

# Lecture 38

①

(38.0) Recall that we proved in Lecture 37:

(1) Maximal ideals exist.

(2)  $M_1, M_2 \subsetneq R$  maximal ideals  $\Rightarrow \begin{cases} M_1 = M_2 \text{ or} \\ M_1 + M_2 = R \end{cases}$

(3) For a ring hom.  $f: R_1 \longrightarrow R_2$   
 $\downarrow \cup$   
 $P_2$  prime ideal in  $R_2$ ,

the set  $P_1 = \{a \in R_1 \mid f(a) \in P_2\} \subsetneq R_1$  is a prime ideal.

Remark. The conclusion of (3) will be false if we replaced the adjective "prime" by maximal

e.g.  $f: \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$   
 $\downarrow \cup$   
 $n \longmapsto \frac{n}{1}$

$(0) \subsetneq \mathbb{Q}$  is (the only) maximal ideal

But  $(0) \subsetneq \mathbb{Z}$  is not maximal ideal (still prime ideal!)  
 $\{n \mid f(n) = 0\}$

(38.1) Local ring. - Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. We say that  $R$  is local if it has a unique maximal ideal.

e.g. every field is local. ( $(0) \subsetneq K$  is the only proper ideal).

Prop. A commutative ring  $R$  is local if, and only if  
 $\{x \in R \mid xy = 1 \text{ for some } y \in R\}$   
 $M = R \setminus (R^\times) = \{a \in R \mid a \text{ is NOT a unit}\} \subsetneq R$  is  
 an ideal. In this case,  $M \subsetneq R$  is the unique

maximal ideal of  $R$ .

Proof. - We begin by recalling that  $I \subsetneq R$  proper ideal  $\Rightarrow I \cap R^\times = \emptyset$ . That is,  $I \subset M$ . Thus  $M \subsetneq R$ , if an ideal, is clearly the maximal one.

If  $M \subsetneq R$  is an ideal, then by the observation made above, Set of maximal ideals of  $R = \{M\}$ ; i.e.  $R$  is local.

Conversely, if  $R$  is local and  $J \subsetneq R$  is its unique maximal ideal; then  $J \subset M$  (after all  $J$  is an ideal!). And if  $x \in M$

$I := (x) \subsetneq R$ , by our previous result,  $I \subset \tilde{I} \subsetneq R$ .  
a max'l ideal

(proper because  $I = \{rx \mid r \in R\}$   
and  $1 \in I \Leftrightarrow x \in R^\times$   
i.e.  $I \subsetneq R \Leftrightarrow x \in M = R \setminus R^\times$ )

As  $J$  is the only max'l ideal,  $\tilde{I} = J$  and hence  $x \in J$ .

Thus  $J = M$  (is an ideal) □

(38.2) Examples.  $R = \overset{\text{a field}}{K[x]} / (x^2)$  is local.

Proof. let us figure out  $R^\times$ .  $a + bx \in R^\times$  ( $a, b \in K$ )

$\Leftrightarrow (a + bx)(c + dx) = 1$  for some  $c, d \in K$

i.e.  $ac + (ad + bc)x = 1 \equiv \begin{cases} ac = 1 \\ ad + bc = 0 \end{cases}$

can be solved  $c = \frac{1}{a} \iff a \in K^\times = K \setminus \{0\}$   
 $d = -\frac{bc}{a}$

Thus  $R^\times = \left\{ a+bx \mid \begin{matrix} a \neq 0 \\ (a, b \in K) \end{matrix} \right\} \subset R$

$\implies R \setminus R^\times = (x)$  is an ideal. Hence  $R$  is local &

$(x) \subsetneq R$  is its unique maximal ideal. Hence:

Set of ideals of  $K[x]/(x^2) \cong R$  =  $\left\{ (0); (x); (1) \right\}$   
↑  
only prime/maximal  
(because  $x^2=0$ )

(38.3) Example.  $R = K[[x]]$  ring of formal series in 1 variable  
 with coefficients from a field  $K$ .  
 (instead of polynomials  $\rightsquigarrow K[x]$ )

A typical element of  $R$  is of the form

$a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots$  (only many terms) =  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j x^j$   
 (NOTATION)

Addition: (componentwise)  $\left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j x^j \right) + \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a'_j x^j \right) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (a_j + a'_j) x^j$

Multiplication. (distribute over +)  $\left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j x^j \right) \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k x^k \right) = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{j=0}^N a_j b_{N-j} \right) x^N$

Note: in our definition of + and · of elements of  $R = K[[x]]$

only finitely many operations were performed to get the coeff.

of  $x^n$  (fixed n). see e.g.  $\sum_{j=0}^N a_j b_{N-j} \in K$  for  $a_0 \dots a_N \in K$   
 $b_0 \dots b_N \in K$ .

