

4)

Assume, by contradiction, that we can take $g \in N_G(L) \setminus L$. Then, $gLg^{-1} = L$ and since $P \leq N \leq L$, we have $gPg^{-1} \leq L$. If $|G| = p^r m$ where $p \nmid m$ and $|P| = p^r$, we must have $|L| = p^r m'$ where $p \nmid m' \mid m$. Therefore, gPg^{-1} and P are Sylow p -subgroups of L . By Sylow's theorem (part B), there exists $h \in L$ such that $P = hgPg^{-1}h^{-1}$. Therefore, $hg \in N \leq L$ and $g = h^{-1}(hg) \in L$, which is a contradiction. \checkmark

8)

By Sylow theorem A, we have that $n_p \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and $n_p \mid 2$. Since $p > 2$, then $n_p = 1$. If P is the unique Sylow p -subgroup of G , then $P \triangleleft G$ and $P \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$. By Sylow theorem A, we can find $H \leq G$ with $|H| = 2$ (i.e., a Sylow 2-subgroup). then:

(1) $P \triangleleft G$, $H \leq G$

(2) Since $H \leq HP$ and $P \leq HP$, 2 and p divide $|HP| \leq 2p$. Therefore, $|HP| = 2p$ and $G = HP$.

(3) If $a \neq e$ and $e \in P$, then $\sigma(a) = p$. Similarly, if $a \neq e$ and $e \in H$, then $\sigma(a) = 2$. Thus $H \cap P = \{e\}$.

From (1), (2) and (3), $G = H \rtimes N \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}_p$
 where $\alpha: \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is a homomorphism.

Since $\alpha(1) \circ \alpha(1) = \text{id} = \alpha(0)$, α could be α_1
 or α_2 where:

- $\alpha_1(0) = \text{id}$, $\alpha_1(1) = \text{id}$ (trivial)
- $\alpha_2(0) = \text{id}$, $\alpha_2(1)(i) = p-i$, $i=0, \dots, p-1$
 ($\alpha_2(1)(i)$ is the inverse of i)

Therefore, $G \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_2 \rtimes_{\alpha_1} \mathbb{Z}_p \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_p \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2p} \\ \mathbb{Z}_2 \rtimes_{\alpha_2} \mathbb{Z}_p \end{cases}$
 $\rightarrow \text{gcd}(2, p) = 1$
 (cyclic)

In $\mathbb{Z}_2 \rtimes_{\alpha_2} \mathbb{Z}_p$, if we define $s_1 = (1, 0)$, $s_2 = (1, \frac{p-1}{2})$
 then $s_1^2 = (1+1, \alpha_2(1)(0) + 0) = (0, 0)$ and

$$s_2^2 = (1+1, \alpha_2(1)\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) + \frac{p-1}{2}) = (0, \frac{p+1}{2} + \frac{p-1}{2}) = (0, p) = (0, 0)$$

$$\text{Moreover, } s_1 s_2 = (1, 0)(1, \frac{p-1}{2}) = (1+1, \alpha_2(1)(0) + \frac{p-1}{2}) = (0, \frac{p-1}{2}) \Rightarrow (s_1 s_2)^p = (0, p \frac{p-1}{2}) = (0, 0)$$

$$\text{In addition, } s_2 s_1 = (1, \frac{p-1}{2})(1, 0) = (1+1, \alpha_2(1)\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) + 0) = (0, \frac{p+1}{2}) \Rightarrow (s_2 s_1)^p = (0, p \frac{p+1}{2}) = (0, 0)$$

then, $\mathbb{Z}_2 \rtimes_{\alpha_2} \mathbb{Z}_p = \langle s_1, s_2 : s_1^2 = s_2^2 = 1, (s_1 s_2)^p = (s_2 s_1)^p \rangle$
 which is the dihedral group D_p . ✓ 10

10.1.) By Sylow theorem C, $n_q = 1, p, q$ or pq
 and $n_q \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$. Since $p < q$, we have
 $n_q = 1$. If Q is the only Sylow
 q -subgroup, then $Q \triangleleft G$ and G is not simple.

10.2.) In addition, if $q \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, by Sylow theorem C we must have $n_p = 1$, and therefore the Sylow p -subgroup $P \leq G$ is normal.

Moreover,

(1) $P, Q \triangleleft G$

(2) Since $P \leq PQ$ and $Q \leq PQ$, then p and q divide $|PQ| \leq pq$. Therefore, $G = PQ$

(3) If $a \neq e$, $a \in P \Rightarrow \sigma(a) = p$ and if $a \neq e, a \in Q \Rightarrow \sigma(a) = q$. Therefore, $P \cap Q = \{e\}$

In consequence, $G \cong P \times Q \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_q \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}_{pq} \checkmark_{10}$

14) If $|G| = 18 = 3^2 \cdot 2$, by Sylow theorem C, $n_3 = 1$. Take $P \triangleleft G$ the only Sylow 3-subgroup. As we saw in class, since $|P| = 3^2$, P is either isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_9 or $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$. By Sylow theorem A, we can take $H \leq G$ with $|H| = 2$. Then

(1) $H \leq G, P \triangleleft G$

(2) $H \leq HP \Rightarrow 2 \mid |HP|$ and $P \leq HP \Rightarrow 9 \mid |HP|$. Therefore, $|HP| = 18 \Rightarrow HP = G$

(3) $H \cap P = \{e\}$ by order of elements of H and P .

