

0. Recall - from last lecture - to a Cartan matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ and symmetrizing integers $(d_i)_{i \in I}$ - (so DA is symmetric)

we associated a Hopf algebra \tilde{U}_\hbar . We introduced Hopf subalgebras $\tilde{U}^{\geq 0}, \tilde{U}^{\leq 0}$; a bilinear form $\tilde{U}^{\leq 0} \times \tilde{U}^{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$

→ Hopf ideals $\text{rad}^{\geq 0} \subset \tilde{U}^{\geq 0}$ defined by $\{x \in \tilde{U}^{\geq 0} \mid (y, x) = 0 \forall y \in \tilde{U}^{\leq 0}\}$.
 $\text{rad}^{\leq 0} \subset \tilde{U}^{\leq 0}$

We showed that: $(\text{rad}^{\leq 0} \cdot \tilde{U}^{\geq 0} + \tilde{U}^{\leq 0} \cdot \text{rad}^{\geq 0}) \subset \tilde{U}$ is a 2-sided ideal
 rad

$U_\hbar(\mathfrak{g}) := \tilde{U}_\hbar / \text{rad}$ - quantum group assoc. to A
 (& so also $\mathfrak{g} =$ simple Lie alg assoc. to A .)

and $\forall i \neq j \in I$; $\Theta_{ij}^+ = \sum_{s=0}^m (-1)^s \binom{m}{s}_{q_i} E_i^{m-s} E_j E_i^s \in \text{rad}^{\geq 0}$
 (-for F 's $\in \text{rad}^{\leq 0}$)

(here: $m = 1 - a_{ij}$; $[l]_{q_i} = \frac{q_i^l - q_i^{-l}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}$; $\binom{m}{l} = \frac{[m][m-1]\dots[m-l+1]}{[l]!}$
 for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$
 $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$)

1. Remark on Serre relations.

Let V be a f.d. vector space and $\rho: \tilde{U}_\hbar \rightarrow \text{End}(V)[[\hbar]]$ an algebra hom. (over $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$). Then $\rho(\Theta_{ij}^\pm) = 0 \forall i \neq j$.

Proof. - Consider $U_{\text{dih}}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ action on $\text{End}(V)[\hbar]$.

(For - case)

$$x \in U_{\text{dih}}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \rightsquigarrow \varphi(x) \cdot A : \begin{cases} \varphi(E_i) \cdot A = \rho(K_i)^{-1} [A, \rho(E_i)] \\ \varphi(F_i) \cdot A = A \rho(F_i) - \rho(F_i) \rho(K_i) A \rho(K_i)^{-1} \\ \varphi(K_i) \cdot A = \rho(K_i) A \rho(K_i)^{-1} \end{cases}$$

(Ex: Check that it is an action).

Take $A = \rho(F_j) \in \text{End}(V)[\hbar]$. ($j \neq i$):

$$\varphi(H_i) \cdot A = -a_{ij} A \quad ; \quad \varphi(E_i) \cdot A = 0$$

$\Rightarrow \varphi(F_i)^{1-a_{ij}} \cdot A = 0$. The assertion follows from $l=1-a_{ij}$ case of the following claim:

(Finite-dim'l repn theory)

$$\text{Claim: } \varphi(F_i)^l \cdot A = \rho \left(\sum_{k=0}^l (-1)^{l-k} q_i^{(l-k)(1-a_{ij}-l)} \begin{bmatrix} l \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q_i} F_i^{l-k} F_j F_i^k \right)$$

(I am dropping ρ now.)

$$F_i^{l-k} F_j F_i^k$$

$$\begin{aligned} l=1: \varphi(F_i) \cdot F_j &= F_j F_i - F_i K_i F_j K_i^{-1} \\ &= -q_i^{-a_{ij}} F_i F_j + F_j F_i \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

$l+1$ - induction step - $F_i \cdot (F_i^l \cdot A)$

$$= F_i \cdot \left(\sum_{k=0}^l (-1)^{l-k} q_i^{(l-k)(1-a_{ij}-l)} \begin{bmatrix} l \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q_i} F_i^{l-k} F_j F_i^k \right)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^l (-1)^{l-k} \begin{matrix} \uparrow \\ \left[\begin{matrix} l \\ k \end{matrix} \right]_{q_i} \\ \uparrow \\ q_i^{(l-k)(1-a_{ij}-l)} \end{matrix} \left((F_i^{l-k} F_j F_i^k) F_i - F_i q_i^{-2l-a_{ij}} (F_i^{l-k} F_j F_i^k) \right)$$

