

(7.0) $\mathfrak{g} =$ Kac-Moody algebra $U_q \mathfrak{g} =$ quantum group

Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ and M_λ be the Verma module. For $V \in \mathcal{O}_{int}$ define the expectation value map as

$$\text{Hom}_U(M_\lambda, M_\mu \otimes V) \rightarrow V[\lambda - \mu]$$

$$\varphi \mapsto \langle \varphi \rangle \text{ where } \varphi(1_\lambda) = 1_\mu \otimes \langle \varphi \rangle + \dots$$

Prop (a) M_λ is generically irreducible. More precisely, if

$$(\lambda + \rho, \beta) \neq \frac{(\beta, \beta)}{2} \quad \forall \beta \in Q_+, \text{ then } M_\lambda \text{ is irreducible}$$

(b) Let $V \in \mathcal{O}_{int}$ and let γ be a weight of V . Then

$$\text{Hom}_U(M_\lambda, M_{\lambda - \gamma} \otimes V) \rightarrow V[\gamma]$$

is an iso. for generic λ or $\lambda \in P_+, \lambda \gg \gamma$.

Proof. (a) M_λ reducible $\Rightarrow \exists \beta > 0$ s.t. $M_\lambda[\lambda - \beta]$ contains a primitive vector $\Rightarrow (\lambda + 2\rho, \lambda) = (\lambda - \beta + 2\rho, \lambda - \beta) \Rightarrow (\lambda + \rho, \beta) = \frac{(\beta, \beta)}{2}$.

$$(b) \text{Hom}_U(M_\lambda, M_{\lambda - \gamma} \otimes V) = \text{Space of primitive weight } \lambda \text{ vectors in } M_{\lambda - \gamma} \otimes V = \left[\bigoplus_{\substack{\mu \in Q_+ \\ \mu + \gamma \in P(V)}} M_{\lambda - \gamma - \mu} \otimes V[\gamma + \mu] \right]$$

The latter is a finite direct sum by assumptions on V . Hence for λ s.t. $M_{\lambda - \gamma}[\lambda - \gamma - \mu]$ does not contain any primitive vectors, projection onto $\mu = 0$ summand is an iso.

(7.1) For $V \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}$, $v \in V[\mu]$ and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ generic, let ②

$\varphi_\lambda^v : M_\lambda \rightarrow M_{\lambda-\mu} \otimes V$ s.t. $\langle \varphi_\lambda^v \rangle = v$. We remark that entries of φ_λ^v are rational functions of q^λ .

e.g. $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq k \leq n$. Then set $\mu = \lambda - n + 2k$ and we have an intertwiner

$$\varphi(m_\lambda(0)) = \sum_{r=0}^k (-1)^r q^{-r(n-2k+r+1)} \frac{[n-k+r]_r}{[\mu]_r} m_\mu(r) \otimes m_n(k-r)$$

$$\varphi : M_\lambda \rightarrow M_\mu \otimes L_n \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \langle \varphi \rangle = m_n(k) \in L_n.$$

(Proof. Set $\varphi(m_\lambda(0)) = \sum_{r \geq 0} c_r m_\mu(r) \otimes m_n(k-r)$. Since

$\Delta(e) = e \otimes k + 1 \otimes e$, the r.h.s. is primitive iff

$$0 = \sum_{r \geq 0} c_r \left(q^{n-2k+r} [\mu-r+1] m_\mu(r-1) \otimes m_n(k-r) + [n-k+r+1] m_\mu(r) \otimes m_n(k-r-1) \right)$$

This yields recurrence relation $(c_0 = 1)$ $c_{r+1} = (-1)^r q^{-(n-2(k-r-1))} \frac{[n-k+r+1]}{[\mu-r]} c_r$

