

0. Recall that we are aiming to prove the Kohno-Drinfeld theorem:

$$(U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}), \Delta, R, 1^{\otimes 3}) \underset{\text{twist equiv.}}{\sim} (U(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]], \Delta_{\circ}, R_{KZ} = e^{\frac{\hbar}{2}\Omega}, \Phi_{KZ}).$$

Last time we showed that:

(i)  $\exists$  an algebra iso.  $\psi: U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]$  s.t.  $\psi = \text{id} \pmod{\hbar}$ .

(ii) any two algebra isomorphisms  $\psi_1, \psi_2: U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]$  are related by:  $\exists a = 1 + \hbar(\dots) \in U(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]$  s.t.

$$\psi_2(x) = a \psi_1(x) a^{-1}.$$

Corollary. The centers of  $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $U(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]$  are canonically isomorphic:

$$Z(U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})) \cong Z(U(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]).$$

(  $Z(A) = \{a \in A : ab = ba \forall b \in A\}$  for an assoc. alg.  $A$  )

Definition:  $C_{\hbar} \in U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$  is defined to be the unique element of  $Z(U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}))$  s.t.  $\psi(C_{\hbar}) = C$  (  $= \sum_a x_a x^a \in U(\mathfrak{g})$  )

Casimir element

Note: by the corollary above (or (ii)) & the fact that  $C \in Z(U(\mathfrak{g}))$  this definition of quantum Casimir element is independent of the identification  $\psi: U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}) \cong U(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]$ .

The following proposition will be used today, and its proof will be given later: let  $\theta = q^{C_{\hbar}} = e^{\frac{\hbar}{2}C_{\hbar}} \in U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ .

1. Proposition. - let  $R$  be the universal R-matrix of  $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \Delta(\theta) \cdot \theta^{-1} \otimes \theta^{-1} &= R_{21} \cdot R \\ &= \Delta(e^{\hbar C_{\mathfrak{h}}/2}) \cdot e^{-\frac{\hbar}{2} C_{\mathfrak{h}}} \otimes e^{-\frac{\hbar}{2} C_{\mathfrak{h}}} \end{aligned}$$

Remark. - This result is due to Drinfeld (On almost cocomm...) and is also true for  $R_{KZ} = e^{\hbar/2 \cdot \Omega}$  - since

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\circ}(C) &= \sum_a (x_a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x_a) (x^a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x^a) \\ &= C \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes C + 2 \cdot \Omega \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta_{\circ}(e^{\frac{\hbar}{2} C}) = (e^{\frac{\hbar}{2} C} \otimes e^{\frac{\hbar}{2} C}) \cdot e^{\hbar \Omega}$$

$$\Rightarrow (R_{KZ})_{21} \cdot R_{KZ} = e^{\hbar \Omega} = \Delta_{\circ}(e^{\frac{\hbar}{2} C}) \cdot e^{-\frac{\hbar}{2} C} \otimes e^{-\frac{\hbar}{2} C}$$

2. Matching the coproduct.

Using  $\psi: U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]$ , we obtain another coproduct on  $U(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]$ , denoted by  $\Delta^{\psi}$ , defined as:

$$\Delta^{\psi}(x) = \psi \otimes \psi (\Delta(\psi^{-1}(x)))$$

It is clear from the definition of  $\Delta$  and the fact that  $\psi = id \pmod{\hbar}$

that  $\Delta^{\psi}(x) = \Delta_{\circ}(x) + \hbar(\dots)$

$$= \Delta_{\circ}(x) + \sum_{n \geq 1} D_n(x) \hbar^n \quad \text{where } D_n: U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow (U(\mathfrak{g}))^{\otimes 2}$$

(Notation)

Prop. - There exists  $F \in U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]$ ,  $F = 1 \otimes 1 \pmod{\hbar}$   
 s.t.  $\Delta^\Psi(x) = F \cdot \Delta_\circ(x) \cdot F^{-1}$ .

Proof. The proof uses the same type of arguments we saw in Lecture 30 (see prop. 3 on page 4 of L.30) and the fact that  $HH^1(U(\mathfrak{g}); U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{g})) = 0$ .

We view  $U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{g})$  as a bimodule over  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  via  $\Delta_\circ$  :

$$x \cdot (A) = \Delta_\circ(x) A \quad ; \quad (A) \cdot x = A \Delta_\circ(x) \quad \forall x \in U(\mathfrak{g})$$

$$A \in U(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes 2}$$

Since  $\Delta^\Psi = \sum_{n \geq 0} \hbar^n \mathcal{D}_n$  is an algebra hom &  $\mathcal{D}_0 = \Delta_\circ$ , we get

$$\Delta^\Psi(ab) = \Delta^\Psi(a) \cdot \Delta^\Psi(b) \quad \text{mod } \frac{\text{coeff of } \hbar}{\hbar} \quad \mathcal{D}_1(ab) = \Delta_\circ(a) \cdot \mathcal{D}_1(b) + \mathcal{D}_1(a) \Delta_\circ(b)$$

( $\forall a, b \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ )

$$\text{i.e. } \mathcal{D}_1 \in \underbrace{\sum^1}_{\text{Ker}(d_\sharp)} (U(\mathfrak{g}); U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{g})) = \underbrace{B^1}_{\text{Im}(d_\flat)} (U(\mathfrak{g}); U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{g}))$$

So  $\mathcal{D}_1 = d_\flat(A)$  for some  $A \in C^1(U(\mathfrak{g}); U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{g})) = U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{g})$

(i.e.  $\mathcal{D}_1(x) = \Delta_\circ(x) A - A \Delta_\circ(x)$ )

$\Rightarrow$  Conjugating by  $1 + \hbar A$ , we arrive at  $\Delta_\circ + O(\hbar^2)$ .

