

(44.0) Recall: we defined Noetherian rings as commutative rings satisfying either one of the following 3 properties (we proved that they are equivalent).

(1) Ascending Chain Condition: Given any ascending chain of ideals  $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq I_3 \subseteq \dots$  we can find  $n \geq 1$  so that  $I_n = I_{n+1} = I_{n+2} = \dots$  (i.e., it stabilizes)

(2) Every non-empty set of ideals has at least one maximal element

(3) Every ideal is finitely generated.

We also showed that

$R$  : Noetherian  $\implies R/I$  is Noetherian  
( $\forall$  proper ideal  $I \subsetneq R$ )

$\Downarrow$

$S^{-1}R$  is Noetherian

$\forall$  mult. closed set  $S \subset R$ .

(44.1) Hilbert Basis Theorem.

$R$  : Noetherian  $\implies R[x]$  is Noetherian.

Some notations and terms for polynomials:

$$f(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + a_n x^n ; \text{ assume } n \text{ is largest so that } a_n \neq 0$$

- $n = \underline{\text{degree}(f)} \quad \underline{\text{degree of } f(x)}$
- $a_0 = f(0) \quad \underline{\text{constant term of } f(x)}$
- $a_n = \underline{\text{Leading Coefficient of } f(x)}$ , let us denote it by  $\underline{L(f)}$

[Convention:  $L(\text{zero poly.}) = 0$ ].

(44.2) Ideal of Leading Coefficients: Let  $R$  be a comm. ring.

Given  $\tilde{I} \subseteq R[x]$  an ideal; consider the set

$$L(\tilde{I}) \subseteq R$$

$$\{ a \in R \text{ such that } a = L(f) \text{ for some } f(x) \in \tilde{I} \}$$

Lemma.  $L(\tilde{I}) \subseteq R$  is an ideal.

Proof.  $0 = L(\text{zero poly.}) \Rightarrow 0 \in L(\tilde{I})$ .

Let  $a \in L(\tilde{I})$ ,  $r \in R$ . Then, assuming  $ra \neq 0$ ,  
 $r \cdot a = L(r \cdot f(x))$  if  $a = L(f)$ . As  $f(x) \in \tilde{I}$ ;  $r \cdot f(x) \in \tilde{I}$ ,  
 hence  $r \cdot a \in L(\tilde{I})$ . (if  $r \cdot a = 0$  we have nothing to prove).

Thus  $R \cdot L(\tilde{I}) \subset L(\tilde{I})$ . It remains to show that (3)

$$a, b \in L(\tilde{I}) \Rightarrow a+b \in L(\tilde{I}) \quad [\text{for } a=c, \text{ take } b=-c$$

We may assume  $a+b \neq 0$ .

as  $c \in L(\tilde{I})$   
 $\Rightarrow (-1) \cdot c \in L(\tilde{I})$   
is already proven.]

We know  $a = L(f)$ ,  $b = L(g)$  for some  $f, g \in \tilde{I}$ .

Let  $n = \text{degree}(f)$ ,  $m = \text{degree}(g)$  and without sacrificing any generality, assume  $n \leq m$ .

$$\text{Then } a+b = L\left(\underbrace{x^{m-n} \cdot f + g}_{\uparrow}\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow a+b \in L(\tilde{I}).$$

$\in L(\tilde{I})$  because  $f(x), g(x) \in \tilde{I}$ .

□

(44.3) Baby steps - towards a proof of Hilbert Basis Theorem

Prop. If  $R$  is a Noetherian ring and  $D \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ , then

$$R[x] / (x^D) \text{ is also Noetherian.}$$

Remark. - This statement in fact would follow from Hilbert Basis Theorem, but we are going to use it in our proof.

We will in fact prove a stronger result. Namely.

(4)

Lemma: Given an abelian subgroup  $J \subset R[x]/(x^D)$  such that

$R \cdot J \subset J$ ; we can find finitely many  $f_1(x), \dots, f_p(x) \in J$

such that  $J = R \cdot f_1(x) + R \cdot f_2(x) + \dots + R \cdot f_p(x)$ .

Proof. For each  $k \in \{0, \dots, D-1\}$  define

$$C_k(J) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} a \in R \text{ such that there is } f(x) \in J \text{ of} \\ \text{the form } f(x) = a \cdot x^k + \boxed{\text{terms involving}} \\ \{0\} \cup \left. \begin{array}{l} x^{k+1} \dots x^{D-1} \end{array} \right\} \end{array} \right\}$$

[Ex.]  $C_k(J) \subset R$  is an ideal by our assumptions on  $J$   
(i.e.  $J \subset R[x]/(x^D)$  is a subgroup.

and  $R \cdot J \subset J$ )

As  $R$  is Noetherian,  $C_k(J) = (\alpha_1^{(k)}, \dots, \alpha_{m_k}^{(k)})$

for some finite number of elements  $\alpha_1^{(k)}, \dots, \alpha_{m_k}^{(k)} \in R$ .

