

## Problem 1 Part 1

Recall that we have the following isomorphisms of vector spaces:

$$\mathbb{C}G \xrightarrow{\Psi} \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda_G} \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V_{\lambda}) \xleftarrow{\Phi} \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda_G} V_{\lambda}^* \otimes V_{\lambda}$$

where  $\Lambda_G$  is the set of isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional irreducible representations of  $G$ .

Let us be more explicit in our treatment of  $\Psi$  and  $\Phi$ . If  $\xi_{\lambda} \in V_{\lambda}^*$  and  $w_{\lambda} \in V_{\lambda}$ , then  $\Phi$  is given by

$$\Phi \left( \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda_G} \xi_{\lambda}(\cdot) \otimes w_{\lambda} \right) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda_G} \xi_{\lambda}(\cdot) w_{\lambda},$$

where “ $(\cdot)$ ” is a placeholder for the  $\lambda$  coordinate of an element of  $\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda_G} V_{\lambda}$ . As for  $\Psi$ , we know that  $(e_g)_{g \in G}$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{C}G$  if we define  $e_g(x) = \delta_{g,x}$  for all  $g, x \in G$ . Also, for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda_G$ , there is a map  $\rho_{\lambda} : G \rightarrow GL(V_{\lambda})$  corresponding to the irreducible representation of  $G$  on  $V_{\lambda}$ . With these conventions,  $\Psi$  is given by

$$\Psi \left( \sum_{g \in G} a_g e_g(\cdot) \right) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda_G} \sum_{g \in G} a_g \rho_{\lambda}(g)(\cdot)$$

where  $a_x \in \mathbb{C}$  for every  $x \in G$ , “ $(\cdot)$ ” is a placeholder for an element of  $G$  on the left-hand side of the equation, and “ $(\cdot)$ ” is a placeholder for the  $\lambda$  coordinate of an element of  $V$  on the right-hand side of the equation.

Given  $\xi \in V_{\lambda}^*$  and  $v \in V_{\lambda}$ , define  $\varphi_{\xi,v} : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $g \mapsto \xi(\rho_{\lambda}(g^{-1})(v))$ . Prove

$$\Psi(\varphi_{\xi,v}) = \Phi \left( \left( \frac{|G|}{\dim(V_{\lambda})} \right) \xi \otimes v \right).$$

**Proof:** We know that for any  $\xi \in V_{\lambda}^*$  and  $w \in V_{\lambda}$ ,  $\rho_{\lambda}^*(g)(\xi) \otimes \rho_{\lambda}(g)(w) \in V_{\lambda}^* \otimes V_{\lambda}$  for all  $g \in G$ , and thus  $\sum_{g \in G} \rho_{\lambda}^*(g)(\xi) \otimes \rho_{\lambda}(g)(w) \in V_{\lambda}^* \otimes V_{\lambda}$ . Next, observe that the restriction of  $\Phi$  to a particular coordinate  $\lambda \in \Lambda_G$  is the natural map between  $V_{\lambda}^* \otimes V_{\lambda}$  and  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V_{\lambda})$ , which we know by problem 4 from problem set 6 is  $G$ -intertwining. Also, by Schur’s lemma, every element of  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V_{\lambda})$  is a constant multiple of the identity map  $\text{Id}_{V_{\lambda}}$ . Therefore,

$$\Phi \left( \sum_{g \in G} \rho_{\lambda}^*(g)(\xi) \otimes \rho_{\lambda}(g)(w) \right) = z \text{Id}_{V_{\lambda}}$$

for some constant  $z$ . Since  $\Phi$  is an isomorphism, we know that traces are preserved under  $\Phi$ . In particular, since the trace of  $\rho_{\lambda}^*(g)(\xi) \otimes \rho_{\lambda}(g)(w)$  is precisely  $\xi(w)$ , the above equation yields  $|G| \xi(w) = z \dim(V_{\lambda})$ . Evaluating both sides of this equation at any  $v \in V_{\lambda}$  yields

$$\sum_{g \in G} \xi(\rho_{\lambda}(g^{-1})(v)) \cdot \rho_{\lambda}(g)(w) = \left( \frac{|G|}{\dim(V_{\lambda})} \right) \xi(w) \cdot v.$$

But then

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi\left(\xi\left(\rho_\lambda\left(g^{-1}\right)(v)\right)\left[\rho_\lambda(g)(w)\right]\right) &= \sum_{g \in G} \rho_\lambda\left(g^{-1}\right)(v) \rho_\lambda(g)(w) \\ &= \left(\frac{|G|}{\dim(V_\lambda)}\right) \xi(w) \cdot v \\ &= \Phi\left(\left(\frac{|G|}{\dim(V_\lambda)}\right) \xi(w) \otimes v\right),\end{aligned}$$

as desired.