Coeff of $F_i^{l+1-t} F_j F_i^t$ $t=0$: $- (-1)^l q_i^{l(1-a_{ij}-l)-2l-a_{ij}}$
 $= (-1)^{l+1} q_i^{-l-(l+1)a_{ij}-l^2}$
 $= (-1)^{l+1} q_i^{(l+1)(-l-a_{ij})}$ ✓

(Similarly $t=l+1$ case)

$1 \leq t \leq l$: $- (-1)^{l-t} q_i^{(l-t)(1-a_{ij}-l)-2l-a_{ij}} \left[\begin{matrix} l \\ t \end{matrix} \right]_{q_i}$

$+ (-1)^{l-t+1} q_i^{(l-t+1)(1-a_{ij}-l)} \left[\begin{matrix} l \\ t-1 \end{matrix} \right]_{q_i}$

$$= (-1)^{l+1-t} q_i^{(l+1-t)(1-a_{ij}-l)} \left[\underbrace{q_i^{-t} \left[\begin{matrix} l \\ t \end{matrix} \right]_{q_i} + q_i^{l+1-t} \left[\begin{matrix} l \\ t-1 \end{matrix} \right]_{q_i}}_{\text{Pascal's id: (q-version)}} \right]$$

$$\left[\begin{matrix} l+1 \\ t \end{matrix} \right]_{q_i}$$

□

2. $U_h(\mathfrak{g})$ in fact has the expected presentation -

Generators : $H \in \mathfrak{h} ; \{E_i, F_i\}_{i \in I}$

Relations : $[H, H'] = 0 \quad \forall H, H' \in \mathfrak{h} ;$

$$\bullet [H, E_i] = \alpha_i(H) E_i ; [H, F_i] = -\alpha_i(H) F_i \quad \forall H \in \mathfrak{h} ; i \in I.$$

$$\bullet [E_i, F_j] = \delta_{ij} \frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}} \quad \forall i, j \in I$$

$$\bullet \forall i \neq j \in I : \sum_{s=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^s \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ s \end{bmatrix}_{q_i} E_i^{1-a_{ij}-s} E_j E_i^s = 0 = \text{(same w/ F's).}$$

This result is proved using an analogue of our old Weyl-group action.

3. Quantum Weyl group action - \mathfrak{sl}_2 case. $(U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) = \langle E, F, H \rangle / \dots \text{rels})$.

Definition.
$$\mathcal{S} = \exp_{\bar{q}}(\bar{q}^{-1} E K^{-1}) \exp_{\bar{q}}(-F) \exp_{\bar{q}}(q E K) \cdot q^{\frac{H(H+1)}{2}}$$

- recall
$$\exp_q(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} q^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \frac{x^n}{[n]!}.$$

This element defines an invertible operator on representations of $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ - where E & F act locally nilpotently (& H -diagonally)

Recall: L_{λ} is $(\lambda+1)$ -dim'l irred. repr. - basis $\{v_0, \dots, v_{\lambda}\}$
 $(\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}) \quad H \cdot v_r = (\lambda - 2r) v_r$

$$E v_r = [\lambda - r + 1] v_{r-1} \quad ; \quad F v_r = [r + 1] v_{r+1}.$$

Lemma. $\mathfrak{S} v_r = (-1)^{\lambda-r} q^{\binom{\lambda-r}{2}} v_{\lambda-r}$.

Proof: (Highly computational - uses the following identities of q -binomials:

$$\begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix} = \frac{[m][m-1]\dots[m-n+1]}{[n]!} \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}; n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

(1) $\begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix} = (-1)^n \begin{bmatrix} n-1-m \\ n \end{bmatrix}$

(2) $q^{-l} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ l \end{bmatrix} + q^{m-l+1} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ l-1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} m+1 \\ l \end{bmatrix}$

(use (2) to prove): (3) $\sum_{i=0}^n q^{ai-b(n-i)} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ n-i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b \\ i \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a+b \\ n \end{bmatrix}$.

(relatively) Easy calculation shows: coeff of $v_{\lambda-t}$ in $\mathfrak{S} v_r$ is given by

$$q^{\frac{(\lambda-2r)(\lambda-2r+1)}{2}} \sum_{a,b,c \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} (-1)^b q^{\frac{a(a+1)}{2} - \frac{b(b-1)}{2} + \frac{c(c+1)}{2} + c(\lambda-2r) + a(\lambda-2t)}$$