In particular for $p \geq 0$

$$\varphi(m_\lambda(p)) = \begin{bmatrix} k+p \\ \mu \end{bmatrix} q^{-p\mu} m_\mu(0) \otimes m_n(k+p) + \dots$$

using $\Delta(f^{(p)}) = \sum_{p'+p''=p} q^{p'p''} f^{(p')} k^{p''} \otimes f^{(p'')}$

Now let $V_1, V_2 \in \mathcal{O}_{int}$ and $v_1 \in V_1[\mu_1]$ $v_2 \in V_2[\mu_2]$. ③

We define $J_{V_1, V_2}(\lambda) \in V_1 \otimes V_2[\mu_1 + \mu_2]$ by the following composition

$$M_\lambda \xrightarrow{\varphi_\lambda^{v_2}} M_{\lambda - \mu_2} \otimes V_2 \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\lambda - \mu_2}^{v_1} \otimes Id_{V_2}} M_{\lambda - \mu_1 - \mu_2} \otimes V_1 \otimes V_2$$

Then $\varphi_{\lambda - \mu_2}^{v_1} \otimes Id_{V_2} \circ \varphi_\lambda^{v_2} (\mathbb{1}_\lambda) = \mathbb{1}_{\lambda - \mu_1 - \mu_2} \otimes J_{V_1, V_2}(\lambda)(v_1 \otimes v_2) + \dots$

Prop. (a) $J_{V_1, V_2}(\lambda)$ preserves weights; and is lower triangular operator with 1's on the diagonal.

(b) $J_{V_1, V_2}(\lambda)$ is a rational function of q^λ .

(Note: (a) means $J_{V_1, V_2}(\lambda)(v_1 \otimes v_2) \in v_1 \otimes v_2 + \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Q}_+ \setminus \{0\}} V_1[\mu_1 - r] \otimes V_2[\mu_2 + r]$)

Example of sl_2 . $V_1 = L_{n_1}$, $V_2 = L_{n_2}$, $v_1 = m_{n_1}(k_1)$, $v_2 = m_{n_2}(k_2)$.

$$J(m_{n_1}(k_1) \otimes m_{n_2}(k_2)) = \sum_{r \geq 0} (-1)^r q^{-r(\lambda - n_1 + 2k_1 + r + 1)} \frac{\begin{bmatrix} k_1 + r \\ r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_2 - k_2 + r \\ r \end{bmatrix}}{\begin{bmatrix} \lambda - n_2 + 2k_2 \\ r \end{bmatrix}} m_{n_1}(k_1 + r) \otimes m_{n_2}(k_2 - r)$$

(7.2) Relation with coproduct

Dynamical notation: for $F: \mathfrak{h}^* \rightarrow \text{End}(V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_N)$ we write

$$F(\lambda + \mathfrak{h}^j) \text{ for the function } (v_i \in V_i[\mu_i])$$

$$F(\lambda + \mathfrak{h}^j)(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_N) = F(\lambda + \mu^j)(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_N)$$

Prop. Let $V_1, V_2, V_3 \in \mathcal{O}_{int}$. Then

$$J_{V_1 \otimes V_2, V_3}(\lambda) J_{V_1, V_2}(\lambda - \mathfrak{h}^3) = J_{V_1, V_2 \otimes V_3}(\lambda) J_{V_2, V_3}(\lambda)$$

Proof. Let $v_i \in V_i[\mu_i]$. Both sides of the equation applied to $v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3$ are given as follows

$$M_\lambda \rightarrow M_{\lambda-\mu_3} \otimes V_3 \rightarrow M_{\lambda-\mu_2-\mu_3} \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3 \rightarrow M_{\lambda-\mu_1-\mu_2-\mu_3} \otimes V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3$$

$$\text{L.H.S.} \quad M_{\lambda-\mu_3} \otimes V_3 \rightarrow M_{\lambda-\mu_2-\mu_3} \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3 \rightarrow M_{\lambda-\mu_1-\mu_2-\mu_3} \otimes V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3$$

$$\mathbb{1}_{\lambda-\mu_3} \otimes v_3 \longmapsto \mathbb{1}_{\lambda-\mu_1-\mu_2-\mu_3} \otimes J_{V_1, V_2}(\lambda-\mu_3)(v_1 \otimes v_2) \otimes v_3 + \dots$$

