

Lecture 25

①

(25.0) Recall: G is a connected compact Lie group. In Lectures 20, 21 we proved the existence of an invariant integration $\int_G f(x) dx$, normalized to have $\int_G 1 dx = 1$.

An instance of Riesz Representation Theorem: we have a left (and right) invariant measure μ on the Borel σ -algebra Σ_G (smallest σ -algebra containing all open sets) called Haar measure.

Idea: define $\mu(U) = \sup \left\{ \int_G f(x) dx : \begin{array}{l} f \in C(G) \text{ real-valued cts.} \\ 0 \leq f \leq 1 \\ \text{Supp}(f) \subset U \end{array} \right\}$

(25.1) Last time we proved Peter-Weyl Theorem

$$L^2(G) = \widehat{\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} V_\lambda} \oplus d_\lambda$$

↑
as reps. of G

$\Lambda =$ set of iso.-classes of f.d. reps. (irred.) of G

$$d_\lambda = \dim V_\lambda$$

Cor. G admits a faithful finite-dimensional representation. (i.e. $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$ s.t. ρ is injective). In particular, $G \hookrightarrow U(N)$ ($N = \dim V$) as a closed subgroup.

Proof. We claim that for any $g \neq e$, $g \in G$, there exists a f.d. repn., say $\rho^{(g)}: G \rightarrow GL(V^{(g)})$ s.t. $\rho^{(g)}(g) \neq \text{Id}$

Since on the contrary, $\Phi_{v,w}^V(g) = \Phi_{v,w}^V(e) \quad \forall$ f.d. repr V & $v, w \in V$, and by Peter-Weyl, $f(g) = f(e) \quad \forall f \in L^2(G)$. But we can take an open set $U \subset G$ containing g s.t. $e \notin U$ and $\mathbb{1}_U \in L^2(G)$ satisfies $\mathbb{1}_U(g) = 1, \mathbb{1}_U(e) = 0$. (2)

Furthermore, $\text{Ker}(\rho^{(g)}) \subset G$ must have strictly smaller dim. Since, otherwise it will be a subgroup containing an open nhd. of e , implying that $\text{Ker}(\rho^{(g)}) = G$ which will contradict $\rho^{(g)}(g) \neq \text{Id}$.

Now start from $g_0 \in G$ ($g_0 \neq e$) and let $G_1 =$ identity component of $\text{Ker}(\rho^{(g_0)})$. If $G_1 \neq \{e\}$, let $g_1 \in G_1$ ($g_1 \neq e$) and take

$G_2 = \text{Ker}(\rho^{(g_0)} \oplus \rho^{(g_1)})$. Since dimension strictly drops at each step, we will find a repr (f.d.) $\rho_1: G \rightarrow GL(V_1)$ s.t. $\text{Ker}(\rho_1)$ is discrete, hence by compactness of G , finite. say

$\text{Ker}(\rho_1) = \{h_1, \dots, h_\ell\} \cup \{e\}$. Take $\rho = \rho_1 \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\ell} \rho^{(h_j)}$ □

(25.2) Application to structure theory

Recall Thm (13.5) Lecture 13 page 3 - every \mathbb{C} -semisimple Lie algebra admits a unique compact real form (i.e. a real Lie subalg. $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ s.t. Killing form is negative-definite on \mathfrak{g}).

Prop. Let G be a (connected) compact Lie group. $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ (3)

Then \mathfrak{g} is reductive (i.e. $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{z} \oplus [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$).

\uparrow abelian
 (center of \mathfrak{g})

\uparrow semisimple

Killing form on \mathfrak{g} is negative-semidefinite ($\mathfrak{z} = \text{radical of } K(\cdot, \cdot)$)

K is negative definite on $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{s.s.}} = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$.

Proof. Pick an inner product on f.d. real vector space \mathfrak{g} , say (\cdot, \cdot) and average over G , to make it invariant, i.e.

$$B(x, y) := \int_G (\text{Ad}(g) \cdot x, \text{Ad}(g) \cdot y) dg$$

Then we get

(1) $\mathfrak{g} =$ direct sum of G -invariant subspaces (= ideals of \mathfrak{g})

$\mathfrak{z} = \bigoplus$ of 1-dim'l ideals (min'l)

$[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = \mathfrak{z}^\perp$ semisimple (= direct sum of simples).

(2) Each $\text{ad}(X) \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is skew-symmetric w.r.t. $B(\cdot, \cdot)$

\Rightarrow eigenvalues of $\text{ad}(X)^2 \leq 0$

So $K(x, x) \leq 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}$.

By Cartan's criterion of semisimplicity $K|_{\mathfrak{g}_{\text{s.s.}}}$ is non-deg.

hence $K(X, X) < 0 \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{g}_{\text{s.s.}}$ □

(25.3) Conversely, assume that \mathfrak{g} is real Lie alg. with negative definite Killing form. Let $\text{Aut}^0(\mathfrak{g}) =$ connected component (of identity) of the group of Lie alg. auto. of \mathfrak{g} . (4)

Cor. $\text{Aut}^0(\mathfrak{g})$ is compact

Since $\text{Aut}^0(\mathfrak{g}) \subset GL(\mathfrak{g})$ acts on \mathfrak{g} via orthogonal transformations relative to $-K(\cdot, \cdot)$: inner product on \mathfrak{g} . Thus $\text{Aut}^0(\mathfrak{g})$ is a closed subgroup of $O(\mathfrak{g})$, hence cpct. □

Let G be a connected compact Lie group. $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G) = \mathfrak{z} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{ss}$.

(25.4) Thm. If $Z \subset G$ is center of G and $G_{ss} \hookrightarrow G$

Lie subgp. corr. to subalg. $\mathfrak{g}_{ss} \subset \mathfrak{g}$, then

- G_{ss} has finite center

- Z_0 and G_{ss} are closed subgps. of G , and $G = Z_0 \cdot G_{ss}$.

Proof. ($Z_0 =$ component of Z containing e).

Let $\tilde{Z}_0, \tilde{G}_{ss}$ be universal covers of Z_0 and G_{ss} resp. Then

$\tilde{G} = \tilde{Z}_0 \times \tilde{G}_{ss}$ is simply connected Lie gp w/ $\text{Lie}(\tilde{G}) = \mathfrak{z} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{ss} = \mathfrak{g}$

\Rightarrow we have a covering map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{G} = \tilde{Z}_0 \times \tilde{G}_{ss} & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G \leftarrow Z_0 \times G_{ss} & & \Rightarrow G = Z_0 \cdot G_{ss} \end{array}$$