These elements come from  $f_1^{(k)}(x), \dots, f_{m_k}^{(k)}(x) \in J$ .

That is,  $f_1^{(k)}(x) = \alpha_1^{(k)} \cdot x^k + \boxed{\text{terms involving } x^{k+1}, \dots, x^{D-1}} \in J$

$$\vdots$$

$f_{m_k}^{(k)}(x) = \alpha_{m_k}^{(k)} \cdot x^k + \boxed{\text{terms involving } x^{k+1}, \dots, x^{D-1}} \in J$

(5)

Claim:  $J = (R \cdot f_1^{(0)}(x) + \dots + R f_{m_0}^{(0)}(x))$   
 $+ (R f_1^{(1)}(x) + \dots + R f_{m_1}^{(1)}(x))$   
 $+ \dots + (R f_1^{(D-1)}(x) + \dots + R f_{m_{D-1}}^{(D-1)}(x))$

Proof. If  $g(x) = \gamma \cdot x^l + \boxed{\text{terms involving } x^{l+1}, \dots, x^{D-1}} \in J$

then  $\gamma \in C_l(J) = (\alpha_1^{(l)}, \dots, \alpha_{m_l}^{(l)}) \subset R$ . Meaning

$$\gamma = r_1 \alpha_1^{(l)} + \dots + r_{m_l} \alpha_{m_l}^{(l)} \text{ for some } r_1, \dots, r_{m_l} \in R.$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{g}(x) = g(x) - \sum_{j=1}^{m_l} r_j \cancel{\alpha_j^{(l)}} f_j^{(l)} \in J \text{ then}$$

and  $\bar{g}(x) = \bar{\gamma} x^{l+1} + \boxed{\text{terms involving } x^{l+2}, \dots, x^{D-1}}$

Repeat the same argument w/  $\begin{cases} \bar{\gamma} \text{ replaces } \gamma \\ l+1 \text{ replaces } l \end{cases}$ .

After  $D-l$  iterations of this argument - we get the claim.  $\square$

(44.4) Proof of Hilbert Basis Theorem. Now let  $R$  be a

Noetherian ring and  $\tilde{I} \subset R[x]$  be an ideal. We

want to prove that  $\tilde{I}$  is finitely generated.

~~finitely generated.~~

(6)

Step 1. Take  $I = L(\tilde{I}) \subset R$  ideal by Lemma 44.2.

Hence finitely generated, since  $R$  is Noetherian.

So,  $I = (a_1, \dots, a_N)$  and therefore we get

$$g_1(x) = a_1 x^{d_1} + \boxed{\text{terms involving } x^{d_1-1}, \dots, x^0} \in \tilde{I}$$

$\vdots$

$$g_N(x) = a_N x^{d_N} + \boxed{\text{terms involving } x^{d_N-1}, \dots, x^0} \in \tilde{I}$$

Step 2 (Division algorithm)  $\swarrow$  degrees of  $g_1, \dots, g_N$  respectively.

If  $D = \max \{d_1, \dots, d_N\}$ , then  $\forall g \in \tilde{I}$ ,

$\exists \bar{g}(x) \in \tilde{I}$  s.t.  $\text{degree}(\bar{g}) < D$

$$g = \bar{g} \pmod{(g_1, \dots, g_N)}$$

Proof. If  $\text{degree}(g) < D$  we have nothing to prove.

If not, then  $g(x) = \gamma \cdot x^M + \boxed{\text{degree} < M}$  &  $M \geq d_j (\forall j)$

As  $\gamma \in I = (a_1, \dots, a_N)$  we have  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_N \in R$

$$\text{s.t. } \gamma = r_1 a_1 + \dots + r_N a_N$$

$$\Rightarrow g(x) - \sum_{j=1}^N r_j g_j(x) x^{M-d_j} \in \tilde{I}$$

has degree  $< M$ . Repeat until  $\text{degree}(\bar{g}) < D$ .

Final Step: (Take care of polynomials in  $\tilde{I}$  of degree  $< D$ )  
 using Lemma 44.3 on page 4 above.

Let us denote by  $\tilde{I}_{<D} = \{f(x) \in \tilde{I} \mid \text{degree}(f) < D\}$ .

Then  $\tilde{I}_{<D} \subset R[x]$  is an abelian subgroup and

$$R \cdot \tilde{I}_{<D} \subset \tilde{I}_{<D}.$$

By the argument from the proof of Lemma 44.3 (page 4):

$$\exists f_1(x), \dots, f_p(x) \in \tilde{I}_{<D} \text{ s.t.}$$

$$\tilde{I}_{<D} = R \cdot f_1(x) + R \cdot f_2(x) + \dots + R \cdot f_p(x).$$

Hence (combining w/ the conclusion of Step 2)

$\tilde{I} = (g_1, \dots, g_N; f_1, \dots, f_p)$  is finitely generated. □