## Problem 1 Part 2

Consider the  $G \times G$  action on  $\mathbb{C}G$  by the following formula, for every  $g_1, g_2, x \in G$  and  $f \in \mathbb{C}G$ :

$$[(g_1, g_2) \cdot f](x) = f(g_1^{-1}xg_2).$$

Prove that  $\Phi^{-1} \circ \Psi$  is a  $G \times G$ -intertwiner where  $G \times G$  acts on  $V_\lambda^* \otimes V_\lambda$  by  $(g_1, g_2) \cdot (\xi, v) = (g_1 \cdot \xi) \otimes (g_2 \cdot v)$ .

**Proof:** It is clear that the two actions stated in the problem statement are indeed actions. To prove that  $\Phi^{-1} \circ \Psi$  is  $G \times G$ -intertwining, using what we know from part 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi^{-1} \circ \Psi \circ (g_1, g_2) \cdot (\xi, v) &= \Phi^{-1} \circ \Psi(g_1 \cdot \xi \otimes g_2 \cdot v) \\ &= \xi(g_1^{-1}xg_2)v \\ &= (g_1, g_2) \cdot \xi(x \cdot v) \\ &= (g_1, g_2) \cdot (\Phi^{-1} \circ \Psi(\xi, v))(x),\end{aligned}$$

as desired.

## Problem 1 Part 3

Define a map  $*$  :  $\mathbb{C}G \times \mathbb{C}G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}G$  as follows: For every  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathbb{C}G$ ,

$$f_1 * f_2(x) = \sum_{g \in G} f_1(xg^{-1}) f_2(g).$$

Prove that  $\Psi(f_1 * f_2) = \Psi(f_1) \circ \Psi(f_2)$  where the operation on the right-hand side is composition of linear endomorphisms.

**Proof:** If we write  $f_1 = \sum_{g \in G} a_g e_g$  and  $f_2 = \sum_{g \in G} b_g e_g$ , then we can compute

$$\Psi(f_1 * f_2) = \Psi\left(\sum_{g \in G} f_1(xg^{-1}) f_2(g)\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \Psi \left( \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{s \in G} a_s e_s (xg^{-1}) \sum_{t \in G} b_t e_t (g) \right) \\
 &= \bigotimes_{\lambda \in \Lambda(G)} \sum_{g \in G} a_g \rho_\lambda (g) \circ \bigotimes_{\lambda \in \Lambda_G} \sum_{g \in G} b_g \rho_\lambda (g) \\
 &= \Psi \left( \sum_{g \in G} a_g e_g \right) \circ \Psi \left( \sum_{g \in G} b_g e_g \right) \\
 &= \Psi (f_1) \circ \Psi (f_2).
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

### Problem 3

Consider the action of the symmetric group  $S_n$  on  $V \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  by permutation of coordinates, where

$$V := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_i : a_1 + \dots + a_n = 0 \right\}$$

where  $(e_i)_{i=1}^n$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Prove that  $V$  is an irreducible representation of  $S_n$ .

**Proof:** We should first confirm that  $S_n$  does indeed act on  $V$ , though this is an easy task. We already know that  $S_n$  acts on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  by permuting the basis vectors  $e_1$  through  $e_n$ . Furthermore, given any  $\sigma \in S_n$  and  $v = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_i \in V$ , then  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 0$ , and

$$\rho_\sigma v = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \rho_\sigma e_i = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_{\sigma(i)} = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{\sigma^{-1}(i)} e_i,$$

which belongs to  $V$  since  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_{\sigma^{-1}(i)} = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 0$ . Thus  $V$  is  $S_n$ -stable. Hence  $V$  is indeed a representation of  $S_n$ .

