

(6.0) Recall:  $\mathfrak{g}$  is an arbitrary (symmetrizable) Kac-Moody algebra

$U_q \mathfrak{g}$  is the associated quantum group.

Category  $\mathcal{O}$ . A representation  $V$  of  $U_q \mathfrak{g}$  is in category  $\mathcal{O}$  if

$$1. V = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*} V[\mu] \quad \dim V[\mu] < \infty \quad (\mathfrak{h}\text{-diagonalizable})$$

$$2. \exists \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \in \mathfrak{h}^* \text{ s.t. } V[\mu] \neq 0 \Rightarrow \mu \leq \lambda_i \text{ for some } i$$

Integrable representations. A representation  $V$  of  $U_q \mathfrak{g}$  is integrable if it is  $\mathfrak{h}$ -diagonalizable and each  $e_i, f_i$  act locally nilpotently.

Let  $B_{\mathfrak{g}}$  be the braid group associated to  $\mathfrak{g}$ , i.e.

$$B_{\mathfrak{g}} = \langle T_i \ (i \in I) \mid T_i T_j T_i \dots = T_j T_i T_j \dots \rangle$$

$m_{ij}$  factors on both sides

$$m_{ij} = 2, 3, 4, 6, \infty \text{ if } a_{ij} a_{ji} = 0, 1, 2, 3, \geq 4 \text{ respectively.}$$

Aim: to define  $B_{\mathfrak{g}}$ -action on each integrable  $U_q \mathfrak{g}$  representation.

(6.1) Let us assume  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$  for time being. Define

$$\mathcal{S} = \exp_{\bar{q}}(\bar{q}^{-1} e \bar{k}^{-1}) \exp_{\bar{q}}(-f) \exp_{\bar{q}}(q e k) q^{\frac{h(h+1)}{2}}$$

$$\text{where } \exp_q(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} q^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \frac{x^n}{[n]!}$$

Note •  $\exp_q(x)^{-1} = \exp_{\bar{q}}(-x) \Rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  is an invertible operator.

•  $\mathcal{S}$  makes sense on each integrable  $U_q \mathfrak{sl}_2$ -representation.

Lemma. Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $L_\lambda$  be the irreducible  $(\lambda+1)$ -dim'l repr. of  $U_q \mathfrak{sl}_2$ : basis of  $L_\lambda = \{m_\lambda(r) : r=0, \dots, \lambda\}$  ②

$U_q \mathfrak{sl}_2$ -action

$$h m_\lambda(r) = (\lambda - 2r) m_\lambda(r)$$

$$f m_\lambda(r) = [r+1] m_\lambda(r+1)$$

$$e m_\lambda(r) = [\lambda - r + 1] m_\lambda(r-1)$$

$(m_\lambda(\lambda+1) = m_\lambda(-1) = 0)$

Then 
$$\mathfrak{S} m_\lambda(r) = (-1)^{\lambda-r} q^{\binom{\lambda-r}{2}} m_\lambda(\lambda-r) = \sum_{\substack{a,b,c \geq 0 \\ b-a-c = \lambda-2r}} (-1)^b q^{b-ac} e^{(a)} f^{(b)} e^{(c)} m_\lambda(r)$$

Proof. Let us recall the definition of  $q$ -binomial coeff

$$\begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix} = \frac{[m][m-1] \dots [m-n+1]}{[n]!} \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then we have 
$$\begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix} = (-1)^n \begin{bmatrix} n-1-m \\ n \end{bmatrix}.$$
 Moreover we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^n q^{ai - b(n-i)} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ n-i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b \\ i \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a+b \\ n \end{bmatrix} \quad \forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N}$$

From the definitions coefficient of  $m_\lambda(\lambda-t)$  in  $\mathfrak{S} m_\lambda(r)$  is given by

$$q^{\frac{(\lambda-2r)(\lambda-2r+1)}{2}} \sum_{a,b,c \geq 0} (-1)^b q^{\frac{a(a+1)}{2} - \frac{b(b-1)}{2} + \frac{c(c+1)}{2} + c(\lambda-2r) + a(\lambda-2t)} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda-r+c \\ c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r-c+b \\ b \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t \\ a \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \sum_a (-1)^{\lambda-r+a-t} \begin{bmatrix} t \\ a \end{bmatrix} q^{a(r-t+1) + \lambda(t+1) - rt + \frac{r(r-1)}{2} - \frac{t(t+1)}{2}}$$

$$\sum_c (-1)^c q^{(r-c)(r-\lambda-1) - c(\lambda-t+a)} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda-r+c \\ c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda-t+a \\ r-c \end{bmatrix}$$