Composing with the first map $M_\lambda \rightarrow M_{\lambda-\mu_3} \otimes V_3$ yields

$$\mathbb{1}_\lambda \longmapsto \mathbb{1}_{\lambda-\mu_1-\mu_2-\mu_3} \otimes \left(J_{V_1, V_2, V_3}(\lambda) \left(J_{V_1, V_2}(\lambda-\mu_3)(v_1 \otimes v_2) \otimes v_3 \right) \right) + \dots$$

Similarly R.H.S.

$$M_\lambda \rightarrow M_{\lambda-\mu_3} \otimes V_3 \rightarrow M_{\lambda-\mu_2-\mu_3} \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3$$

$$\mathbb{1}_\lambda \longrightarrow \mathbb{1}_{\lambda-\mu_2-\mu_3} \otimes J_{V_2, V_3}(\lambda)(v_2 \otimes v_3) + \dots$$

Composition with $M_{\lambda-\mu_2-\mu_3} \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3 \rightarrow M_{\lambda-\mu_1-\mu_2-\mu_3} \otimes V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3$ yields

$$\mathbb{1}_\lambda \longrightarrow \mathbb{1}_{\lambda-\mu_1-\mu_2-\mu_3} \otimes J_{V_1, V_2, V_3}(\lambda) \left(v_1 \otimes J_{V_2, V_3}(\lambda)(v_2 \otimes v_3) \right) + \dots \quad \square$$

(7.3) ABRR equation $-\sum x_i \otimes x_i$
 Write $R = R_0 \cdot q$

$$\theta(\lambda) := \bar{v}'(\lambda + \rho) - \frac{1}{2} \sum x_i^2$$

Thm $J(\lambda)$ is the unique unipotent solution of

$$J(\lambda) (1 \otimes q^{2\theta(\lambda)}) = R_0^{21} (1 \otimes q^{2\theta(\lambda)}) J(\lambda)$$

Proof will be given later.

ABRR = Arnaudon Buffenoir Ragoucy Roche

(7.4) Quantum Verma identities.

Let $\lambda \in P_+$, $w = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_\ell}$ a reduced expression

$$\alpha^{(j)} := s_{i_\ell} \dots s_{i_{j+1}} \alpha_{i_j} \quad \text{a positive root} \quad n_j = 2 \frac{(\lambda + \rho, \alpha^{(j)})}{(\alpha^{(j)}, \alpha^{(j)})}$$

Lemma. (a) The set $\{(n_j, d_j) : j = 1, \dots, \ell\}$ is independent of the red. exp.

(b) $f_{i_1}^{(n_1)} \dots f_{i_\ell}^{(n_\ell)} \mathbb{1}_\lambda$ is a singular vector of $M_\lambda[w \cdot \lambda]$ indep. of the reduced expression (denoted by $\mathbb{1}_\lambda(w \cdot \lambda)$) where $w \cdot \lambda = w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho$

Proof. We can check by induction on $\ell(w)$ that $f_{i_1}^{(n_1)} \dots f_{i_\ell}^{(n_\ell)} \mathbb{1}_\lambda$ is a singular vector of $M_\lambda[w \cdot \lambda]$. To prove independence from the choice of reduced expression, one only needs to verify it for braid relations. That is, we have to carry out computations in rank 2 $(A_1 \times A_1, A_2, B_2, G_2)$ and $w = w_0$ the longest element. In these cases $\{\alpha^{(j)}\} =$ set of positive roots each with multiplicity 1. (b)

reduces to the following $\forall a, b \geq 0$

$$A_1 \times A_1: f_1^a f_2^b = f_2^b f_1^a \quad A_2: f_1^a f_2^{a+b} f_1^b = f_2^b f_1^{a+b} f_2^a$$

$$B_2: f_1^a f_2^{a+b} f_1^{a+2b} f_2^b = f_2^b f_1^{a+2b} f_2^{a+b} f_1^a$$

$$G_2: f_1^a f_2^{a+b} f_1^{2a+3b} f_2^{a+2b} f_1^{a+3b} f_2^b = f_2^b f_1^{a+3b} f_2^{a+2b} f_1^{2a+3b} f_2^{a+b} f_1^a$$

