

(23.0) Recall: last time we proved that

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \alpha, \beta: H &\rightarrow \text{Aut}_{gp}(N) \\ \alpha(h) &= T \circ \beta(h) \circ T^{-1} \quad \forall h \in H \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow N \rtimes_{\alpha} H \cong N \rtimes_{\beta} H$$

$$(T(n), h) \longleftarrow (n, h)$$

Here is another such circumstance:

Assume we are given a group hom $j: H \rightarrow N$, out of which we build an $\alpha_j: H \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{gp}(N)$

$$h \longmapsto \alpha_j(h)(n) = j(h) n j(h)^{-1}$$

Lemma

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N \rtimes_{\alpha_j} H & \xrightarrow[\cong]{f} & N \times H \\ \downarrow \alpha_j & & \downarrow \psi \\ (n, h) & \longrightarrow & (n \cdot j(h), h) \end{array}$$

Proof. f is a group hom because $\forall n_1, n_2 \in N, h_1, h_2 \in H$:
 [if true, an iso., with inverse $(n, h) \mapsto (n, j(h)^{-1} n)$]

$$f \left((n_1, h_1) \cdot_{\alpha_j} (n_2, h_2) \right) = (n_1 j(h_1) n_2 j(h_2), h_1 h_2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \& f \left((n_1, h_1) \cdot_{\alpha_j} (n_2, h_2) \right) &= f \left((n_1 \cdot \alpha_j(h_1)(n_2), h_1 h_2) \right) \\ &= f \left((n_1 j(h_1) n_2 j(h_1)^{-1}, h_1 h_2) \right) \\ &= (n_1 j(h_1) n_2 j(h_1)^{-1} \cdot j(h_1 h_2), h_1 h_2) \end{aligned}$$

□

(23.1) Recall the definition: A group G is called simple if it has no proper, non-trivial, normal subgroups. (2)

(i.e. $\neq G$) (i.e. $\neq \{e\}$)

Convention: $\{e\}$ is NOT simple.

e.g. $\left\{ \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \right\}$: ~~the~~ set of simple abelian groups (cyclic)
 p : prime

Plan to study all finite groups: identify all finite simple groups!
 see how to build any group from simple ones

(Thus the word "simple" is used in the sense "everything complicated is made out of simple things"; not in the sense "easy to understand".)

(23.2) A Schur-type Lemma.

Let G_1 and G_2 be two ~~simple~~ groups and let $f: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ be a group hom. Then either $f(x) = e_2 \forall x \in G_1$ is

← unit of G_2 .

assume G_1 is simple

or f is injective.

Proof. $\text{Ker}(f) \trianglelefteq G_1$ & G_1 being simple implies

either $\text{Ker}(f) = G_1$ (i.e. $f(x) = e_2 \forall x \in G_1$) or $\text{Ker}(f) = \{e_1\}$ (i.e., f is injective) □

(23.3) Alternating group. Let $n \geq 2$ and S_n be the permutation group on n letters.

Recall : (i) cyclic notation $\sigma = (x_1 x_2 \dots x_\ell) \in S_n$; where $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\ell\} \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$; means

$$\sigma(x_1) = x_2 ; \sigma(x_2) = x_3 ; \dots ; \sigma(x_{\ell-1}) = x_\ell ;$$
$$\sigma(x_\ell) = x_1 ; \sigma(y) = y \quad \forall y \notin \{x_1, \dots, x_\ell\}.$$

(ii) Important identity (easy to prove):

$$\forall \pi \in S_n \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma = (x_1 x_2 \dots x_\ell) \in S_n$$

$$(*) \quad \boxed{\pi (x_1 x_2 \dots x_\ell) \pi^{-1} = (\pi(x_1) \pi(x_2) \dots \pi(x_\ell))}$$