(since  $\begin{bmatrix} r-c+b \\ b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} r-c+b \\ r-c \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda-t+a \\ r-c \end{bmatrix}$ )

$$= \sum_a (-1)^{\lambda-r+a-t} \begin{bmatrix} t \\ a \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{matrix} a(r-t+1) + \lambda(t+1) - rt + \frac{r(r-1)}{2} - \frac{t(t+1)}{2} \\ (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} t-a \\ r \end{bmatrix} \end{matrix} \quad (3)$$

Now  $(-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} t-a \\ r \end{bmatrix} = (-1)^{t-a} \begin{bmatrix} -r-1 \\ t-a-r \end{bmatrix}$

$$= \sum_a (-1)^{\lambda-r} q^{\lambda(t+1)-rt + \frac{r(r-1)}{2} - \frac{t(t+1)}{2} - t(t-r)} q^{t(t-r-a)+a(r+1)} \begin{bmatrix} t \\ a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -r-1 \\ t-a-r \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= (-1)^{\lambda-r} q^{\lambda(t+1)-rt + \frac{r(r-1)}{2} - \frac{t(t+1)}{2} - t(t-r)} \begin{bmatrix} t-r-1 \\ t-r \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \delta_{t,r} (-1)^{\lambda-r} q^{\lambda(r+1)-r^2-r} = \delta_{t,r} (-1)^{\lambda-r} q^{(\lambda-r)(r+1)} \quad \square$$

Cor.  $Ad(\mathcal{S}) e = -fk \quad Ad(\mathcal{S}) f = -k^{-1}e \quad Ad(\mathcal{S}) h = -h$

Proof.  $\mathcal{S}^{-1} m_\lambda(t) = (-1)^t q^{-t(\lambda-t+1)} m_\lambda(\lambda-t)$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{S} e \mathcal{S}^{-1} m_\lambda(r) = (\mathcal{S} e m_\lambda(\lambda-r)) (-1)^r q^{-r(\lambda-r+1)}$$

$$= (-1)^r q^{-r(\lambda-r+1)} [r+1] \mathcal{S} m_\lambda(\lambda-r-1)$$

$$= (-1)^{r+r+1} q^{-r(\lambda-r+1) + (r+1)(\lambda-r)} [r+1] m_\lambda(r+1)$$

$$= -q^{\lambda-2r} [r+1] m_\lambda(r+1) = -fk m_\lambda(r) \quad \square$$

Let us denote the automorphism defined in Corollary above by  $T$

$$T(e) = -fk \quad T(f) = -k^{-1}e \quad T(h) = -h$$

Remark. In order to make the statement of the Corollary above more

precise we can say that  $\forall u \in U_q \mathfrak{sl}_2 \quad \exists! T(u) \in U_q \mathfrak{sl}_2$

s.t.  $\mathcal{S} \cdot (u(v)) = T(u) \cdot \mathcal{S} v \quad \forall v \in L \quad \forall L \in \text{Integrable reps. (or } \mathcal{O}_{\text{int}})$

We will need the following "separation of points" argument to ensure uniqueness and the fact that  $T$  is an algebra automorphism.

Separation of points: if  $u \in U_q \mathfrak{sl}_2$  acts as 0 on each integrable  $U_q \mathfrak{sl}_2$ -repr. then  $u = 0$ .

(6.2) Relation with coproduct.

Thm.  $\Delta(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{S} \cdot \bar{R}$  where

$$\bar{R} = \exp_q((q-\bar{q})e \otimes f) = q^{\frac{-h \otimes h}{2}} R$$

Proof. We first check that both  $\Delta(\mathcal{S})$  and  $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{S} \cdot \bar{R}$  have same commutation relation with  $\Delta(x)$ ,  $x \in U_q \mathfrak{sl}_2$ . In fact it is enough to prove this assertion for  $x = e, f$  and  $h$ .

$x = e.$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\mathcal{S}) \Delta(e) \Delta(\mathcal{S})^{-1} &= \Delta(Te) = \Delta(-fk) \\ &= -(f \otimes 1 + \bar{k}^{-1} \otimes f)(k \otimes k) = -fk \otimes k - 1 \otimes fk. \\ \text{Ad}(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{S}) \text{Ad}(q^{-h \otimes h/2}) \text{Ad}(R) \cdot \Delta(e) &= \text{Ad}(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{S}) \text{Ad}(q^{-\frac{h \otimes h}{2}}) \Delta(e) \\ &= \text{Ad}(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{S}) \text{Ad}(q^{-h \otimes h/2})(k \otimes e + e \otimes k) \\ &= \text{Ad}(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{S})(1 \otimes e + e \otimes \bar{k}^{-1}) = -1 \otimes fk - f \bar{k}^{-1} \otimes k \end{aligned}$$

Similarly for  $x = f$  and  $h$ .

