

Lecture 10

①

(10.0) Recall that last time we defined the following:

- for a group G and a pair A, B of its normal subgroups,

$$(A, B) = \text{subgroup of } G \text{ generated by the set} \\ \{ ab\bar{a}'\bar{b}' : a \in A, b \in B \}$$

(we saw that (A, B) is normal.)

- $D(G) := (G, G)$.

Main property of $D(G)$: (Problem 11 of Set 1; Problem 1 of Set 2)

$G/D(G)$ is abelian. Hence any subgroup of G containing $D(G)$ is normal. Conversely, if $H \triangleleft G$ s.t. G/H is abelian then $D(G) \subset H$ (use $G_1 = G, G_2 = G/H$ in Problem 1 of Set 2).

- G is said to be solvable if $\exists N \geq 0$ such that

$$D^N(G) = \{e\}.$$

Recall that $\{D^n(G)\}_{n \geq 0}$ were defined by:

$$D^0(G) = G \quad D^{n+1}(G) = D(D^n(G)) \\ = (D^n(G), D^n(G))$$

Thus a solvable group G has a composition series

$$G = D^0(G) \supset D^1(G) \supset \dots \supset D^N(G) = \{e\} \quad \text{with}$$

- each $D^j(G)$ is normal subgroup of G .
- associated graded $D^j(G)/D^{j+1}(G)$ is abelian $\forall 0 \leq j \leq N-1$.

(10.1) Theorem. Let G be a group and assume it has a composition series

$$\Sigma : G = G_0 \supset G_1 \supset \dots \supset G_n = \{e\}$$

such that $\text{gr}_j^\Sigma(G) = G_j/G_{j+1}$ is abelian. Then G is solvable.

Proof. We will prove by induction on j that $D^j(G) \subset G_j$. Thus establishing that $D^n(G) \subset G_n = \{e\}$, hence G is solvable.

Base case: $j=0$ is obvious ($D^0(G) = G = G_0$).

Induction step. Assume $D^j(G) \subset G_j$. Since G_j/G_{j+1}

is abelian, $D(G_j) \subset G_{j+1}$ (see previous page).

$$\Rightarrow D^{j+1}(G) = D(D^j(G)) \subset D(G_j) \subset G_{j+1}$$

and we are done. \square

(10.2) Corollary. (i) G is solvable \Leftrightarrow it has a composition series whose associated graded pieces are abelian. (3)

(ii) Every p -group is solvable (see Theorem 7.5 p.5).

(10.3) Another application of Thm. 10.1 (p.2) is the following

Proposition. Let G be a group and $N \triangleleft G$ a normal subgroup. Then G is solvable if and only if N and G/N are solvable.

[This statement is usually phrased as follows:

If $1 \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow G_2 \rightarrow G_3 \rightarrow 1$ is an exact sequence, then G_2 is solvable $\Leftrightarrow G_1$ & G_3 are solvable]

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Let us assume G is solvable and $n \geq 0$ is such that $D^n(G) = \{e\}$.

Then $D^n(N) \subset D^n(G) = \{e\} \Rightarrow N$ is solvable.
 \uparrow clear by an easy induction argument on n .

If $\pi: G \rightarrow G/N$ is the natural projection, then

$$\bullet \pi(D(G)) = \pi((G, G)) = (\pi(G), \pi(G)) = D(G/N)$$

repeatedly using this we get

$$D^n(G/N) = \pi(D^n(G)) = \{\pi(e)\} = \{e_{G/N}\}$$

$\Rightarrow G/N$ is solvable.

(4)

(\Leftarrow) Now assume N & G/N are solvable. By

Theorem 10.1 and Cor. 10.2; we have composition series with abelian graded pieces

$$\Sigma : N = N_0 \supset N_1 \supset \dots \supset N_k = \{e\}$$

$$\bar{\Sigma} : G/N = \bar{G}_0 \supset \bar{G}_1 \supset \dots \supset \bar{G}_l = \{e\}$$

Set $G_j = \pi^{-1}(\bar{G}_j)$ ($0 \leq j \leq l$). Note that $G_l = N$.

Define $G_{l+i} := N_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq k$).

Claim: $\forall 0 \leq j \leq l-1$, $G_j/G_{j+1} \xrightarrow[\text{iso.}]{\cong} \bar{G}_j/\bar{G}_{j+1}$

Pf. of the claim. $\pi|_{G_j} : G_j \rightarrow \bar{G}_j$ is surjective by

definition. Let $\alpha : G_j \rightarrow \bar{G}_j/\bar{G}_{j+1}$ be its composition with

the natural surjection. Then $\text{Ker}(\alpha) = G_{j+1}$ and the

claim follows from the fundamental theorem of group hom-s.

Hence $G = G_0 \supset G_1 \supset \dots \supset G_{k+l} = \{e\}$ is a composition

series with abelian graded pieces $\Rightarrow G$ is solvable \square
(Thm. 10.1 above)

(10.4) Assume G is finite and solvable. Then G admits a J-H series (being finite), say Σ_1 , and a composition series with abelian factors, say Σ_2 . Using Schrier's Thm (8.2 on p.3), we can find their respective refinements Σ_1' and Σ_2' which are equivalent.

So, the graded pieces of Σ_2' are abelian (being a refinement of Σ_2), and the same must be true for Σ_1' (being equivalent to Σ_1) and also for Σ_1 (being J-H whose refinement has abelian graded pieces).

