

Lecture 31

(31.0) Recall: G - cpt connected lie group $T \subset G$ max'l torus.

$$\theta_\lambda(t) := \frac{\sum_{w \in W} \varepsilon(w) \xi_{w(\lambda+\delta)-\delta}(t)}{\prod_{\alpha \in R_+} 1 - \xi_\alpha(t)} \in L^2(G)_{\text{class}}$$

$$(\lambda \in P_+^{\text{an}})$$

$$\chi_\mu(g) = \text{Tr}(g \text{ acting on } V_\mu) \in L^2(G)_{\text{class}}$$

$$(\mu \in \Lambda_G)$$

• $\{\chi_\mu\}_{\mu \in \Lambda_G}$ form an orthonormal basis of $L^2(G)_{\text{class}}$

• $\{\theta_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in P_+^{\text{an}}}$ form an orthonormal set in $L^2(G)_{\text{class}}$

$$(31.1) \text{ Theorem } \Lambda_G = P_+^{\text{an}}, \quad \chi_\lambda = \theta_\lambda \quad \forall \lambda \in \Lambda_G = P_+^{\text{an}}.$$

Proof. Let $\mu \in \Lambda_G$ and V_μ corresponding f.d. irred. repn.

(Weight space decomposition) $\nexists \xi: T \rightarrow S^1$

$$V_\mu[\xi] := \{v \in V_\mu : t.v = \xi(t)v \quad \forall t \in T\}$$

$$V_\mu = \bigoplus V_\mu[\xi] \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_\mu(t) = \sum_{\xi} \dim(V[\xi]) \cdot \xi(t)$$

We use additive notation $\xi(t) = e^{v(x)}$ $t = \exp(x) \quad x \in t_0$
 $v \in P_+^{\text{an}}$ $\rightsquigarrow v: t_0 \rightarrow i\mathbb{R}$
real linear.

Now χ_μ is W -invariant function. Thus $\Delta \cdot \chi_\mu$ is
 W -skew-invariant ($\Delta(wx) = \varepsilon(w)\Delta(x)$ $\forall x \in \mathfrak{t}_0$). (see (30.6)
page 4)

i.e. $\forall x \in \mathfrak{t}_0$

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_\mu(\exp(x)).\Delta(x) &= e^{\delta(x)} \cdot \prod_{\alpha \in R_+} 1 - e^{-\alpha(x)} \cdot \sum_{v \in P^{\text{an}}} \dim V_\mu[v] e^{v(x)} \\ &= \sum_{v_j \in P^{\text{an}}} m_j e^{(v_j + \delta)(x)} \quad (m_j \in \mathbb{Z}) \end{aligned}$$

By W -skew-symmetry, and the fact that W -acts simply-transitively
on the set of chambers, closure of fundamental chamber is the
fundamental domain for W -action ((19.1) page 2; HW4, problem 3)
we can choose $v_1 + \delta, \dots, v_k + \delta$ s.t. $v_j + \delta(h_{j'}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$

$$\chi_\mu(\exp(x)).\Delta(x) = \sum_{j=1, \dots, k} m_j \cdot \left[\sum_{w \in W} \varepsilon(w) e^{w(v_j + \delta)(x)} \right].$$

Note if $v_j + \delta(h_{j'}) = 0$ for some j' then

$$\sum \varepsilon(w) e^{w(v_j + \delta)} = \sum \varepsilon(w) e^{ws_{j'}(v_j + \delta)} = - \sum_{v \in W} \varepsilon(v) e^{v(v_j + \delta)}$$

\Rightarrow it is zero.

Thus we can assume $v_j + \delta(h_{j'}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, k$

i.e. each $v_j \in P_+^{\text{an}}$ ($j = 1 \dots k$) .

$$\Rightarrow \Delta \cdot \chi_\mu = \sum_{j=1}^k m_j \Delta \cdot \theta_{v_j} \quad (m_j \in \mathbb{Z}) \quad (3)$$

$$\Rightarrow \chi_\mu = \sum_{j=1}^k m_j \theta_{v_j}. \text{ But } \|\chi_\mu\|^2 = 1 \text{ and } \{\theta_{v_j}\}_{j=1}^k \text{ are o.n.}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^k |m_j|^2 = 1 \Rightarrow \exists j_0 \quad m_{j_0} = \pm 1, \quad m_j = 0 \text{ for } j \neq j_0 \text{ i.e.}$$

$\chi_\mu = \pm \theta_{v_{j_0}}$. By comparing the leading terms, we get $v_{j_0} = \mu$

and hence $\chi_\mu = \theta_\mu$ and $\mu \in P_+^{an}$.