Next, I claim that  $\{e_1 - e_i\}_{i=2}^n$  is a basis for  $V$ . Certainly  $e_1 - e_i \in V$  for all  $2 \leq i \leq n$ . For linear independence, suppose  $\sum_{i=2}^n a_i (e_1 - e_i) = 0$  for some constants  $a_2$  through  $a_n$ . Then  $(\sum_{i=2}^n a_i) e_1 - \sum_{i=2}^n a_i e_i = 0$ . Since the  $e_i$ 's are independent, we know that  $\sum_{i=2}^n a_i = -a_2 = \dots = -a_n = 0$ . So then  $a_2 = \dots = a_n = 0$  and  $0 = \sum_{i=2}^n a_i$ . Therefore, the  $e_1 - e_i$ 's are linearly independent. To prove that  $\{e_1 - e_i\}$  spans  $V$ , let  $v = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_i \in V$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 v &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_i = a_1 e_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n a_i e_i = a_1 e_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n (-a_i (e_1 - e_i) + a_i e_1) \\
 &= a_1 e_1 + \left( \sum_{i=2}^n -a_i (e_1 - e_i) \right) + \sum_{i=2}^n a_i e_1 = \left( e_1 \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \right) + \sum_{i=2}^n -a_i (e_1 - e_i) \\
 &= \sum_{i=2}^n -a_i (e_1 - e_i).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\{e_1 - e_i\}$  spans  $V$ . Hence  $\{e_1 - e_i\}$  is a basis for  $V$ .

I now claim that, by an argument similar to the one from the above paragraph, that  $\{e_i - e_{i+1}\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$  is a basis for  $V$ . Certainly  $e_i - e_{i+1} \in V$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ . For linear independence, suppose  $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i (e_i - e_{i+1}) = 0$  for some constants  $a_1$  through  $a_{n-1}$ . Then  $a_1 e_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} (a_i - a_{i-1}) e_i - a_{n-1} e_n = 0$ . Since the  $e_i$ 's are independent, we know that  $a_1 = (a_2 - a_1) = \dots = (a_{n-1} - a_{n-2}) = a_{n-1} = 0$ . So then  $a_1 = a_{n-1} = 0$ , which combined with  $a_2 - a_1 = a_{n-1} - a_{n-2} = 0$  yield  $a_2 = a_{n-2} = 0$ , and continuing this procedure yields  $a_1 = \dots = a_{n-1} = 0$ . Therefore, the  $e_i - e_{i+1}$ 's are linearly independent. To prove that  $\{e_i - e_{i+1}\}$  spans  $V$ , let  $v = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_i \in V$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} v &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_i = a_n e_n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (a_i e_i + a_i e_{i+1} - a_i e_{i+1}) = a_n e_n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [a_i (e_i - e_{i-1}) + a_i e_{i+1}] \\ &= \left( a_n e_n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i e_{i+1} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i (e_i - e_{i-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i (e_i - e_{i-1}). \end{aligned}$$

The final inequality above holds since the sum of the coefficients of  $a_n e_n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i e_{i+1}$  is precisely  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_i = 0$ . Thus  $\{e_i - e_{i+1}\}$  spans  $V$ . Hence  $\{e_i - e_{i+1}\}$  is a basis for  $V$ .

Proceeding to the given problem, suppose  $W$  is a nonzero irreducible subrepresentation of  $V$ . We must prove that  $W = V$ . Let  $w \in W \setminus \{0\}$ , and write  $w = \sum_{i=2}^n a_i (e_1 - e_i)$ , which we know is possible by our previous paragraphs. Let  $s_i = (i, i + 1) \in S_n$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ . Assume for contradiction that  $\rho_{s_i} w = w$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ . So for each  $2 \leq j \leq n - 1$ ,

$$\sum_{i=2}^n a_i (e_1 - e_i) = w = \rho_{s_j} w = a_{j+1} (e_1 - e_j) + a_j (e_1 - e_{j+1}) + \sum_{\substack{2 \leq i \leq n, \\ i \neq j, j+1}} a_i (e_1 - e_i).$$

