

Lecture 35

①

Primary decomposition

(35.0) Let A be a commutative Noetherian ring. Last time

we proved:

Every proper ideal $\mathcal{O} \subsetneq A$ can be written as a finite intersection $\mathcal{O} = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}_l$ where each \mathfrak{q}_j is ^(a) primary ideal. (Lemma 34.2 page 3).

e.g. $\mathcal{O} = (x^2, xy) \subset K[x, y] = A$ (K : any field)

Let $\mathfrak{p}_1 = (x)$ $\mathfrak{p}_2 = (x, y)$ be (prime) ideals in A .

$\mathcal{O} = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \mathfrak{p}_2^2 = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap (x^2, y)$ two distinct primary decompositions.

Today we will discuss uniqueness properties of the primary decomposition. We will keep $\mathcal{O} \subsetneq A$ a proper ideal

and $\mathcal{O} = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}_l$ fixed, and let $\mathfrak{p}_i = r(\mathfrak{q}_i)$ ($1 \leq i \leq l$) be the corresponding prime ideals.

(35.1) Assumptions. — (i) $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_l\}$ are distinct

(ii) $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$, $\mathfrak{q}_i \not\subseteq \bigcap_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq l \\ j \neq i}} \mathfrak{q}_j$

We say $\mathcal{O} = \bigcap_{j=1}^l q_j$ is reduced if these assumptions (2)

are met. Note that (ii) is just saying that no q_i is redundant & can always be achieved by discarding redundant terms. We claim that (i) can always be achieved, starting from any primary decomposition. This is because of the following.

Lemma. — If $\tilde{q}_1, \dots, \tilde{q}_n$ are primary with $r(\tilde{q}_j) = \mathfrak{p}$
 $\forall 1 \leq j \leq n$; then $\tilde{q} = \bigcap_{1 \leq j \leq n} \tilde{q}_j$ is also primary and $r(\tilde{q}) = \mathfrak{p}$.

Proof. — $r(\tilde{q}) = \bigcap_{1 \leq j \leq n} r(\tilde{q}_j) = \mathfrak{p}$. Now if $xy \in \tilde{q}$
and $y \notin \tilde{q}$ then for some j , $y \notin \tilde{q}_j$ and $xy \in \tilde{q}_j \Rightarrow x \in \mathfrak{p}$
i.e. $x^m \in \tilde{q}$ for some $m > 0$. Hence \tilde{q} is primary & $r(\tilde{q}) = \mathfrak{p}$. □

From now on, we assume that the following is a reduced primary decomposition

$$\mathcal{O} = q_1 \cap \dots \cap q_l$$

$\{ \mathfrak{p}_i := r(q_i) \}_{1 \leq i \leq l}$ \leftarrow corresponding distinct prime ideals.

(35.2) Lemma. — Let $q \subsetneq A$ be primary and $p = r(q)$. (3)

For $x \in A$ we have the following.

$$(1) \quad x \in q \quad \Rightarrow \quad (q : x) = A$$

$$(2) \quad x \notin q \quad \Rightarrow \quad (q : x) \text{ is primary and } r(q : x) = p$$

$$(3) \quad x \notin p \quad \Rightarrow \quad (q : x) = q$$

[recall: for two ideals $(a : b) := \{a \in A \mid ab \in a\}$]

Proof. — (1) is obvious. For (3) if $y \in (q : x)$ and $x \notin p$

(i.e. $x^n \notin q \forall n > 0$), we get $xy \in q$ and $x^n \notin q (\forall n)$

$\Rightarrow y \in q$ as q is primary.

(2). We begin by proving $(q : x) \subset p$. Let $y \in (q : x)$, i.e.

$xy \in q$. But $x \notin q$, so by defn. of primary ideal $y \in p$.

Thus by taking radicals $r(q : x) \subset p$.
 \subset
 $r(q) = p$

We still have to prove that $(q : x)$ is primary. So, if $y \cdot z \in (q : x)$

and $y^n \notin q (\forall n > 0)$, i.e. $y \notin p$; then

$$xy \cdot z \in q \quad \Rightarrow \quad xz \in q \quad \Rightarrow \quad z \in (q : x)$$

$$y^n \notin q (\forall n)$$

□

(35.3) Theorem. — The set of prime ideals $\{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_\ell\}$ is uniquely determined by \mathcal{O} .