Now if $\exists \lambda \in P_+^{an} \setminus \Lambda_G$, then $\theta_\lambda \perp \theta_\mu \quad \forall \mu \in \Lambda_G$

but $\{\chi_\mu\}_{\mu \in \Lambda_G}$ form an o.n. basis of $L^2(G)_{\text{class}}$ - a contradiction. \square

$$(31.2) \text{ Cor. (1)} \quad \prod_{\alpha \in R_+} 1 - e^{-\alpha} = \sum_{w \in W} \epsilon(w) e^{w(\delta) - \delta}$$

(from the character of the trivial repn.)

$$(2) \quad \dim V_\lambda = \frac{\prod_{\alpha \in R_+} (\lambda + \delta, \alpha)}{\prod_{\alpha \in R_+} (\delta, \alpha)}$$

Proof of (2). $\dim V_\lambda = \chi_\lambda(e)$. Both numerator and denominator of the Weyl character formula vanish at $t=e$

with order of vanishing = # of positive roots. So, we
 need to use L'Hospital rule. (4)

$\forall \alpha \in R_+$ define $\partial_\alpha \subset$ Functions on \mathfrak{h} as directional derivative
 in the direction of t_α , i.e.

$$(\partial_\alpha f)(h) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(h + \varepsilon t_\alpha) - f(h)}{\varepsilon}$$

Then $\partial_\alpha e^\beta = (\beta, \alpha) e^\beta$. Now we apply $\prod_{\alpha \in R_+} \partial_\alpha$:

$$\prod_{\alpha \in R_+} \partial_\alpha \cdot \sum_{w \in W} \varepsilon(w) e^{w(\lambda + \delta)} = \sum_{w \in W} \varepsilon(w) \left[\prod_{\alpha \in R_+} (\lambda + \delta, \bar{w}^\vee \alpha) \right] \cdot e^{w(\lambda + \delta)}$$

Claim: $|\bar{w}^\vee R_+ \cap R_-| = l(\bar{w}^\vee)$

Claim $\Rightarrow \varepsilon(w) \prod_{\alpha \in R_+} (\lambda + \delta, \bar{w}^\vee \alpha) = \prod_{\alpha \in R_+} (\lambda + \delta, \alpha)$

Same calculation with $(\lambda \mapsto 0)$ and evaluating at $h=0$ gives

Weyl dimension formula.

Proof of the claim: Let $S(w) = \bar{w}^\vee R_+ \cap R_-$.

$$S(e) = \emptyset, \text{ hence } |S(e)| = l(e)$$

$$S(s_i) = \{-\alpha_i\}, \text{ hence } |S(s_i)| = 1 = l(s_i)$$

(5)

If $w \in W$ is of length k and $w = us_i$, $l(u) = k-1$

then by Prop 19.4, page 3, we get

$$\mathcal{S}(w) = \{-\alpha_i\} \cup \mathcal{S}(u) \quad \text{and we are done by}$$

induction

□

(31.3) Examples. I. Type A_2 $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \leftarrow \alpha_i(h_j)$

$$\omega_1 = \frac{2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{3} \quad \delta = \omega_1 + \omega_2 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$$

$$\omega_2 = \frac{\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2}{3} \quad R_+ = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2\}$$

$$\prod_{\alpha \in R_+} (\delta, \alpha) = 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 2 = 2 \quad \Rightarrow \dim V_{\omega_1} = 3$$

$$\lambda = \omega_1 : \prod (\lambda + \delta, \alpha) = 2 \cdot 1 \cdot 3 = 6 \quad \text{standard repn. of } SU(3)$$