In consequence, $G \cong H \rtimes P \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \rtimes P$.

• Suppose $P \cong \mathbb{Z}_9$, then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_9$

for $\alpha: \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_9)$ homomorphism.

then
$$G \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_2 \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}_9 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_9 \cong \mathbb{Z}_{18} & \text{if } \alpha(0) = \alpha(1) = \text{id} \\ \mathbb{Z}_2 \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}_9 \cong D_9 & \text{if } \begin{matrix} \alpha(0) = \text{id} \\ \alpha(i) = 9-i \end{matrix} \quad \textcircled{+} \end{cases}$$

• Suppose that $P \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$. Then, since $\alpha(1) \circ \alpha(1) = \text{id}$, α has four possibilities: trivial, inverse in first or second coordinate and trivial in the other, and inverse in both coordinates. Then

$$G \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_2 \rtimes_{\alpha_1} (\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3) \cong \mathbb{Z}_6 \times \mathbb{Z}_3 & \text{if } \alpha_1(1) = \text{id} \quad \textcircled{+} \textcircled{+} \\ \mathbb{Z}_2 \rtimes_{\alpha_2} (\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3) \stackrel{(*)}{\cong} D_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3 & \text{if } \begin{matrix} \alpha_2(1)(x, y) \\ = (3-x, y) \end{matrix} \\ \mathbb{Z}_2 \rtimes_{\alpha_3} (\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_3) & \text{if } \alpha_3(x, y) = (3-x, 3-y) \end{cases}$$

(*) Since $D_3 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \rtimes_{\alpha_2'} \mathbb{Z}_3$, where $\alpha_2'(1)(x) = 3-x$,

if $\varphi: \mathbb{Z}_2 \rtimes_{\alpha_2} (\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}_2 \rtimes_{\alpha_2'} \mathbb{Z}_3) \times \mathbb{Z}_3$

is defined by $\varphi(x, (y, z)) = ((x, y), z)$,

then $\varphi((x_1, (y_1, z_1)) (x_2, (y_2, z_2)))$

$$= \varphi((x_1 x_2, \alpha_2(1-x_2)(y_1, z_1) \cdot (y_2, z_2)))$$

$$= \begin{cases} \text{if } x_2 = 0 \\ \varphi((x_1 x_2, (3-y_1)y_2, z_1 z_2)) = ((x_1 x_2, y_2(3-y_1)), z_1 z_2) \\ = ((x_1 x_2, \alpha_2'(1-x_2)(y_1) y_2), z_1 z_2) = ((x_1, y_1), z_1) ((x_2, y_2), z_2) \\ \text{if } x_2 = 1 \\ \varphi((x_1 x_2, (y_1 y_2, z_1 z_2))) = ((x_1, y_1), z_1) ((x_2, y_2), z_2) \end{cases}$$

\Rightarrow φ is homomorphism, which is clearly injective and surjective.

In consequence, $\mathbb{Z}_2 \rtimes_{\alpha_2} (\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3) \cong D_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$
 $= S_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$

In \oplus and $\oplus \oplus$ we let the 5 group of order 18 up to isomorphism

✓ 10

17) $|G| = 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 2^2$

First, we state two facts that lead us to discard values of n_p , $p = 2, 3, 5$.

(1) Let $X = \{P \mid P \text{ is Sylow } p\text{-subgroup of } G\}$ where $|G| = p^r m$. then $|X| = n_p$, and $G \curvearrowright X$ transitively by conjugation. If $P \in X$, by Stab-orbit theorem, we have

$$n_p = |G \cdot P| = \frac{|G|}{|N_G(P)|}$$

Therefore, there exist $H = N_G(P) \leq G$ with $|H| = \frac{|G|}{n_p}$, i.e., $[G:H] = n_p$

(2) If $H \leq G$ with $[G:H] = m$, then there exists $N \triangleleft G$ such that $m \mid [G:N] \mid m!$. Indeed, if $X = G/H$ is the set of left cosets and $G \curvearrowright X$ by left multiplication with an action $\varphi: G \rightarrow \text{Sym}(X) \cong S_m$ then, $G/\ker \varphi \cong \text{Im } \varphi \leq S_m \Rightarrow [G:\ker \varphi] \mid m!$

Define $N := \ker \varphi$.

