

(5.0) Recall: last time we defined  $U_q \mathfrak{g} = \tilde{U} / \text{radical}$ .  $R \in U_q \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes 2}$  the canonical element of the non-degenerate pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : U^{\otimes 2} \times U^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

Thm.  $(U_q \mathfrak{g}, R)$  is a quasi-triangular Hopf algebra

Let  $u = \mu \circ (S \otimes 1)(R_{21})$  be the Drinfeld element. Then  $S^2(x) = u x u^{-1}$

$\forall x \in U_q \mathfrak{g}$ .

$$S^2(h) = h$$

$$S^2(e_i) = S(-e_i \bar{k}_i^{-1}) = k_i e_i \bar{k}_i^{-1} = q_i^2 e_i$$

$$S^2(f_i) = S(-k_i f_i) = k_i f_i \bar{k}_i^{-1} = \bar{q}_i^2 f_i$$

Choose  $\rho \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  s.t.  $(\rho, \alpha_i) = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_i, \alpha_i) = d_i$ . Then above calculation shows that  $S^2(x) = k_{\rho} x \bar{k}_{\rho}^{-1} = q^{2\rho} x q^{-2\rho}$ .

Define  $C_q := q^{2\rho} u^{-1}$  (quantum Casimir element).

Cor.  $C_q = q^{2\rho} u^{-1}$  is central.

(5.1)  $R \in U_q \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes 2}$  has the form

$$R = q^{\sum x_i \otimes x_i} \sum a_{\lambda} \otimes b_{\lambda}$$

where  $\{x_i\}$  is a basis of  $\mathfrak{h}$

$\{a_{\lambda}\}$  is a homogeneous basis

of  $U^+ = \bigoplus U_{\mu}^+$  and  $\{b_{\lambda}\}$  (dual) basis of  $U^- = \bigoplus U_{-\mu}^-$ .

Proof: Let  $n = |\mathfrak{I}|$  and  $\{x_i\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$  be o.n. basis of  $\mathfrak{h}$  w.r.t.  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ .

Claim 1.  $\langle x_1^{m_1} \dots x_n^{m_n}, x_1^{m'_1} \dots x_n^{m'_n} \rangle = \delta_{m_1 m'_1} \dots \delta_{m_n m'_n} \frac{m_1! \dots m_n!}{t^{m_1 + \dots + m_n}}$

Proof is easy induction on  $\min(\sum m_i, \sum m'_i)$

Claim 2. For  $p, p' \in \mathcal{U}^0$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{U}_\mu^+$ ,  $b \in \mathcal{U}_{-\mu}^-$  we have (2)

$$\langle pa, p'b \rangle = \langle p, p' \rangle \langle a, b \rangle$$

Proof of claim 2: let us assume  $p$  and  $p'$  are monomials in  $\{x_i\}$ .

We first prove that  $\langle pa, b \rangle = 0$  if  $p \neq 1$ . This is clear since

$$\langle pa, b \rangle = \langle p \otimes a, \Delta^1(b) \rangle = \langle p \otimes a, 1 \otimes b \rangle = \varepsilon(p) \langle a, b \rangle.$$

$$\text{Finally } \langle pa, p'b \rangle = \langle \Delta(p) \Delta(a), p' \otimes b \rangle = \langle p \otimes a, p' \otimes b \rangle$$

(since by weight reasons only relevant term of  $\Delta(a)$  is  $1 \otimes a$  and

$\Delta(p) = p \otimes 1 + \dots$  where  $\dots$  has non-trivial monomials  $p''$  on the second factor and  $\langle p'' a, b \rangle = 0$ ).

Hence if  $\{a_\ell\}$ ,  $\{b_\ell\}$  are basis (hgs) of  $\mathcal{U}^+$  and  $\mathcal{U}^-$ , dual to each other

$$\begin{aligned} \text{then } R &= \sum_{\substack{m_1, \dots, m_n \geq 0 \\ \ell}} \frac{t^{m_1 + \dots + m_n}}{m_1! \dots m_n!} x_1^{m_1} \dots x_n^{m_n} a_\ell \otimes x_1^{m_1} \dots x_n^{m_n} b_\ell \\ &= \exp\left(t \sum_i x_i \otimes x_i\right) \sum_\ell a_\ell \otimes b_\ell = q^{\sum_i x_i \otimes x_i} \sum_\ell a_\ell \otimes b_\ell \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

