. Now Corollary 3 contradicts Theorem 27.18 of [8], p. 144, which states that the spheres considered above do not admit 2-dimensional distributions. This completes the proof.

**Theorem 6.** *Suppose  $M$  is an analytic, essentially conformally symmetric Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n = 4$  or  $n = 5$ . Then  $M$  admits a 2-dimensional  $C^\infty$  distribution.*

*Proof.* Changing the sign of the metric, if necessary, and using Theorem 2, we may restrict our consideration to metrics of indices 1 and 2. For index 1 our assertion follows from Corollary 3, for index 2 it is clear (see e.g. Theorem 40.11 of [8], p. 207, in the compact case).

Wrocław University  
Mathematical Institute  
Wrocław, Poland

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. C. Chaki and B. Gupta: On conformally symmetric spaces, *Indian Journal of Mathematics*, 5 (1963), 113-122.
- [2] A. Derdziński: On compact spacelike submanifolds, *Reports on Mathematical Physics*, 9 (1976), 107-114.
- [3] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu: Foundations of differential geometry I, *New York, Interscience*, (1963).
- [4] W. Roter: On conformally symmetric 2-Ricci-recurrent spaces, *Colloquium Mathematicum*, 26 (1972), 115-122.
- [5] W. Roter: On conformally symmetric Ricci-recurrent spaces, *Colloquium Mathematicum*, 31 (1974), 87-96.
- [6] W. Roter: On conformally symmetric spaces with positive definite metric forms, to appear in *Bulletin de l'Académie Polonaise des Sciences, Série des sciences mathématiques, astronomiques et physiques*.
- [7] U. Simon: Compact conformally symmetric Riemannian spaces, *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 132 (1973), 173-177.
- [8] N. Steenrod: The topology of fibre bundles, *Princeton*, (1951).
- [9] S. Tanno: Curvature tensors and covariant derivatives, *Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata*, 96 (1973), 233-241.