Moreover, if $g \in \ker \varphi \Rightarrow g \cdot H = gH = H \Rightarrow g \in H$.
therefore, $N \leq H$. Since $[G:N] = [G:H][H:N]$

In consequence, $\boxed{m \mid [G:N] \mid m! \text{ and } N \triangleleft G}$

By Sylow theorem C, we have that:

$$n_2 = 1, 3, 5 \text{ or } 15$$

$$n_3 = 1, 4 \text{ or } 10$$

$$n_5 = 1, \text{ or } 6.$$

- Since G is simple, $\boxed{n_5 = 6}$.

- If $n_3 = 4$, by fact (1) there exists $H \leq G$
with $[G:H] = 4$ and by fact (2), there
is $N \triangleleft G$ such that $4 \mid [G:N]$

Since $4 \mid [G:N] \Rightarrow N \neq G$. Since $4! = 24 < 60$,
and $[G:N] \mid 4! \Rightarrow N = \{e\}$. This contradicts
that G is simple. Therefore, $\boxed{n_3 = 10}$

- If $n_2 = 3$, by fact (1) there exists $H \leq G$
with $[G:H] = 3$ and by fact (2), there
is $N \triangleleft G$ such that $3 \mid [G:N]$
then $N \neq G$ and $N \neq \{e\}$ which is a
contradiction. therefore, $\boxed{n_2 \neq 3}$

- Since $n_3 = 10$, there are $\frac{10}{3}$ elements in G
with order 3. Similarly, $n_5 = 6 \Rightarrow$ there are
24 elements with order 5. therefore,

the union of all Sylow 2-subgroups should be contained in the remaining 16 elements.

- Suppose that $n_2 = 15$. Then, if $|H| = 4$, $H \leq G$, by (1) we have that $|N_G[H]| = \frac{|G|}{15} = 4 \Rightarrow N_G[H] = H$ for each Sylow 2-subgroup \oplus .

Now, if all Sylow 2-subgroup had trivial intersection, then there would be $3 \cdot 15 = 45$ more elements of even order besides the 44 elements from Sylow 3 and 5-subgroup. However, $|G| = 60$. Therefore, we can take

$H, K \leq G$ such that $|H| = |K| = 4$ and $|H \cap K| = 2$. If $H \cap K = \{e, s\}$, where $s^2 = e$, let us prove that $|Z(s)| = 4$.

$\oplus \oplus$ If we had this, since $s \in H, s \in K$ and H, K are abelian, we should have $H \cup K \subseteq Z(s)$. However, we would have $|H \cup K| = 8 > 4 = |Z(s)|$, which would be a contradiction.

• More generally, let us prove that if $n_2 = 15$, and order of s is 2, $|Z(s)| = 4$. Let such $s \in G$. Since there exists K Sylow 2-subgroup in G such that $\{e, s\} \subseteq K$ (by Sylow theorem B), and K is abelian (it has order 4), then $K \leq Z(s) \leq G$.

Therefore, $4 \mid |Z(s)| \mid 60$ and in that way,
 $|Z(s)| = 4, 12, 20$ or 60 .

• If $|Z(s)| = 60 \Rightarrow G = Z(s) \Rightarrow \forall g \in G$
 $gsg^{-1} = s \Rightarrow \{e, s\} \triangleleft G$ which contradicts
simplicity of G .

• If $|Z(s)| = 20 \Rightarrow [G : Z(s)] = 3$ and
by (2) we could get $N \triangleleft G$ such that
 $3 \mid [G : N] \mid 3! = 6 \Rightarrow N \neq \{e\}$ and $N \neq G$
and contradicts simplicity again

• If $|Z(s)| = 12 = 4 \cdot 3$, and n_3' is the #
of Sylow 3-subgroups of G , then $n_3' = 1$ or
 $n_3' = 4$

• If $n_3' = 1 \Rightarrow \exists K \triangleleft Z(s)$ such that $|K| = 3$
 $\Rightarrow Z(s) \leq N_G[K]$. However, since $n_3 = 10$,
by (1) we have $|N_G[K]| = 60/10 = 6$
 $< 12 = |Z(s)|$ (Contradiction)

• If $n_3' = 4$, then there would be $4 \cdot 2 = 8$
non-null elements of order 3. Therefore,
there is only room of $12 - 8 = 4$ elements
for Sylow 2-subgroups. In consequence,
there could be only one Sylow-2 subgroup
of G' , say \bar{H} . Then, $\bar{H} \triangleleft G'$ and in
that way $G' \leq N_G[\bar{H}]$. However,

by \oplus , $4 = |N_G[H]| < |G| = 12$
(contradiction)

• In consequence, $|Z(s)| = 4$ and by $\oplus \oplus$ we get again another contradiction.

therefore, $n_2 \neq 15$ and $\boxed{n_2 = 5}$

✓ 10

Note: Exercise 17 was written in the most essential points using ideas of the professor.

Exercise 14 have some ideas taken from internet (stackexchange.com)