It will give us a ring structure on, say for example, ring

of Laurent Series  $\left\{ \sum_{j=-N}^{\infty} a_j x^j : a_i \in K (i=-N, -N+1, \dots) \right\}$   
some finite  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$

Sometimes denoted by  $K((x))$ ; sometimes by  $K[[x^{-1}, x]]$

Fun exercise. Our definition will not make sense for the (of mult.)

abelian group  $\left\{ \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} a_j x^j : a_j \in K \forall j \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} = K[[x^{-1}, x]]$

(Because, if it did,  $\left. \begin{aligned} &\dots + x^{-2} + x^{-1} + \underbrace{1 + x + x^2 + \dots} \\ &= \frac{x^{-1}}{1-x^{-1}} + \frac{1}{1-x} = 0 \end{aligned} \right\}$  compare coeff of  $x^k$  to get  $1=0!$ )

Same calculation as in Example (38.2) gives:

$R = K[[x]] ; R^x = K^x + x K[[x]]$

$\Rightarrow R \setminus R^x = (x)$  is an ideal.

Hence  $(R, (x))$  is a local ring.

Notation: " $(R, M)$  is a local ring"  $\leadsto M \subseteq R$  is the unique maximal ideal of  $R$ . (5)

Example (38.4)  $\rightarrow R = \left\{ \frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q} \mid \begin{array}{l} \gcd(a, b) = 1, \& \\ p \text{ does not divide } b \\ \text{(i.e. } b \notin p \cdot \mathbb{Z} \text{)} \end{array} \right\}$

( $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$  fixed prime)

$R$  is a ring (subring of  $\mathbb{Q}$ )  $\frac{0}{1}, \frac{1}{1} \in R \checkmark$

$$\frac{a_1}{b_1} + \frac{a_2}{b_2} = \frac{a_1 b_2 + a_2 b_1}{b_1 b_2}$$

$$p \nmid b_1 \& p \nmid b_2 \Rightarrow p \nmid b_1 b_2$$

(read: does not divide)

$\Rightarrow p$  does not divide any factor of  $b_1 b_2$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{a_1}{b_1} + \frac{a_2}{b_2} \in R$$

$$\& \frac{a_1}{b_1} \cdot \frac{a_2}{b_2} \in R$$

$$R^\times = \left\{ \frac{a}{b} \mid \begin{array}{l} a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \neq 0 \\ \gcd(a, b) = 1 \\ p \text{ does not divide } a \text{ or } b \end{array} \right\} \subset R$$

$$\Rightarrow R \setminus R^\times = (p) = p \cdot R \text{ is an ideal.}$$

(38.5) [Optional - but recommended]

Geometric viewpoint towards commutative rings.

Commutative ring  $R =$   $\begin{matrix} \text{[Type]} \\ \downarrow \\ \text{functions on} \end{matrix}$   $\begin{matrix} \text{[Type]} \\ \downarrow \\ \text{Space } X \end{matrix}$  ⑥

For instance: continuous  
polynomial  
⋮

topological  
vector  
⋮

valued in  $\mathbb{C}$  (say) or some field.

Ideals = subset of functions which vanish on a given subset  $Y \subset X$

(in topological setting - it must be a closed set)

"Open sets" must then be given by non-vanishing --- e.g.

$$\left( \text{eg. } GL_2(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R}) \mid ad - bc \neq 0 \right\} \right)$$

↑  
right adjective in Ring column = Multiplicatively closed set.

(38.6) Definition. Let  $R$  be a commutative ring.  
 $S \subset R$  is said to be multiplicatively closed if

(i)  $0 \notin S$       (ii)  $1 \in S$

(iii)  $a, b \in S \Rightarrow ab \in S.$