To prove this equation for  $L_\lambda \otimes L_\mu$  ( $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{N}$ ) it is now enough to check it on a cyclic vector. Take  $\xi = m_\lambda(0) \otimes m_\mu(\mu)$  (highest  $\otimes$  lowest)

It is clear that  $\xi$  is cyclic  $\text{re } U_q \mathfrak{sl}_2 \cdot \xi = L_\lambda \otimes L_\mu$ :

$$\sum_n c_n e^n \xi = \mathbb{C} m_\lambda(0) \otimes L_\mu \quad \text{apply lowering operators to get everything.}$$

$$\mathbb{S} \otimes \mathbb{S} \bar{R} \cdot \xi = \mathbb{S}_{m_\lambda(0)} \otimes \mathbb{S}_{m_\mu(\mu)} \quad (5)$$

$$= (-1)^\lambda q^\lambda m_\lambda(\lambda) \otimes m_\mu(0).$$

It remains to show that  $\Delta(\mathbb{S}) \cdot \xi = (-1)^\lambda q^\lambda m_\lambda(\lambda) \otimes m_\mu(0)$

We will need the following coproduct identities

$$\Delta(e^{(n)}) = \sum_{i=0}^n q^{i(n-i)} e^{(n-i)} \otimes e^{(i)} k^{n-i} \quad e^{(a)} = \frac{e^a}{[a]!}$$

$$\Delta(f^{(n)}) = \sum_{i=0}^n q^{i(n-i)} f^{(i)} k^{-(n-i)} \otimes f^{(n-i)}$$

$$\mathbb{S}_{m_\lambda(0)} \otimes m_\mu(\mu) = \sum_{\substack{a,b,c \geq 0 \\ b-a-c=\lambda-\mu}} (-1)^b q^{b-ac} e^{(a)} f^{(b)} e^{(c)} \cdot (m_\lambda(0) \otimes m_\mu(\mu))$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{a,b,c \geq 0 \\ b-a-c=\lambda-\mu}} (-1)^b q^{b-ac} e^{(a)} f^{(b)} (m_\lambda(0) \otimes m_\mu(\mu-c))$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{b'+b''=b \\ b'+b''-ac+b'b''-b''\lambda}} (-1)^{b'} q^{b'+b''-ac+b'b''-b''\lambda} e^{(a')} \cdot (m_\lambda(b') \otimes \begin{bmatrix} \mu-c+b'' \\ b'' \end{bmatrix} m_\mu(\mu-c+b''))$$

$$= \sum_{a'+a''=a} (-1)^{b'} q^{b'+b''-ac+b'b''-b''\lambda + a'a'' + a'(-\mu+2c-2b'')} \begin{bmatrix} \mu-c+b'' \\ b'' \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda-b'+a' \\ a' \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c-b''+a'' \\ a'' \end{bmatrix} \cdot m_\lambda(b'-a') \otimes m_\mu(\mu-c+b''-a'')$$

Set  $k = b' - a'$

$$= \sum (-1)^{g+b''} q^{g+b''-a''c+b''g-b''\lambda} \begin{bmatrix} \mu-c+b'' \\ b'' \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c-b''+a'' \\ a'' \end{bmatrix} \cdot \underbrace{\sum (-1)^{a'} q^{a'(1-\lambda+g)} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda-g \\ a' \end{bmatrix}}_{=0 \text{ unless } \lambda=g} \cdot m_\lambda(g) \otimes m_\mu(\mu-c+b''-a'')$$

Thus  $\lambda=g$  and we have

$$b'' = a'' + c - \mu \quad b' = \lambda + a'$$

$$= \sum (-1)^{\lambda-\mu+c+a''} q^{\lambda-\mu+c+a''-a''c} \begin{bmatrix} a'' \\ \mu-c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ a'' \end{bmatrix} \cdot m_\lambda(\lambda) \otimes m_\mu(0)$$

$$= \sum_{d=\mu-c} (-1)^{\lambda+a''} q^{\lambda+a''-a''\mu} \left( \sum_{d=0}^{\lambda} (-1)^d q^{-d(1-a'')} \begin{bmatrix} a'' \\ d \end{bmatrix} \right) \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ a'' \end{bmatrix} = (-1)^\lambda q^\lambda m_\lambda(\lambda) \otimes m_\mu(0) \quad \square$$