Proposition is proved by repeating this argument. □

3. In conclusion:

$$(U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}), \Delta, R, 1^{\otimes 3}) \xrightarrow[\text{using } \psi]{\sim} (U(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]], \Delta^{\psi}, \psi \otimes \psi(R), 1^{\otimes 3})$$

$$\xrightarrow[\text{twist by } F \text{ from Prop. 2 above}]{\sim} (U(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]], \Delta_{\circ}, R', \Phi')$$

$\uparrow$   
 $1 \otimes 1 + \hbar(\dots)$

4. Matching the R-matrix.

Proposition: There exists  $\tilde{F} \in U(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes 2}[[\hbar]] (= 1^{\otimes 2} \text{ mod } \hbar)$  s.t.

- $\tilde{F}_{21} R' \tilde{F}$  is symmetric
- $\tilde{F}$  is invariant ( $\tilde{F} \Delta_{\circ}(x) = \Delta_{\circ}(x) \tilde{F} \forall x \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ )

Proof. This step holds in more generality: let  $(H, \Delta, R, \Phi)$  be a quasi-triangular quasibialgebra (see Lecture 20) over  $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$  s.t.  $R = 1 \otimes 1 \pmod{\hbar}$ . We will prove the existence of  $F$  s.t.  $R_F = F_{21} \cdot R \cdot F^{-1}$  is symmetric.

i.e.  $R_F = (R_F)_{21}$  i.e.  $F_{21} \cdot R \cdot F^{-1} = F \cdot R_{21} \cdot F_{21}^{-1}$

$\Leftrightarrow R_{21} = F^{-1} F_{21} \cdot R \cdot F^{-1} F_{21}$

Thus  $R_{21} \cdot R = (F^{-1} F_{21} \cdot R)^2$

$F^{-1} F_{21} R = (R_{21} \cdot R)^{1/2}$  or  $F^{-1} F_{21} = (R_{21} R)^{\frac{1}{2}} R^{-1}$

Thus, we want to find  $F$  s.t.

$$F_{21}^{-1} F = R (R_{21} R)^{-1/2} =: \rho$$

**Claim:**  $\rho_{21} \rho = 1$ . Given the claim,  $F := \rho^{\frac{1}{2}}$  solves the equation above. If, in addition,  $\Delta = \Delta^{op}$  for  $(H, \Delta, R, \Phi)$ , then both  $R$  and  $R_{21}$  have to be invariant and hence, so will be  $\rho$  and  $F$ .

Proof of the claim. - Note that  $(R R_{21})^n \cdot R = R \cdot (R_{21} R)^n \forall n$

$$\Rightarrow (\text{formal series in } R R_{21}) \cdot R = R \cdot (\text{same series in } R_{21} R)$$

$$\Rightarrow (R R_{21})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot R = R \cdot (R_{21} R)^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \text{ And hence:}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{21} \rho &= R_{21} (R R_{21})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot R (R_{21} R)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= R_{21} \cdot R \cdot (R_{21} R)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (R_{21} R)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = (R_{21} R) (R_{21} R)^{-1} \\ &= 1. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

5. Returning back to the diagram from §3 above:

$$(U_h(\mathfrak{g}), \Delta, R, 1) \rightsquigarrow (U(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]], \Delta^\Psi, \Psi \otimes \Psi(R), 1)$$

$$\rightsquigarrow (U(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]], \Delta_\circ, \tilde{R}, \tilde{\Phi})$$

twist by

$$J = \tilde{F} \cdot F \text{ (props: 2 \& 4 above)}$$

this is symmetric  
 $\tilde{R}_{21} = \tilde{R}$ .

Proposition  $\tilde{R} = R_{KZ} = e^{\frac{\hbar}{2} \cdot \Omega}$ .

Proof  $(\tilde{R})^2 = \tilde{R}_{21} \cdot \tilde{R}$

$$= J \cdot \psi \otimes \psi (R_{21} \cdot R) \cdot J^{-1}$$

$$= J \cdot \psi \otimes \psi (\Delta(q^{c_{\hbar}}) \cdot q^{-c_{\hbar}} \otimes q^{-c_{\hbar}}) \cdot J^{-1}$$

(See §1 on page 2 above)

$$= \boxed{J \cdot \Delta^{\psi}(e^{\frac{\hbar}{2}c}) \cdot J^{-1}} \cdot e^{-\frac{\hbar}{2}c} \otimes e^{-\frac{\hbar}{2}c}$$

$$= \Delta_0(e^{\frac{\hbar}{2}c}) \cdot e^{-\frac{\hbar}{2}c} \otimes e^{-\frac{\hbar}{2}c} = e^{\hbar\Omega}$$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{R} = e^{\frac{\hbar}{2} \Omega} = R_{KZ}$$

□

$q^c \otimes q^c$  commutes with any  $J \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes 2}[\hbar]$