Canceling terms from both sides of the above equation yields  $-a_j e_j - a_{j+1} e_{j+1} = -a_{j+1} e_j - a_j e_{j+1}$ , and so  $(a_{j+1} - a_j) e_{j+1} = (a_{j+1} - a_j) e_j$ , which implies  $a_j = a_{j+1}$ . Since this holds for all  $2 \leq j \leq n - 1$ , we have  $a_2 = \dots = a_n$ . Writing  $w$  as a sum of  $e_1$  through  $e_n$  then yields

$$w = \left( \sum_{i=2}^n a_i \right) e_1 - a_2 e_2 - \dots - a_n e_n = (n - 1) a_2 e_1 - a_2 e_2 - \dots - a_2 e_n.$$

It is apparent that  $\{e_n - e_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$  is a basis for  $V$ , and the proof of this is identical to the proof of the fact that  $\{e_1 - e_i\}_{i=2}^n$  is a basis for  $V$  up to appropriate changes in subscripts. Writing  $w = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} b_i (e_n - e_i)$  for constants  $b_1$  through  $b_{n-1}$  and following the procedure of the above paragraph then yields  $b_1 = \dots = b_{n-1}$ , and writing  $w$  as a sum of  $e_1$  through  $e_n$  then yields

$$w = -b_1 e_1 - \dots - b_{n-1} e_{n-1} + \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} b_i \right) e_n = -b_1 e_1 - \dots - b_1 e_{n-1} - (n - 1) b_1 e_n.$$

The only way to reconcile our two expressions of  $w$  involving  $a_2$  and  $b_1$  is if  $a_1 = b_1 = 0$ . But then  $a_2 = \dots = a_n = 0$ , which implies  $w = 0$ . This which contradicts the fact that we chose  $w \in W \setminus \{0\}$ . Therefore, there is some  $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$  such that  $\rho_{s_j} w = w$ .

Let  $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$  be such that  $\rho_{s_j} w \neq w$ . Then

$$w - \rho_{s_j} w = a_j (e_1 - e_j) + a_{j+1} (e_1 - e_j) - a_{j+1} (e_1 - e_j) - a_j (e_1 - e_{j+1}) = -a_j (e_j - e_{j+1}).$$

Since  $w - \rho_{s_j} w \neq 0$ ,  $a_j \neq 0$ . Therefore  $-a_j$  is invertible, and since  $w, \rho_{s_j} w \in W$ , we know that  $W$  contains  $(-a_j)^{-1} (w - \rho_{s_j} w) = e_j - e_{j+1}$ . Then since  $\rho_{s_i} (e_j - e_{j+1}) \in W$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ ,  $W$  contains  $e_i - e_{i+1}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ . We have proven that  $\{e_i - e_{i+1}\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$  is a basis for  $V$ , and thus  $W$  contains a basis for  $V$ , which means that  $W = V$ . Thus  $V$  is the only nonzero irreducible subrepresentation of  $V$ . Hence  $V$  is irreducible. This completes the proof.

### Problem 4

Recall that  $D_n$  is the group generated by two elements  $s_1, s_2$ , subject to the relations  $s_1^2 = s_2^2 = (s_1 s_2)^n = 1$ . Consider the action of the dihedral group  $D_n$  on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  given by the group homomorphism  $D_n \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{C})$ :

$$s_1 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad s_2 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & -\cos \theta \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $\theta = 2\pi/n$ . Prove that for  $n \geq 3$ , the resulting representation is irreducible.

**Proof:** We must first check confirm that the given action of  $D_n$  on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  is indeed a group homomorphism, which we will call  $\rho$ . Since we have defined  $\rho$  on a generating set for  $D_n$ ,  $\rho$  is automatically defined on all of  $D_n$  so as to satisfy  $\rho_{gh} = \rho_g \rho_h$  for all  $g, h \in D_n$ . Since the generators  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  for  $D_n$  are subject to the relations  $s_1^2 = s_2^2 = (s_1 s_2)^n = 1$ , it suffices to prove that the images of  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  under  $\rho$  satisfy the same relations that  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  satisfy, i.e.  $\rho_{s_1}^2 = \rho_{s_2}^2 = (\rho_{s_1} \rho_{s_2})^n = I$  where  $I$  is the identity map on  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . To this end, we simply compute