(5.2) For each  $i \neq j \in I$ , let  $r = 1 - a_{ij}$  and define

$$\theta_{ij}^+ = \sum_{s=0}^r (-1)^s \begin{bmatrix} r \\ s \end{bmatrix}_{q_i} e_i^{r-s} e_j^s e_i^s$$

$$\theta_{ij}^- = \sum_{s=0}^r (-1)^s \begin{bmatrix} r \\ s \end{bmatrix}_{q_i} f_i^{r-s} f_j^s f_i^s \quad ; \quad \theta_{ij}^\pm \in \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{\pm(r\alpha_i + \alpha_j)}^\pm$$

$$\text{Recall } \begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}} \quad [n]_q! = [n]_q \dots [1]_q \quad \begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{[n]_q!}{[n-m]_q! [m]_q!}$$

$$\text{and } q_i = q^{d_i} \quad (\forall i \in I).$$

Prop.  $\forall i \neq j \in I, \theta_{ij}^{\pm} \in \text{rad}^{\pm}$  ③

Proof. Let us prove it for + case. - case is proved similarly, (or one could use automorphism  $\omega$  of  $\tilde{U}$ :  $\omega(e_i) = f_i, \omega(f_i) = e_i, \omega(h) = -h$ ).

Recall that we introduced derivations  $r_i, r_i'$  of  $\tilde{U}^+$  last time:

$$\begin{aligned} r_i(e_j) &= \delta_{ij} & r_i(xx') &= q^{(\mu, \alpha_i)} r_i(x)x' + x r_i(x') \\ r_i'(e_j) &= \delta_{ij} & r_i'(xx') &= r_i'(x)x' + q^{(\mu, \alpha_i)} x r_i'(x') \end{aligned}$$

It suffices to prove that  $r_k(\theta_{ij}^+) = 0 \quad \forall k \in I$ .

For  $k \neq i, j$ ,  $r_k(\theta_{ij}^+) = 0$  is clear.

$$\text{For } k = j: \quad r_j(\theta_{ij}^+) = \left[ \sum_{s=0}^r (-1)^s \begin{bmatrix} r \\ s \end{bmatrix}_i q_i^{s a_{ij}} \right] e_i^r = \left[ \sum_{s=0}^r (-1)^s \begin{bmatrix} r \\ s \end{bmatrix}_i q_i^{(1-r)s} \right] e_i^r$$

Use the identity  $\begin{bmatrix} n+1 \\ m \end{bmatrix} = q^m \begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix} + q^{m-n-1} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ m-1 \end{bmatrix}$  and prove by

induction on  $r$  that  $\sum_{s=0}^r (-1)^s \begin{bmatrix} r \\ s \end{bmatrix}_i q_i^{(1-r)s} = 0$ .

$$\text{For } k = i: \quad r_i(e_i^n e_j e_i^m) = q_i^{2m+n-1+a_{ij}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix}_i e_i^{n-1} e_j e_i^m + q_i^{m-1} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ m \end{bmatrix}_i e_i^n e_j e_i^{m-1}$$

Thus coeff of  $e_i^{r-1-a} e_j e_i^a$  in  $r_i(\theta_{ij}^+)$  is given by  $(0 \leq a \leq r-1)$

$$(-1)^a \begin{bmatrix} r \\ a \end{bmatrix}_i q_i^{2a+r-a-1+a_{ij}} \begin{bmatrix} r-a \\ a \end{bmatrix}_i + (-1)^{a+1} \begin{bmatrix} r \\ a+1 \end{bmatrix}_i q_i^a \begin{bmatrix} r \\ a+1 \end{bmatrix}_i$$

$$= (-1)^a \frac{[r]!}{[r-a-1]! [a]!} \left( q_i^a - q_i^a \right) = 0 \quad \square$$

Remark: in fact  $\text{rad}^\pm = \langle \theta_{ij}^\pm : i \neq j \rangle$ , but we won't need it  
 so I will not prove it.

(5.3) Representations of  $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ .

A representation  $V$  of  $U_q \mathfrak{g}$  is called  $\mathfrak{h}$ -diagonalizable if  $V = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*} V[\mu]$

$$V[\mu] = \{v \in V \mid h \cdot v = \mu(h)v \ \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}\}$$

Category  $\mathcal{O}$ : An  $\mathfrak{h}$ -diagonalizable repr. of  $U_q \mathfrak{g}$  is in category  $\mathcal{O}$  if

$$\exists \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \in \mathfrak{h}^* \text{ s.t. } V[\mu] \neq 0 \Rightarrow \mu \leq \lambda_i \text{ for some } i=1, \dots, r.$$

$P(V) := \{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid V[\mu] \neq 0\}$  weights of  $V$ . Then the condition above can be written as:  $P(V) \subset \bigcup_{i=1, \dots, r} \lambda_i - Q_+$

Verma Modules:  $\forall \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  define  $M_\lambda \in \mathcal{O}$  as repr. gen. by  $\mathbb{1}_\lambda$  s.t.  
 $e_i \mathbb{1}_\lambda = 0 \ (\forall i \in I) \quad h \cdot \mathbb{1}_\lambda = \lambda(h) \mathbb{1}_\lambda.$