~~because~~ $b-a-c = \lambda-(r+t)$

$$\begin{bmatrix} t \\ a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r-ct+b \\ b \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda-r+c \\ c \end{bmatrix}$$

get rid of b

$$\sum_a (-1)^{\lambda-r+a-t} \begin{bmatrix} t \\ a \end{bmatrix} q^{a(r-t+1) + \lambda(t+1) - rt + \frac{r(r-1)}{2} - \frac{t(t+1)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} r-ct+b \\ b \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\sum_c (-1)^c q^{(r-c)(r-\lambda-1) - c(\lambda-t+a)} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda-r+c \\ c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda-t+a \\ r-c \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Last sum} = \sum_c (-1)^c q^{\binom{r-c}{c} + \binom{r-\lambda-1}{c} - c(\lambda-t+a)} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda-r+c \\ c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda-t+a \\ r-c \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \sum_c q^{\binom{r-c}{c} + \binom{r-\lambda-1}{c} - c(\lambda-t+a)} \begin{bmatrix} r-\lambda-1 \\ c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda-t+a \\ r-c \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{using eq}^n (1) \text{ from the last page})$$

$$\stackrel{\text{using (3)}}{=} \begin{bmatrix} r-t+a-1 \\ r \end{bmatrix} = (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} t-a \\ r \end{bmatrix} = (-1)^{t-a} \begin{bmatrix} -r-1 \\ t-a-r \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle v_{\lambda-t} | S | v_r \rangle = \sum_a (-1)^a q^{\lambda-r + \frac{\lambda(t+1)-rt}{2} + \frac{r(r-1)}{2} - \frac{t(t+1)}{2} - t(t-r)}$$

(coeff of $v_{\lambda-t}$ in $S \cdot v_r$)

$$= q^{t(t-r-a) + a(r+1)} \begin{bmatrix} t \\ a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -r-1 \\ t-a-r \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\stackrel{(3) \text{ again}}{\rightarrow} \begin{bmatrix} t-r-1 \\ t-r \end{bmatrix} = \delta_{t,r}$$

$$= \delta_{t,r} (-1)^{\lambda-r} q^{\lambda(r+1) - r^2 - r} = \delta_{t,r} (-1)^{\lambda-r} q^{(\lambda-r)(r+1)} \quad \square$$

Remark. - The proof of this lemma shows that:

$$S \cdot v_r = \sum_{\substack{a, b, c \geq 0 \\ b-a-c = \lambda-2r}} (-1)^b q^{\binom{b}{a} + \binom{b-a-c}{c}} \frac{E^a}{[a]!} \frac{F^b}{[b]!} \frac{E^c}{[c]!} \cdot v_r$$

4. Automorphism of $U_{\hbar}(sl_2)$. - Let $T: U_{\hbar}(sl_2) \rightarrow U_{\hbar}(sl_2)$ be given by

$$T(H) = -H \quad ; \quad T(E) = -FK \quad ; \quad T(F) = -K^{-1}E.$$

Then, we have the following lemma corollary of Lemma 3 above:

Cor. For every $u \in U_{\hbar}(sl_2)$ and $v \in L_{\lambda}$, we have

$$\mathcal{S} \cdot (u \cdot v) = T(u) \cdot (\mathcal{S} \cdot v).$$

Remark - We view this corollary as saying: $\boxed{\mathcal{S} u \mathcal{S}^{-1} = T(u)}$.

5. Arbitrary \mathfrak{g} - For each $i \in I$, we set

$$\mathcal{S}_i = \exp_{q_i}^{-1}(\bar{q}_i^{-1} E_i K_i^{-1}) \exp_{q_i}^{-1}(-F_i) \exp_{q_i}^{-1}(q_i E_i K_i) q_i^{\frac{\hbar i(\hbar i + 1)}{2}}$$

Thus, if $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}) \hookrightarrow V$ and $v_{\mu} \in V[\mu]$ ($\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$), then

(where E_i, F_i act locally nilpotently)

$$\mathcal{S}_i v = \sum_{\substack{a, b, c \geq 0 \\ b - a - c = \mu(\hbar i)}} (-1)^b q_i^{b - ac} E_i^{(a)} F_i^{(b)} E_i^{(c)} \cdot v_{\mu} \in V[s_i(\mu)].$$

Combining the calculations of §1 and Lemma 3, Cor. 4 - we obtain algebra automorphisms $T_i \hookrightarrow U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ ($i \in I$):

$$T_i(E_i) = -F_i K_i; \quad T_i(F_i) = -K_i^{-1} E_i; \quad T_i(\hbar) = s_i(\hbar);$$

$$(j \neq i) \quad T_i(E_j) = \sum_{s=0}^{-a_{ij}} (-1)^s q_i^{-s} E_i^{(-a_{ij}-s)} E_j^{(s)} E_i^{(s)},$$

$$T_i(F_j) = \sum_{s=0}^{-a_{ij}} (-1)^s q_i^s F_i^{(s)} F_j^{(-a_{ij}-s)} F_i^{(s)}.$$