(4)

$$\{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_\ell\} = \left\{ r(\mathcal{O}:x) \mid \begin{array}{l} x \in A \text{ s.t.} \\ r(\mathcal{O}:x) \text{ is prime} \end{array} \right\}$$

↑ no primary decomposition is needed to define this set.

Proof. — First we prove that each ρ_j is of the form $r(\mathcal{O}:x)$ for some $x \in A$. So, fix $j \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ and choose $x \in \bigcap_{i \neq j} q_i$ s.t. $x \notin q_j$ (exists since $q_j \not\subseteq \bigcup_{i \neq j} q_i$).

$$\text{Then } (\mathcal{O}:x) = \bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq \ell} (q_i : x) = (q_j : x)$$

$$\Rightarrow r(\mathcal{O}:x) = r(q_j : x) = \rho_j \text{ by Lemma (35.2) (2).}$$

Now, we prove the converse, i.e., assume $x \in A$ is such that $r(\mathcal{O}:x)$ is prime. Then

$$(\mathcal{O}:x) = \bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq \ell} (q_i : x) = \bigcap_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq \ell \\ \text{s.t. } x \notin q_i}} (q_i : x)$$

$$\Rightarrow r(\mathcal{O}:x) = \bigcap_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq \ell \text{ s.t.} \\ x \notin q_i}} r(q_i : x) = \bigcap_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq \ell \\ \text{s.t. } x \notin q_i}} \rho_i \quad \left[\begin{array}{l} \text{again by Lemma} \\ \text{35.2 (2)} \end{array} \right]$$

Proof. - Let us keep $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ fixed and ⑥

consider the ring hom. $\gamma_j: A \rightarrow A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ (recall: for a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subsetneq A$; $A_{\mathfrak{p}} := \underbrace{(A \setminus \mathfrak{p})^{-1}}_{\text{mult. closed set}} \cdot A$).

Take \mathfrak{b} = ideal in $A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ generated by $\gamma_j(\alpha)$

$$= \bar{S}^{-1} \alpha \quad \text{where } S = A \setminus \mathfrak{p}_i$$

To show. — $\gamma_j^{-1}(\mathfrak{b}) = \mathfrak{q}_i$

Since $\alpha = \bigcap_{1 \leq j \leq l} \mathfrak{q}_j$, we get $\bar{S}^{-1} \alpha = \bigcap_{1 \leq j \leq l} \bar{S}^{-1}(\mathfrak{q}_j)$

Now, for $j \neq i$, $\bar{S}^{-1} \mathfrak{q}_j = \bar{S}^{-1} A$ (in other words,

$S \cap \mathfrak{q}_j \neq \emptyset$). This is because, otherwise we would have \mathfrak{p}_i

$S \cap \mathfrak{q}_j = \emptyset$, i.e. $\mathfrak{q}_j \subset \mathfrak{p}_i \Rightarrow r(\mathfrak{q}_j) \subset \mathfrak{p}_i$

\mathfrak{p}_i was minimal, so $\mathfrak{p}_j = \mathfrak{p}_i$ contradicts assumption

(i) (i.e. $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_l$ are all distinct).

So we get $\bar{S}'\alpha = \bar{S}'q_i$. Thus it remains (7)

to show that $\bar{J}^{-1}(\bar{S}'q_i) = q_i$. Clearly $q_i \subset \bar{J}^{-1}(\bar{S}'q_i)$

(Note: $q_i \subset p_i$ and $S = A \setminus p_i$; so $S \cap q_i = \emptyset$)

Conversely if $x \in \bar{J}^{-1}(\bar{S}'q_i) \subset A$, we get

$$\frac{x}{1} = \frac{a}{s} \quad \text{for some } a \in q_i \text{ and } s \in S$$

i.e. $s \cdot x \in q_i$. Being primary, either $s^n \in q_i$ for some n
or $x \in q_i$.

But $s^n \in S \quad \forall n$ as S is multiplicatively closed
and $S \cap q_i = \emptyset$. So, $x \in q_i$ as we wanted to
prove. □