It is possible to check these directly using Serre relations.

More conceptual proof: both sides of the equations give (primitive) singular vectors of $M_\lambda[w_0 \cdot \lambda]$. We claim that $\dim M_\lambda[w_0 \cdot \lambda]^{u^+} = 1$. If this is the case these vectors will only differ by a scalar which can be checked to be 1 by projecting these onto $\langle f_{i_1} \cdot f_{i_2} \mid f_{i_1} f_{i_2} = q_{i_1, i_2} f_{i_2} f_{i_1} \rangle$

$\dim M_\lambda[\omega_0 \cdot \lambda]^{u^+} = 1$. Let $k = \dim M_\lambda[\omega_0 \cdot \lambda]^{u^+}$. Then each primitive vector will generate a copy of $M_{\omega_0 \cdot \lambda}$ which is irreducible since $\lambda \in P_+$ and ω_0 is the longest element. ⑥

Hence $M_{\omega_0 \cdot \lambda}^{\oplus k} \hookrightarrow M_\lambda$. Comparing dimensions of weight spaces, we get $k=1$. □

(7.5) Dynamical Weyl group.

Let $w \in W$, $V \in \mathcal{O}_{int}$. Let $\lambda \in P_+$ and consider the intertwining operator for $v \in V[\mu]$ (we assume $\lambda > \mu$)

$$\varphi_\lambda^v : M_\lambda \longrightarrow M_{\lambda-\mu} \otimes V$$

Lemma φ_λ^v maps $M_{w \cdot \lambda}$ to $M_{w \cdot (\lambda-\mu)} \otimes V$

We define $A_w(\lambda)v \in V[w\mu]$ by

$$\varphi_\lambda^v \mathbb{1}_\lambda^{(w \cdot \lambda)} = \mathbb{1}_{\lambda-\mu}^{(w \cdot (\lambda-\mu))} \otimes A_w(\lambda)v + \dots$$

Proof of Lemma. It suffices to prove it for \mathfrak{sl}_2 .

$$\varphi_\lambda^v \mathbb{1}_\lambda = \mathbb{1}_{\lambda-\mu} \otimes v + \sum_{p>0} a_p \otimes v_p \quad ; \quad \begin{matrix} a_p \in M_{\lambda-\mu-p} \\ v_p \in V[\mu+p] \end{matrix}$$

Now $\mathbb{1}_\lambda(s \cdot \lambda) = f^{(\lambda+1)} \mathbb{1}_\lambda$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi_\lambda^v (\mathbb{1}_\lambda(s \cdot \lambda)) = \underbrace{f^{(m)} \mathbb{1}_{\lambda-\mu}}_{\text{leading term}} \otimes v' + \sum a'_p \otimes v'_p$$

Since $\mathbb{1}_\lambda(s \cdot \lambda)$ is primitive, so must be $f^{(m)} \mathbb{1}_{\lambda-\mu}$ hence □

$m = \lambda - \mu + 1$ and we are done

Example. For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$

$$A_s(\lambda) \cdot m_n(k) = (-1)^k q^{n-2k} \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{[\lambda+j+1]}{[\lambda-n+k+j]} m_n(n-k)$$

$$q^\lambda \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} A_s(\lambda) \Big|_{L_n} = (-1)^n \mathbb{S} \Big|_{L_n} \quad \lim_{q^\lambda \rightarrow 0} A_s(\lambda) = \mathbb{S}^{-1} q^h$$

Properties of $A_w(\lambda)$ (follow from definitions and quantum Verma id.)