(iii) Other reminders -

- disjoint cycles commute (Proof: take $\pi =$ a cycle disjoint from σ in $(*)$.)
- $\pi = \pi_1 \pi_2 \dots \pi_\ell$ - disjoint cycles π_1, \dots, π_ℓ
 each $\pi_j =$ a cycle of length r_j
 $(r_1 + r_2 + \dots + r_\ell = n)$
 $\Rightarrow \text{order}(\pi) = \text{l.c.m.}(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_\ell)$
- Cycles of length 2 are called transpositions
 $\{(i j) \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$. Every permutation can be written as product of transpositions:

Proof. $(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k) = (x_1 x_2)(x_2 x_3) \cdots (x_{k-1} x_k)$ \square ④

(iv) In Lecture 8, we proved the existence of sign homomorphism

$$S_n \xrightarrow{\text{sign or } \varepsilon} \{\pm 1\}$$

so that $\varepsilon((ij)) = -1 \quad \forall 1 \leq i < j \leq n.$

For this we used, in Lecture 8, the following presentation

$$\text{of } S_n: \left\langle s_1, \dots, s_{n-1} \mid \begin{array}{l} s_i^2 = e \quad ; \quad s_i s_j = s_j s_i \quad ; \quad s_i s_{i+1} s_i = s_{i+1} s_i s_{i+1} \\ (1 \leq i \leq n-1) \quad (|i-j| \geq 2) \quad (1 \leq i \leq n-2) \end{array} \right\rangle$$

In (23.4) we give a more direct proof of the existence of sign hom

(23.4) Let $\pi \in S_n$ and let us write $\pi = \pi_1 \pi_2 \cdots \pi_l$ is product of disjoint cycles of lengths r_1, r_2, \dots, r_l respectively.

Define $N(\pi) = (r_1 - 1) + (r_2 - 1) + \dots + (r_l - 1)$

Lemma. - If $\pi = \tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_3 \cdots \tau_q$ where each τ_q is a transposition, then $q \equiv N(\pi) \pmod{2}$.

Proof. - The following identity is easy to verify:

$$(a c_1 c_2 \dots c_k b d_1 \dots d_\ell) = (a b) (b d_1 \dots d_\ell) (a c_1 \dots c_k) \quad (5)$$

Using this identity, we get the formula:

$$(**) - N((a b) \cdot \pi) = \begin{cases} N(\pi) + 1 & \text{if } a, b \text{ lie in same cycle} \\ N(\pi) - 1 & \text{o/w} \end{cases}$$

Now we can prove the lemma by induction on q .

$q = 0$ means $\pi = \text{id}$, hence $N(\pi) = 0 = q$. ✓.

$$q > 0. \quad \pi = \tau_1 \boxed{\tau_2 \dots \tau_q} = \tau_1 \pi'$$

!!
 π'

$$(**) \Rightarrow N(\pi) = N(\pi') \pm 1 \equiv \begin{matrix} (q-1) \pm 1 \pmod{2} \\ q \pmod{2} \end{matrix}$$

by induction on $\pi' = \tau_2 \dots \tau_q$

Cor. - $\pi \longmapsto (-1)^{\# \text{ transpositions needed to write } \pi}$
is a well-defined group hom. $\varepsilon: S_n \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ □

$A_n \stackrel{\text{defn.}}{=} \text{Ker}(\varepsilon) \trianglelefteq S_n$ of index 2.

(alternating group
on n letters)

(23.5) $A_2 = \{e\} \trianglelefteq S_2$.

$\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \cong A_3 = \langle (123) \rangle \trianglelefteq S_3$.

A_4 consists of e , all 3-cycles ($\binom{4}{3} \cdot 2 = 8$ of them)

$$\left. \begin{aligned} (12)(34) &=: x \\ (13)(24) &=: y \\ (14)(23) &=: z \end{aligned} \right\} 3 \text{ (odd 2 elements)}$$

(Total = $12 = \frac{4!}{2} \checkmark$)

$\{e, x, y, z\} \trianglelefteq A_4$ (Exercise!)

