

(19.0) Recall:  $R \subset E^* \setminus \{0\}$  is a root system. Let  $\mathcal{C} =$  set of connected components of  $E \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in R} \text{Ker}(\alpha)$ . We fixed a  $C_0 \in \mathcal{C}$  (fundamental chamber) and  $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell\} \subset R$  is the set of walls of  $C_0$  (simple roots)

$W = \langle S_\alpha : \alpha \in R \rangle \subset GL(E) \text{ or } GL(E^*)$   
 (Weyl group) finite subgp.

(19.1) Lemma. Let  $x \in E$  and  $W' \subset W$  be subgroup generated by  $\{S_i = S_{\alpha_i}\}_{i=1, \dots, \ell}$ . Then  $W' \cdot x \cap \overline{C_0} \neq \emptyset$ .

Proof. Choose a point  $a \in C_0$  and let  $y \in W' \cdot x$  be such that  $d(y, a) \leq d(z, a) \forall z \in W' \cdot x$ .

(Here  $d(a, b)^2 = \|a-b\|^2 = (a-b, a-b)$  distance defined by positive-definite form). For each  $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$  we have

$$d(y, a)^2 \leq d(S_i y, a)^2 \iff (y-a, y-a) \leq (y - \alpha_i(y)h_i - a, y - \alpha_i(y)h_i - a)$$

$$\iff 0 \leq \alpha_i(y)^2 (h_i, h_i) - 2 \alpha_i(y) (y-a, h_i)$$

$$\iff 0 \leq \frac{\alpha_i(y)^2}{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)} - \frac{4 \alpha_i(y) (\alpha_i(y) - \alpha_i(a))}{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)} \quad \text{since } (x, h_i) = \frac{2 \alpha_i(x)}{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)} \forall x \in E$$

$\Leftrightarrow 0 \leq \alpha_i(y) \alpha_i(a)$ . But  $\alpha_i(a) > 0$  since  $a \in C_0$  (2)

so we get  $\alpha_i(y) \geq 0 \quad \forall i=1, \dots, l$ . Hence  $y \in \bar{C}_0$   $\square$

(19.2) Corollaries of Lemma (19.1)

(i)  $W' = W$  (i.e.  $W$  is generated by  $\{s_i\}_{i=1, \dots, l}$ )

For any  $\alpha \in R$ , let  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  be a chamber adjacent to  $\text{Ker}(\alpha)$ . Since  $W' \cdot C \cap \bar{C}_0 \neq \emptyset$  we must have  $wC = C_0$  for some  $w \in W'$ . Hence  $w(\alpha) = \alpha_i$  for some  $i \in I$  and hence  $S_\alpha = S_{w^{-1}\alpha_i} = w^{-1} s_i w \in W'$  proving that  $W = W'$ . Also we proved that

(ii)  $R = \bigcup_{i=1, \dots, l} W\alpha_i$

(iii)  $R_+ = R \cap \left( \sum_{i=1}^l \mathbb{N}\alpha_i \right) \quad R_- = -R_+$

We already know that:  $R_+ \ni \alpha = \sum_{i=1}^l c_i \alpha_i$  with  $c_i \geq 0 \quad \forall i$  (real)

From (ii)  $\alpha$  is also obtained from some  $\alpha_j$  by applying simple reflections  $\{s_1, \dots, s_l\}$ . Since at any stage:  $s_k(\alpha_{k'}) \in \sum_{i=1}^l \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i$  we get that  $c_i \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \forall i$   $\square$

(19.3) Recall that  $R_+ = \{\alpha \in R : \alpha(C_0) > 0\}$ . We just

proved that  $W = \langle s_i : i=1, \dots, l \rangle$ . Now  $\forall i, j \in \{1, \dots, l\}$

let  $m_{ij} = \text{order of } (s_i \cdot s_j)$ . From rank 2 classification

We have

$a_{ij} \cdot a_{ji}$	0	1	2	3
$m_{ij}$	2	3	4	6

Length function: for  $w \in W$ , let  $l(w)$  be the smallest  $k$  s.t.

$w = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_k}$ . If this is the case  $w = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_k}$  is called a reduced expression for  $w$ .

(19.4) Prop. (i) Let  $\alpha \in R_+$  and  $i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ . Then  $s_i \alpha \in R_- \Leftrightarrow \alpha = \alpha_i$

(ii) The following are equivalent for  $w \in W$  and  $i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$

(a)  $l(ws_i) < l(w)$

(b)  $w(\alpha_i) \in R_-$

(c) [Exchange Property] for any reduced exp.  $w = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_k}$ ,

$\exists j, 1 \leq j \leq k$  s.t.  $s_{i_j} \dots s_{i_k} = s_{i_{j+1}} \dots s_{i_k} s_i$

Proof of (i):  $\left. \begin{array}{l} s_i(\alpha) = \alpha - \alpha(h_i)\alpha_i \in R_- \\ \alpha \in R_+ \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \alpha \text{ and } \alpha_i \text{ are proportional}$

and hence (as  $\alpha \in R_+$ ),  $\alpha = \alpha_i$  □

Proof of (ii): (c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) clear

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) Let  $\beta_j := s_{i_{j+1}} \dots s_{i_k} \alpha_i$  ( $0 \leq j \leq k$ ).

Since  $\beta_0 \in R_-$  and  $\beta_k \in R_+$ , there must exist  $j$  s.t.

$\beta_j \in R_+$ ,  $\beta_{j-1} \in R_-$ . But  $\beta_{j-1} = S_{ij}(\beta_j)$  and by (i) (4)

We get  $\beta_j = \alpha_{ij}$ , i.e.  $\alpha_{ij} = S_{ij+1} \dots S_{ik}(\alpha_i)$  and hence

$$S_{ij} = S_{ij+1} \dots S_{ik} S_i S_{ik} \dots S_{ij+1} \Rightarrow \text{Exchange Prop. } \square$$

(a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). Assume  $l(ws_i) < l(w)$  and  $w(\alpha_i) \in R_+$ .

Then  $w S_i(\alpha_i) \in R_-$  and by (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) applied to  $ws_i$

we get  $l(\underbrace{ws_i S_i}_{S_i^2=1}) < l(ws_i)$ , a contradiction  $\square$