$\Rightarrow \text{gr}_j^{\Sigma_1}(G)$ is simple (since Σ_1 is J-H) and abelian

$\Rightarrow \text{gr}_j^{\Sigma_1}(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ for some prime p . Thus,

Prop. If G is finite then G is solvable if and only if $\text{gr}_j^{\Sigma}(G)$ is cyclic of prime order ($\forall 0 \leq j \leq \text{length of } \Sigma$) for some (and therefore any) J-H series Σ of G .

(10.5) Lower central series.

(6)

Define recursively $C^{n+1}(G) = (G, C^n(G))$ (again a normal subgroup of G)
 $C^1(G) = G$

Definition. G is said to be nilpotent if $\exists n \geq 1$ such that $C^n(G) = \{e\}$

Thus, a nilpotent group G admits a composition series

$$G = C^1(G) \supset C^2(G) \supset \dots \supset C^n(G) = \{e\} \text{ such that}$$

• $(G, C^k(G)) = C^{k+1}(G)$

• $C^k(G)/C^{k+1}(G)$ is abelian (since $(C^k(G), C^k(G)) \subset (G, C^k(G)) = C^{k+1}(G)$)

Remarks. • $C^2(G) = (G, G) = D^1(G)$

• $C^{n+1}(G) = C^n(G)$ because $\forall g \in G, a \in C^n(G)$

typical element $\rightarrow \underbrace{g a g^{-1} a^{-1}}_{\in C^n(G)} \in C^n(G)$
of the set generating $C^{n+1}(G)$
as $C^n(G)$ is normal

(Homework exercise) • $(C^m(G), C^n(G)) = C^{m+n}(G)$

$\Rightarrow D^l(G) = C^{2^l}(G)$. Hence, nilpotent \Rightarrow solvable.

We have the following analogue of Theorem 10.1 (p.2)

(10.6) Theorem. G is nilpotent if and only if it has a

composition series $\Sigma : G = G_0 > G_1 > \dots > G_m = \{e\}$

such that $\left[\text{gr}_j^\Sigma(G) = G_j / G_{j+1} \text{ is abelian } \forall 0 \leq j \leq m-1 \right]$

• $(G, G_j) \subset G_{j+1} \quad \forall 0 \leq j \leq m-1$

this follows from $(G, G_j) \subset G_{j+1}$, since then
 $(G_j, G_j) \subset (G, G_j) \subset G_{j+1} \Rightarrow G_j / G_{j+1}$ is abelian.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) take G_j to be $C^{j+1}(G)$.

(\Leftarrow) Again we claim that $C^{j+1}(G) \subset G_j$ ($0 \leq j \leq m$).

Indeed $C^1(G) = G = G_0$ and $C^{j+1}(G) = (G, C^j(G))$

is a subset of $(G, G_{j-1}) \subset G_j$. Thus $C^{m+1}(G) = \{e\}$
 (induction argument)

and hence G is nilpotent.

(10.7) Prop. (i) Subgroups and quotients of nilpotent groups are nilpotent

[use same argument as in the (\Rightarrow) proof of Prop. (10.3)]

(ii) G is nilpotent if and only if there is a subgroup

$$A \subset Z(G) \text{ st. } G/A \text{ is nilpotent.}$$

$$\uparrow \text{ center of } G = \{x \in G : gx = xg \forall g \in G\}$$

Proof. We only need to prove (\Leftarrow) implication, as the forward one follows from (i). Now let $\pi: G \rightarrow G/A$ be the

natural surjection and let n be such that $C^n(G/A) = \{e\}$.

$$\text{Then } \pi(C^n(G)) = C^n(G/A) \Rightarrow C^n(G) \subset A. \text{ But } A \subset Z(G),$$

$$\text{so } C^{n+1}(G) \subset (G, A) = \{e\}. \quad \square$$

(10.8) Remark. The conclusion of Prop 10.7 (ii) above would be false if we drop the assumption of A being in the center.

In other words, if $1 \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow G_2 \rightarrow G_3 \rightarrow 1$ is an exact sequence; G_1 & G_3 nilpotent does not imply G_2 is.

$$\text{eg. } G_2 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} : \begin{matrix} a, d \in \mathbb{C}^x \\ b \in \mathbb{C} \end{matrix} \right\}$$

$$G_3 \simeq \mathbb{C}^x \times \mathbb{C}^x \leftarrow G_1 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} : x \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$$

G_1 and G_3 are nilpotent; G_2 is solvable but not nilpotent (Homework exercise).

(10.9) Cor. Every p -group is nilpotent.

Proof. Let G be a group, $|G| = p^r$. We will prove this corollary by induction on r .

($r=1$) $G \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ $C^2(G) = \{e\}$ so G is nilpotent.

In general $\exists H \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, $H \subset Z(G)$ (see §7.3 p.4)

By induction hypothesis G/H is nilpotent and hence by Prop 10.7 (ii) of previous page, G is nilpotent \square

(10.10) In fact the only finite nilpotent groups are direct products of p -groups. The proof of this fact is a homework exercise, and depends on the following

Lemma. Let G be a nilpotent group and H be a proper subgroup. Then $H \subsetneq N_G(H)$.

Proof. As G is nilpotent, there is a composition series

$$G = G_0 > \dots > G_n = \{e\} \quad \text{s.t. } (G, G_j) \subset G_{j+1}$$

Problem #5 of Set 4 $\Rightarrow G_{j+1}H$ is normal in G_jH

so we get:

$$G = G_0 \cdot H \supset \dots \supset G_{n-1} H \supset G_n H = H$$

(10)

Let k be largest subscript for which $G_k \cdot H \not\supseteq H = G_{k+1} H$

Then $H \triangleleft_{+}^{\text{normal}} G_k \cdot H$ and hence $N_G(H) \supset G_k \cdot H$ implying

$$N_G(H) \not\supseteq H.$$

□