In other words  $M_\lambda$  is quotient of  $U_q \mathfrak{g}$  by left ideal generated by  $e_i \ (i \in I)$  and  $h - \lambda(h) \ (h \in \mathfrak{h})$ . It is the universal highest weight representation of highest weight  $\lambda$ . That is, if  $V \in \mathcal{O}$  and  $v \in V[\mu]$  is a primitive vector (i.e.  $e_i v = 0 \ \forall i \in I$ ) then

$$\exists! \ U_q \mathfrak{g}\text{-module homomorphism } \begin{matrix} M_\mu & \rightarrow & V \\ \mathbb{1}_\mu & \mapsto & v \end{matrix}$$

Any proper submodule of  $M_\lambda$  avoids the highest weight vector. Hence  $\exists!$  max'l proper submodule  $I_\lambda$  of  $M_\lambda$ . Define  $L_\lambda = M_\lambda / I_\lambda$

Clearly  $L_\lambda$  is irreducible. Conversely if  $V$  is an irr. repr.  $\in \mathcal{O}$ , choose  $\mu \in P(V)$  to be a max'l element. Then  $e_i v = 0 \ \forall i \in I \ v \in V[\mu]$ .  
 $\Rightarrow M_\mu \twoheadrightarrow V$  and hence  $L_\mu \cong V$  (by irreducibility).

Remark: Category  $\mathcal{O}$  is a tensor category. Moreover for  $V, W \in \mathcal{O}$  ⑤

$R_{V,W} = \pi_V \otimes \pi_W (R)$  is well defined ( $\pi_V, \pi_W: \mathcal{U}_q \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \text{End}(V), \text{End}(W)$ )  
 element of  $\text{End}(V \otimes W)$ . Thus  $\mathcal{O}$  is braided by commutativity  
 constraint  $C_{V,W} = \sigma \circ R_{V,W}$ .

Lemma  $C_q$  acts as  $q^{(\lambda+2\rho, \lambda)}$  Id on  $M_\lambda$ .

Proof  $C_q = q^{2\rho} \bar{u}^{-1}$  and  $\bar{u}^{-1} = \mu \circ \bar{S}^{-1} \otimes S (R_{2,1})$ . Then  $\bar{u}^{-1}$  is  
 of the form  $(\sum \bar{S}^{-1}(b_i) S(a_i)) \cdot q^{\sum x_i \otimes x_i}$

On  $\mathbb{1}_\lambda$ ,  $\sum x_i \otimes x_i$  acts by  $\sum \lambda(x_i) \lambda(x_i) = (\lambda, \lambda)$

$S(a_i) \in \mathcal{U}^+ \Rightarrow S(a_i) \mathbb{1}_\lambda = 0$  unless  $a_i = 1$ .

$\Rightarrow C_q \mathbb{1}_\lambda = q^{(\lambda, 2\rho)} q^{(\lambda, \lambda)} \mathbb{1}_\lambda = q^{(\lambda+2\rho, \lambda)} \mathbb{1}_\lambda$ . Finally

$M_\lambda$  is generated by  $\mathbb{1}_\lambda$  and  $C_q$  is central. □

Example of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then  $M_\lambda$  has the form

$$M_\lambda = \text{span of } \{ \mathbb{1}_\lambda, f \mathbb{1}_\lambda, f^{(2)} \mathbb{1}_\lambda, \dots \}$$

Notation  $f^{(r)} = \frac{f^r}{[r]!}$ .  $\mathcal{U}_q \mathfrak{sl}_2$  action on  $M_\lambda$  is given by

$$f \cdot (f^{(r)} \mathbb{1}_\lambda) = [r+1] (f^{(r+1)} \mathbb{1}_\lambda) \quad \text{h. } f^{(r)} \mathbb{1}_\lambda = (\lambda - 2r) f^{(r)} \mathbb{1}_\lambda$$

Now we have the following commutation relation

$$e f^{(r)} = f^{(r)} e + \frac{q^{r-1} k - q^{-r+1} k^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} f^{(r-1)}$$

$$\Rightarrow e \cdot (f_i^{(r)} \mathbb{1}_\lambda) = [\lambda - r + 1] f_i^{(r-1)} \mathbb{1}_\lambda \quad (6)$$

If  $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $M_\lambda$  has a submodule generated by  $f_i^{(\lambda+1)} \mathbb{1}_\lambda$ .  
 otherwise  $M_\lambda$  is irreducible. Thus for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$  we get simple  $L_\lambda$  of dimension  $\lambda + 1$ .

(5.4) Integrable representations.  $V \in \mathcal{O}$  is said to be integrable if each  $f_i$  acts locally nilpotently on  $V$ .