Lemma. (i) For $n \geq 3$; A_n is generated by 3-cycles.
 (ii) For $n \geq 5$; all 3-cycles (in A_n) are conjugate to each other in A_n .

Proof. (i) $(abc) = (ab)(bc) \Rightarrow$ every 3-cycle is in $A_n = \text{Kernel}(E)$.

Conversely, if $\sigma \in A_n$, then $\sigma =$ a product of even number of transpositions, hence a product of 3-cycles because:

$(ac)(ac) = e$

$(ab)(cd) = (abc)(bcd)$

$(ac)(ab) = (abc)$

[Fig: product of 2 transpositions can be written in terms of 3-cycles]

(ii) Assume $(a_1 b_1 c_1)$ & $(a_2 b_2 c_2)$ are two

3-cycles. Choose $\gamma \in S_n$ such that $\gamma(a_1) = a_2$
 $\gamma(b_1) = b_2$
 $\gamma(c_1) = c_2$

i.e. $\gamma (a_1 b_1 c_1) \gamma^{-1} = (a_2 b_2 c_2)$.

If $\epsilon(\gamma) = +1$, we have $\gamma \in A_n$ and there is nothing to prove.

If $\epsilon(\gamma) = -1$, choose $x, y \notin \{a_2, b_2, c_2\}$; $x \neq y$.
[Need $n \geq 5$ here.]

then $\underbrace{((x y) \gamma)}_{\gamma' = (x y) \cdot \gamma} (a_1 b_1 c_1) ((x y) \gamma)^{-1} = (a_2 b_2 c_2)$
 $\gamma' \xrightarrow{\epsilon} (-1) \cdot \epsilon(\gamma) = (-1)(-1) = +1$

So $(a_1 b_1 c_1)$ can be conjugated (by $\gamma' \in A_n$) to $(a_2 b_2 c_2)$ \square

(23.6) Theorem: A_n is simple $\forall n \geq 5$.

Proof. Assume $K \trianglelefteq A_n$ is a non-trivial normal subgroup ($K \neq \{e\}$).

Let us write $X = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Choose $\sigma \in K \setminus \{e\}$ for which $|X^\sigma|$ is largest
(among all elements of $K \setminus \{e\}$).

Recall: $X^\sigma = \{x \in X \text{ such that } \sigma(x) = x\}$.

Claim. - σ is a 3-cycle. Assuming this, and using the fact that K is normal, we conclude that K contains all 3-cycles (see Lemma (ii) on page 6). But then $K = A_n$, by the same Lemma (i).

Proof of the claim: • First assume that σ has a 3-cycle in it.

$\sigma = (a_1 a_2 a_3 \dots)$ \ddots . If $\sigma = (a_1 a_2 a_3)$
more cycles perhaps

we are done. Otherwise there must exist $a_4 \neq a_5$ ($\notin \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$) such that $\sigma(a_4) \neq a_4$ and $\sigma(a_5) \neq a_5$ (Why? - Ex.)

Let $\tau = (a_4 a_5)$ and consider

$$\sigma' = \tau \sigma \tau^{-1} \sigma^{-1} \in K \text{ (as } K \text{ is normal)}$$

Ex. - $X^\sigma \subset X^{\sigma'}$. $a_2 \in X^{\sigma'} \setminus X^\sigma$
contradicting maximality of $|X^\sigma|$.

(9)

Now, we are able to restrict ourselves to the case when σ = a product of even number of transpositions.

$$= (a\ b) (c\ d) \dots \text{perhaps more transpositions.}$$

Choose $k \notin \{a, b, c, d\}$ $[n \geq 5]$

take $\tau = (c\ d\ k)$ and $\sigma' = \tau \sigma \tau^{-1} \sigma^{-1} \in K$.

Ex. - $X^\sigma \setminus \{k\} \subset X^{\sigma'}$. $a, b \in X^{\sigma'} \setminus X^\sigma$

again contradicting maximality of $|X^\sigma|$. \square