(6.3) For arbitrary  $q$  define for each  $i \in I$

$$S_i = \exp_{q_i^{-1}}(\bar{q}_i^{-1} e_i k_i^{-1}) \exp_{q_i^{-1}}(-f_i) \exp_{q_i^{-1}}(q_i e_i k_i) q_i^{h_i(h_i+1)/2}$$

Thus if  $V$  is an integrable representation of  $U_q \mathfrak{g}$  and  $v \in V[\mu]$

$$S_i v = \sum_{\substack{a, b, c \geq 0 \\ b-a-c = \mu(h_i)}} (-1)^b q_i^{b-ac} e_i^{(a)} f_i^{(b)} e_i^{(c)} v \in V[s_i \mu]$$

Again let  $T_i = \text{Ad}(S_i)$

Prop.  $T_i(e_i) = -f_i k_i$       $T_i(f_i) = -k_i^{-1} e_i$       $T_i(h) = S_i(h)$

$\forall j \neq i$       $T_i(e_j) = \sum_{s=0}^r (-1)^s q_i^s e_i^{(r-s)} e_j e_i^{(s)}$

$T_i(f_j) = \sum_{s=0}^r (-1)^s q_i^s f_i^{(s)} f_j f_i^{(r-s)}$       $r = -a_{ij}$

Proof: We have already proved the first set of relations. It only remains to prove that  $T_i(e_j)$  and  $T_i(f_j)$  are given by equations above. Let us prove it for the  $f$  case.

Define  $U_q \mathfrak{sl}_2$  action on  $U_q \mathfrak{g}$  via  $\varphi: U_q \mathfrak{sl}_2 \rightarrow \text{End}(U_q \mathfrak{g})$ :

$$\varphi(e_i) \cdot x = k_i^{-1} [x, e_i]$$

$$\varphi(f_i) \cdot x = x f_i - f_i k_i x k_i^{-1}$$

$$\varphi(h_i) \cdot x = [h_i, x]$$

Then  $f_{ij; \ell} := \varphi(f_i^{(\ell)}). f_j = \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} (-1)^k q_i^{(\ell-k)(1-a_{ij}-\ell)} f_i^{(\ell-k)} f_j f_i^{(k)}$

In particular some relations imply that span of  $\{f_{ij; \ell}\}_{\ell \geq 0}$  is irr.  $U_q \mathfrak{sl}_2$  repr. of highest weight  $r = -a_{ij}$

$$S_i = \underbrace{\exp_{q_i^{-1}}(\bar{q}_i e_i \bar{k}_i^{-1})}_A \underbrace{\exp_{q_i^{-1}}(-f_i)}_B \underbrace{\exp_{q_i^{-1}}(q_i e_i k_i)}_C q_i^{\frac{h_i(h_i+1)}{2}} \quad (7)$$

$$(1) \quad q_i^{\frac{h_i(h_i+1)}{2}} (f_j \bar{k}_j^{-1} k_i^{a_{ij}}) q_i^{-\frac{h_i(h_i+1)}{2}} = q_i^{a_{ij}(1-a_{ij})/2} f_j \bar{k}_j^{-1}$$

$$(2) \quad [e_i k_i, f_j \bar{k}_j^{-1}] = 0 \Rightarrow \text{Ad}(C) f_j \bar{k}_j^{-1} = f_j \bar{k}_j^{-1}$$

$$(3) \quad \text{Ad}(B) (f_j \bar{k}_j^{-1}) = \sum_{l \geq 0} q_i^{\frac{l(l-1)}{2} + l a_{ij}} f_{ij;l} \bar{k}_j^{-1} \quad (\text{easy check})$$

$$(4) \quad \text{Ad}(A)^{-1} (f_{ij;l} \bar{k}_j^{-1}) = q_i^{a_{ij}(a_{ij}-1)/2} \sum_{l \geq 0} q_i^{\frac{l(l-1)}{2} + l a_{ij}} f_{ij;l} \bar{k}_j^{-1} \quad (\text{use } U_{q_i} \mathfrak{sl}_2 \text{ -action})$$

Combining, we get  $T_i (f_j \bar{k}_j^{-1} k_i^{a_{ij}}) = f_{ij;l} \bar{k}_j^{-1}$

$$\Rightarrow T_i (f_j) = f_{ij;l} \quad \text{as claimed} \quad \square$$

(6.4) Interesting application.  $\text{rad}^- = \langle \bar{\theta}_{ij} = f_{ij;l} k_i^{-a_{ij}} : i \neq j \in I \rangle =: \bar{I}$

We have already proved that  $\bar{I} \subset \text{rad}^-$ . Note that only Serre relations were used in obtaining  $T_i$ 's.