(1) $A_w(\lambda) : V[\mu] \rightarrow V[w\mu]$ is invertible, rational function of q^λ

(2) If $w = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_\ell}$ is a reduced expression then

$$A_w(\lambda) = A_{s_{i_1}}((s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_\ell}) \cdot \lambda) \dots A_{s_{i_\ell}}(\lambda)$$

$$\text{and } A_{s_i}(\lambda) \Big|_V = A_s(\lambda(h_i)) \Big|_{V \text{ restricted to } U_{q_i} \mathfrak{sl}_2}$$

Cor. $\{ \mathbb{S}_i \}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ satisfy braid relations.

(7.6) Prop. $A_{w; V_1 \otimes V_2}(\lambda) J_{V_1, V_2}(\lambda) = J_{V_1, V_2}(w \cdot \lambda) (A_{w, V_1}(\lambda - h^2) \otimes A_{w, V_2}(\lambda))$

Proof follows from the commutative diagram $\forall v_i \in V_i[\mu_i]$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M_\lambda & \rightarrow & M_{\lambda-\mu_2} \otimes V_2 & \longrightarrow & M_{\lambda-\mu_1-\mu_2} \otimes V_1 \otimes V_2 \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ M_{w \cdot \lambda} & \rightarrow & M_{w \cdot (\lambda-\mu_2)} \otimes V_2 & \longrightarrow & M_{w \cdot (\lambda-\mu_1-\mu_2)} \otimes V_1 \otimes V_2 \end{array}$$

□

(7.7) Proof of ABRR equation.

Let $v_i \in V_i[\mu_i]$. Define $X(\lambda)$ by the following ($u = S(b_i)a_i$ is the Drinfeld element)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M_\lambda & \xrightarrow{\varphi_\lambda^{v_2}} & M_{\lambda-\mu_2} \otimes V_2 & \xrightarrow{u \otimes 1} & M_{\lambda-\mu_2} \otimes V_2 \\
 & \dashrightarrow & & & \downarrow \varphi_{\lambda-\mu_2}^{v_1} \otimes \text{id}_{V_2} \\
 \mathbb{1}_\lambda & \xrightarrow{\quad} & & & M_{\lambda-\mu_1-\mu_2} \otimes V_1 \otimes V_2 \\
 & \searrow & & & \\
 & & \mathbb{1}_{\lambda-\mu_1-\mu_2} \otimes X(\lambda) + \dots & &
 \end{array}$$

Since $C^q = q^{2\rho} u^{-1}$ acts by $q^{(\lambda-\mu_2+2\rho, \lambda-\mu_2)}$ on $M_{\lambda-\mu_2}$ we get-

$$X(\lambda) = q^{(\lambda, 2\rho) - (\lambda-\mu_2+2\rho, \lambda-\mu_2)} (1 \otimes q^{-2\rho}) J_{V_1 V_2}(\lambda)(v_1 \otimes v_2)$$

Now we compute $X(\lambda)$ from the definition of $u = S(b_i)a_i$

$$(\varphi_{\lambda-\mu_2}^{v_1} \otimes 1) (S(b_i)a_i \otimes 1) \varphi_\lambda^{v_2}(1_\lambda)$$

$$= (\Delta(S(b_i)) \otimes 1) (\varphi_{\lambda-\mu_2}^{v_1} \otimes 1) (a_i \otimes 1) \varphi_\lambda^{v_2}(1_\lambda)$$

$$= (S(b_i) \otimes S(b_j) \otimes 1) (\varphi_{\lambda-\mu_2}^{v_1} \otimes 1) (a_i a_j \otimes 1) \varphi_\lambda^{v_2}(1_\lambda) \quad (\text{using cabling id.})$$