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{s_1}^2 &= \rho_1 = I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \rho_{s_1} \rho_{s_1}, \\ \rho_{s_2}^2 &= \rho_1 = I = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & -\cos \theta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & -\cos \theta \end{bmatrix} = \rho_{s_2} \rho_{s_2}. \end{aligned}$$

For the final relation, first observe that

$$\rho_{s_1} \rho_{s_2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & -\cos \theta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let us to use  $A_\theta$  to denote the above matrix for  $\rho_{s_1} \rho_{s_2}$ . Now observe that for any two angles  $\theta$  and  $\psi$ ,

$$A_\theta A_\psi = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos \psi & \sin \psi \\ -\sin \psi & \cos \psi \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta \cos \psi - \sin \theta \sin \psi & \cos \theta \sin \psi + \sin \theta \cos \psi \\ -\sin \theta \cos \psi - \cos \theta \sin \psi & -\sin \theta \sin \psi + \cos \theta \cos \psi \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} (\cos(\theta+\psi)+\cos(\theta-\psi))/2 & (\sin(\theta+\psi)-\sin(\theta-\psi))/2 \\ -(\cos(\theta-\psi)-\cos(\theta+\psi))/2 & (\sin(\theta+\psi)+\sin(\theta-\psi))/2 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} -(\sin(\theta+\psi)+\sin(\theta-\psi))/2 & -(\cos(\theta-\psi)-\cos(\theta+\psi))/2 \\ -(\sin(\theta+\psi)-\sin(\theta-\psi))/2 & +(\cos(\theta+\psi)+\cos(\theta-\psi))/2 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta + \psi) & \sin(\theta + \psi) \\ -\sin(\theta + \psi) & \cos(\theta + \psi) \end{bmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using this fact, I claim that  $(\rho_{s_1}\rho_{s_2})^m = A_{\theta m}$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N} (\not\equiv 0)$ . This is clear by induction: for  $m = 1$ , we've already proven that  $\rho_{s_1}\rho_{s_2} = A_\theta$ , and if  $(\rho_{s_1}\rho_{s_2})^m = A_{\theta m}$ , then by the above fact,  $(\rho_{s_1}\rho_{s_2})^{m+1} = A_{\theta m}A_\theta = A_{\theta(m+1)}$ . Hence  $(\rho_{s_1}\rho_{s_2})^m = A_{\theta m}$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . In particular,

$$(\rho_{s_1}\rho_{s_2})^n = A_{\theta n} = A_{2\pi n/n} = A_{2\pi} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(2\pi) & \sin(2\pi) \\ -\sin(2\pi) & \cos(2\pi) \end{bmatrix} = I.$$

Thus  $\rho_{s_1}$  and  $\rho_{s_2}$  satisfy the same relations as  $s_1$  and  $s_2$ , which confirms that  $\rho$  is well-defined. Combining this with the fact that  $\rho_{gh} = \rho_g\rho_h$  for all  $g, h \in D_n$  confirms that  $\rho$  is a group homomorphism. Hence  $D_n$  does indeed act on  $GL_2(\mathbb{C})$  as described in the problem statement.

To prove that  $\rho$  is irreducible, assume for contradiction that  $\rho$  is not irreducible. This means that  $\mathbb{C}^2$  has some  $G$ -invariant subspace  $V \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ . Since  $\dim \mathbb{C}^2 = 2$ ,  $\dim V = 1$ . So for any  $v \in V \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $V = \text{Span}(v)$ , which means that for all  $g \in D_n$ ,  $\rho_g v \in V = \text{Span}(v)$ , so  $\rho_g v = \lambda v$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Thus  $v$  is an eigenvector for  $\rho_g$  for all  $g \in D_n$ . One one hand, this means that  $v$  is an eigenvector for  $\rho_{s_1}$ . Since  $\rho_{s_1}$  is a diagonal matrix, we can easily see that it has eigenvalues 1 and  $-1$ . Since we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho_{s_1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\
 \rho_{s_1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = -1 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix},
 \end{aligned}$$