Assume on the contrary that  $\text{rad}^- \not\supseteq \bar{I}$ . Let  $r \in \text{rad}^-_{-\mu} \setminus \bar{I}_{-\mu}$  be a nontrivial element with minimal  $\mu$ . Since  $T_i$  preserve the radical we get  $S_i(\mu) > \mu \Rightarrow (\mu, \alpha_i) \leq 0$ .

Next we take the projection  $\pi: \tilde{U} \rightarrow U$  and define  $U$ -mod

homomorphism 
$$\psi: \text{rad}^- / (\text{rad}^-)^2 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_{-\alpha_i}$$

$$\sum u_i f_i \mapsto \sum \pi(u_i) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{-\alpha_i}$$

Since  $r$  is min'l  $[e_i, r] = 0 \quad \forall i$

$\Rightarrow \psi(r)$  is a primitive vector. Using  $q$ -Casimir element

we get  $(-\mu + 2\rho, -\mu) = (-\alpha_i + 2\rho, -\alpha_i) = 0$

$\Rightarrow (\mu, \mu) = (\mu, 2\rho)$ . This yields a contradiction since

if  $\mu = \sum_{i \in I} n_i \alpha_i \quad (n_i \geq 0)$

$(\mu, 2\rho) = \sum_{i \in I} n_i (\alpha_i, \alpha_i) \geq 0$

$(\mu, \mu) = \sum n_i (\mu, \alpha_i) \leq 0 \Rightarrow n_i = 0 \quad (\forall i)$

(6.5) Thm.  $\{S_i\}_{i \in I}$  satisfy braid relations.

The proof of this theorem is computational and case by case. Namely, we have to verify the braid relations in types

$A_1 \times A_1 : a_{ij} = a_{ji} = 0$

$A_2 : a_{ij} = a_{ji} = -1$

$B_2 : a_{ij} = -2 \quad a_{ji} = -1$

$G_2 : a_{ij} = -3 \quad a_{ji} = -1$

$S_i S_j = S_j S_i$

$S_i S_j S_i = S_j S_i S_j$

$S_i S_j S_i S_j = S_j S_i S_j S_i$

$S_i S_j S_i S_j S_i S_j = S_j S_i S_j S_i S_j S_i$

Below we prove it in the first two cases.

Proof for  $A_1 \times A_1$ : To show:  $S_i S_j S_i^{-1} = S_j \equiv T_i(S_j) = S_j$

This is clear since  $T_i(e_j) = e_j \quad T_i(f_j) = f_j \quad T_i(h_j) = h_j$   
 $(a_{ij} = a_{ji} = 0)$



Proof for  $A_2$ : we have  $T_i(e_j) = e_i e_j - \bar{q}^1 e_j e_i$

(9)

$$T_i(e_i) = -f_i k_i$$

Claim  $T_1 T_2(e_1) = e_2$      $T_1 T_2(f_1) = f_2$      $T_1 T_2(h_1) = h_2$

Let us verify it for  $e$ 's.

$$T_2(e_1) = e_2 e_1 - \bar{q}^1 e_1 e_2$$

$$T_1 T_2(e_1) = (e_1 e_2 - \bar{q}^1 e_2 e_1)(-f_1 k_1) - \bar{q}^1 (-f_1 k_1)(e_1 e_2 - \bar{q}^1 e_2 e_1)$$

$$= -(e_1 e_2 - \bar{q}^1 e_2 e_1)(f_1 k_1) + (f_1 e_1 e_2 - \bar{q}^1 f_1 e_2 e_1) k_1$$

$$= -(e_1 e_2 - \bar{q}^1 e_2 e_1)(f_1 k_1) + (e_1 e_2 - \bar{q}^1 e_2 e_1) f_1 k_1$$

$$+ \left( -\frac{k_1 - k_1^{-1}}{q - \bar{q}^1} e_2 + \bar{q}^1 e_2 \frac{k_1 - k_1^{-1}}{q - \bar{q}^1} \right) k_1$$

$$= \frac{1}{q - \bar{q}^1} \left[ \begin{array}{l} -\bar{q}^1 e_2 k_1 + q e_2 k_1^{-1} \\ + \bar{q}^1 e_2 k_1 - \bar{q}^1 e_2 k_1^{-1} \end{array} \right] k_1 = e_2.$$

Hence  $S_1 S_2 S_1 \bar{S}_2^{-1} \bar{S}_1^{-1} = T_1 T_2(S_1) = S_2$      $\square$