$S(b_i) \in \mathcal{U}^{\leq 0}$ and we are interested in coefficient of $1_{\lambda-\mu_1-\mu_2}$, $X(\lambda) =$

$$= (1 \otimes S(b_j) \otimes 1) (q^{-\sum x_a^2} \otimes 1 \otimes 1) (q^{-\sum x_a \otimes x_a} \otimes 1) [\varphi_{\lambda-\mu_2}^{v_1} \otimes 1 (a_j \otimes 1) \varphi_\lambda^{v_2}(1_\lambda)]$$

$$= q^{-\|\lambda-\mu_1-\mu_2\|^2} (1 \otimes S(b_j) q^{-\lambda+\mu_1+\mu_2} \otimes 1) [\varphi_{\lambda-\mu_2}^{v_1} \otimes 1 (a_j \otimes 1) \varphi_\lambda^{v_2}(1_\lambda)]$$

$$= q^{-\|\lambda-\mu_1-\mu_2\|^2} (1 \otimes S(b_k) \otimes a_k)^{-1} (1 \otimes S(b_j b_k) q^{-\lambda+\mu_1+\mu_2} \otimes 1) [\varphi_{\lambda-\mu_2}^{v_1} \otimes 1 (a_j \otimes a_k) \varphi_\lambda^{v_2}(1_\lambda)]$$

$$\bullet \quad a_j \otimes a_k \otimes b_j b_k = R_{13} R_{23} = \Delta \otimes 1(R)$$

$$\bullet \quad (S(b_k) \otimes a_k)^{-1} = q^{2\rho} \otimes 1 R_{21} q^{-2\rho} \otimes 1$$

$$q^{-\|\lambda - \mu_1 - \mu_2\|^2} \left(1 \otimes \left(q^{2\rho} \otimes R_{21} q^{-2\rho} \otimes 1 \right) \right) \left(1 \otimes q^{-2\lambda + \mu_1 + \mu_2} \otimes 1 \right) \left(\varphi_{\lambda - \mu_2}^{v_1} \otimes \varphi_{\lambda}^{v_2}(1, \lambda) \right) \quad (9)$$

$$\Rightarrow X(\lambda) = q^{-\|\lambda - \mu_1 - \mu_2\|^2} \left(q^{2\rho} \otimes 1 \right) R_{21} \left(q^{-2\rho} \otimes 1 \right) \otimes q^{-2\lambda + \mu_1 + \mu_2} \otimes 1 \quad J_{V_1, V_2}(\lambda) (v_1 \otimes v_2)$$

Comparing the two expressions and weight 0 property of R & J we get

$$J_{V_1, V_2}(\lambda) \left(1 \otimes q^{2\lambda + 2\rho - \sum x_a^2} \right) = R_{21} q^{-\sum x_a \otimes x_a} \left(1 \otimes q^{2\lambda + 2\rho - \sum x_a^2} \right) J_{V_1, V_2}(\lambda)$$

Cor $\lim_{q^\lambda \rightarrow \infty} J(\lambda) = 1$ $\lim_{q^\lambda \rightarrow 0} J(\lambda) = R_{21} q^{-\sum x_a \otimes x_a}$

Proof. $J(\lambda) = 1 \otimes q^{-2\theta(\lambda)} \underbrace{\left(R_{21}^\circ \right)^{-1} J(\lambda)}_{\in \bar{u} \otimes u^+} 1 \otimes q^{2\theta(\lambda)}$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{q^\lambda \rightarrow \infty} J(\lambda) = 1$$

Similarly $J(\lambda) = R_{21}^\circ 1 \otimes q^{2\theta(\lambda)} \underbrace{J(\lambda)}_{\in \bar{u} \otimes u^+} 1 \otimes q^{-2\theta(\lambda)}$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{q^\lambda \rightarrow 0} J(\lambda) = R_{21}^\circ \quad \square$$