we see that 1 and  $-1$  have corresponding eigenvectors  $(1, 0)$  and  $(0, 1)$ . Since  $V = \text{Span}(v)$ , we can conclude that  $V = \mathbb{C} \times \{0\}$  or  $V = \{0\} \times \mathbb{C}$ . On the other hand, the fact that  $v$  is an eigenvector for  $\rho_g$  for all  $g \in D_n$  implies that  $\rho_{s_2} v = \lambda v$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Writing  $v = (v_1, v_2)$ , this means

$$\begin{bmatrix} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{n}\right) & \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{n}\right) \\ \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{n}\right) & -\cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{n}\right) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{n}\right) + v_2 \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{n}\right) \\ v_1 \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{n}\right) - v_2 \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{n}\right) \end{bmatrix} = \lambda \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since  $V = \mathbb{C} \times \{0\}$  or  $V = \{0\} \times \mathbb{C}$ , either  $v_1 = 0$  or  $v_2 = 0$ . If  $v_1 = 0$ , then  $v_1 \cos(2\pi/n) + v_2 \sin(2\pi/n) = \lambda v_1$  becomes  $v_2 \sin(2\pi/n) = 0$ . Since  $n \geq 3$ , this implies  $v_2 = 0$ , and so  $v = 0$ , which contradicts that  $v \in V \setminus \{0\}$ . If instead  $v_2 = 0$ , then  $v_1 \sin(2\pi/n) - v_2 \cos(2\pi/n) = \lambda v_2$  becomes  $v_1 \sin(2\pi/n) = 0$ , which implies  $v_1 = 0$ , and so  $v = 0$ , which contradicts that  $v \in V \setminus \{0\}$ . We have thus arrived at contradictions in all scenarios. Therefore, it cannot be the case that  $\rho$  is not irreducible. Hence  $\rho$  is irreducible.

## Problem 6

Let  $G$  be a group action on a finite nonempty set  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  be the corresponding representation of  $G$  on the vector space of complex-valued functions on  $X$ . Prove that  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  contains the one-dimensional trivial representation of  $G$  with multiplicity  $|G \backslash X|$ , which is the number of  $G$ -orbits in  $X$ .

**Proof:** We know by part 1 of problem 7 from problem set 6 that  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  has basis  $(e_x)_{x \in X}$  where  $e_x : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is given by  $e_x(y) = \delta_{x,y}$  for all  $y \in X$ . Furthermore, if we let  $\rho$  be the representation of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{F}(X)$ , then we know from that same problem that for all  $g \in G$  and  $x \in X$ ,  $\rho_g e_x = e_{gx}$ , where the multiplication in the subscript of  $e_{gx}$  is given by the group action of  $G$  on  $X$ . Now let  $n = |X|$ , and let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be any numbering of the elements of  $X$ . Since  $\rho_g e_x = e_{gx}$  for all  $g \in G$  and  $x \in X$ , we know that  $\rho_g e_i = e_j$  iff  $g e_i = e_j$ . Therefore, given any  $g \in G$ , if we express  $\rho_g$  as a matrix  $A$  with entries  $a_{ij}$ , then the entries satisfy  $a_{ij} = 1$  if  $\rho_g e_j = e_i$ , and  $a_{ij} = 0$  otherwise. This observation allows us to compute

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_\rho(g) &= \operatorname{tr}(\rho_g) = \operatorname{tr}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii} = |\{1 \leq i \leq n : a_{ii} = 1\}| = |\{1 \leq i \leq n : \rho_g e_i = e_i\}| \\ &= |\{1 \leq i \leq n : g x_i = x_i\}| = |X^g|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for all  $g \in G$ ,  $\chi_\rho(g) = |X^g|$ .