$\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}$  = subcategory of integrable representations (extension closed sub/quotient / finite  $\oplus$ )  
 (again a braided tensor category).

Theorem. Simple (or irreducible) objects of  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}$  are  $L_\lambda$ 's where  $\lambda \in P_+$  (i.e.  $(\lambda, \alpha_i) \in \mathbb{N} \forall i$ ).  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}$  is a semisimple category.

Proof. We prove this theorem in following steps:

(a)  $L_\lambda$  is integrable iff  $\lambda \in P_+$ . In this case

$$L_\lambda = M_\lambda / \langle f_i^{\lambda(h_i)+1} \mathbb{1}_\lambda \rangle$$

(b)  $V \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}$  is irr.  $\Rightarrow V \cong L_\lambda$  for some  $\lambda \in P_+$

(c)  $V \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{int}} \Rightarrow V$  is sum of simple objects (and hence a direct sum).

(a) Let  $\lambda \in P_+$ . Then  $J_\lambda =$  submodule gen. by  $f_i^{\lambda(h_i)+1} \mathbb{1}_\lambda$  ( $i \in I$ )  
 is a proper submodule

$$\text{since } e_i f_i^{(r)} \mathbb{1}_\lambda = [\lambda(h_i) - r + 1]_{q_i} f_i^{(r-1)} \mathbb{1}_\lambda$$

$$\tilde{L}_\lambda = M_\lambda / J_\lambda \longrightarrow L_\lambda.$$

Claim.  $\tilde{L}_\lambda$  is integrable. This is clear since by Serre relations  $\textcircled{7}$   
 each product  $f_i^N f_j$  can be written in terms of  $f_i^a f_j f_i^{N-a}$   
 with  $0 \leq a < r$  ( $r = 1 - a_{ij}$ ).

Hence  $L_\lambda$  is integrable being a quotient of  $\tilde{L}_\lambda$ . Moreover if  $\tilde{L}_\lambda \neq L_\lambda$   
 there will exist  $v \in \tilde{L}_\lambda[\lambda']$  s.t.  $e_i v = 0 \quad \forall i \in I; \lambda' \leq \lambda, \lambda' \in P_+^*$ .

But then using q-Casimir element  $\boxed{(\lambda' + 2\rho, \lambda') = (\lambda + 2\rho, \lambda) \Rightarrow \lambda = \lambda'}$ \*

Now assume  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  is s.t.  $L_\lambda$  is integrable.  $\forall i \in I \exists N_i$  s.t.

$f_i^{N_i+1} \mathbb{1}_\lambda = 0, f_i^{N_i} \mathbb{1}_\lambda \neq 0$ . Again we get

$$0 = e_i f_i^{(N_i+1)} \mathbb{1}_\lambda = [\lambda(h_i) - N_i] f_i^{(N_i)} \mathbb{1}_\lambda \Rightarrow \lambda(h_i) = N_i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

(b) has already been proved.

(c) Let  $V \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}$ .  $V^0 = \{v \in V \mid e_i v = 0 \quad \forall i\} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*} V[\lambda]$

each  $\lambda \in P_+$  and set  $V_\lambda =$  submodule gen. by  $v \in V^0$  ( $\forall v \in V^0$ )

Then  $V_\lambda =$  sum of simple modules and hence a direct sum.

We claim  $V_\lambda = V$ . If not  $V_2 := V/V_\lambda$  is again integrable and

hence contains a primitive vector  $v \in V_2[\lambda'] \quad \lambda' \in P_+^*$ .

$e_i v \in V_\lambda \Rightarrow \lambda' \leq \lambda$  for some  $\lambda \in P_+$  s.t.  $V^0[\lambda] \neq 0$ .

q-Casimir  $\Rightarrow (\lambda' + 2\rho, \lambda') = (\lambda + 2\rho, \lambda) \Rightarrow \lambda = \lambda'$  contradiction.  $\square$

Proof of (\*):  $\lambda, \lambda' \in P_+, \lambda \geq \lambda', (\lambda + 2\rho, \lambda) = (\lambda' + 2\rho, \lambda') \Rightarrow \lambda = \lambda'$ .

$$\lambda - \lambda' = \sum_{i \in I} n_i \alpha_i, \quad n_i \geq 0. \quad \text{Now } (\lambda, \lambda) - (\lambda', \lambda') \geq (\lambda, \lambda - \lambda') = \sum n_i (\lambda, \alpha_i)$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 = (\lambda + 2\rho, \lambda) - (\lambda' + 2\rho, \lambda') \geq \sum n_i (\lambda + 2\rho, \alpha_i)$$

$$\Rightarrow n_i = 0 \quad \forall i$$

$\square$