Next, write  $\mathcal{F}(X) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} V_\lambda^{\oplus m_\lambda}$ , and let  $\rho_\lambda$  be the irreducible representation corresponding to the subspace  $V_\lambda$ . Recall that for any irreducible representation  $\rho'$ ,  $(\chi_{\rho_\lambda}, \chi_{\rho'}) = 1$  if  $\rho_\lambda \simeq \rho'$  as representations, and  $(\chi_{\rho_\lambda}, \chi_{\rho'}) = 0$  otherwise. Therefore,  $(\chi_\rho, \chi_{\rho'}) = m_\lambda$  if  $\rho_\lambda \simeq \rho'$ , and  $(\chi_\rho, \chi_{\rho'}) = 0$  if  $\rho'$  is not isomorphic to  $\rho_\lambda$  for any  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Also, by Burnside's lemma,  $|G \backslash X| = |G|^{-1} \sum_{g \in G} |X^g|$ . Next, note that the one-dimensional trivial representation  $\rho_{\mathbb{1}}$  is such that for all  $g \in G$ ,  $\rho_{\mathbb{1}}(g)$  is given by the  $1 \times 1$  matrix whose only entry is 1. Thus the character of the one-dimensional trivial representation satisfies  $\chi_{\mathbb{1}}(g) = 1$  for all  $g \in G$ . For ease of notation, if  $\rho_{\mathbb{1}} \simeq \rho_\lambda$  for some  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , then define  $m_{\mathbb{1}} = m_\lambda$ , and otherwise define  $m_{\mathbb{1}} = 0$ . Thus  $m_{\mathbb{1}}$  is precisely the number of irreducible subrepresentations of  $\rho$  which are isomorphic to  $\rho_{\mathbb{1}}$ . Combining all of these facts with our result from the previous paragraph allows us to compute

$$\begin{aligned} |G \backslash X| &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} |X^g| = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_\rho(g) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_\rho(g) \chi_{\mathbb{1}}(g^{-1}) = (\chi_\rho, \chi_{\mathbb{1}}) \\ &= \left( \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} m_\lambda \chi_{\rho_\lambda}, \chi_{\mathbb{1}} \right) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} m_\lambda (\chi_{\rho_\lambda}, \chi_{\mathbb{1}}) = m_{\mathbb{1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $m_{\mathbb{1}}$ , which is the number of times that the representation  $\rho$  of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  contains the one-dimensional trivial representation  $\mathbb{1}$  of  $G$  as a subrepresentation, is precisely equal to  $|G \backslash X|$ . This completes the proof.

## Problem 8

Let  $\lambda$  be a partition of  $n$ , written as  $\lambda = (1, \dots, 1, 2, \dots, 2, \dots)$  where the index  $i$  is written  $l_i$  times for  $i \in \mathbb{N} (\neq 0)$ . Thus  $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} i l_i = n$ . Prove that the number of elements in the conjugacy class of  $S_n$  of cycle type  $\lambda$  is given by

$$\frac{n!}{\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} i^{l_i} (l_i!)}$$

**Proof:** Suppose  $\sigma \in S_n$  has cycle type  $\lambda$ . Since each index between 1 and  $n$  appears in precisely one cycle of  $\sigma$ , we know that there are  $n!$  possible ways of writing  $\sigma$ . However, many of these ways of writing  $\sigma$  will yield the same permutation. To determine how many distinct choices exist for  $\sigma$ , fix some  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . For any  $i$ -cycle  $(a_1, \dots, a_i)$ , we know that

$$(a_1, \dots, a_i) = (a_2, \dots, a_i, a_1) = \dots = (a_i, a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}).$$

Therefore, the number of ways of writing any particular  $i$ -cycle is  $i$ . So for each  $i$ -cycle, we must divide the number of choices for  $\sigma$  by  $i$  to account for the equivalent ways of writing each  $i$ -cycle. Since  $\sigma$  contains  $l_i$   $i$ -cycles, we must divide the number of choices for  $\sigma$  by  $i^{l_i}$ . Furthermore, since the  $i$ -cycles are disjoint, reordering the  $i$ -cycles will have no impact on the value of  $\sigma$ . Since  $\sigma$  contains  $l_i$   $i$ -cycles, there are  $l_i!$  possible orderings of the  $i$ -cycles. To account for these reorderings, we must divide the number of choices for  $\sigma$  by  $l_i!$ . Therefore, for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , we must divide the number of choices for  $\sigma$  by  $i^{l_i} (l_i!)$ . Once we do this for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , we arrive at a final number of possible choices for  $\sigma$  given by

$$\frac{n!}{\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} i^{l_i} (l_i!)}$$

Hence the above value is the number of elements in the conjugacy class of  $S_n$  consisting of elements of cycle type  $\lambda$ . This completes the proof.