

# Lecture 25 - Ext vs Tor

①

(25.0) Recall: in  $\mathcal{A} = R\text{-mod}$  ( $R$  is a commutative ring with  $1 \neq 0$ ) we defined  $\text{Ext}_R^k(M, N)$ ,  $\text{Tor}_l^R(M, N)$  for  $M, N \in R\text{-mod}$ ;  $k, l \geq 0$ . We will end this topic by saying a few words about functoriality of these constructions.

$$(25.1) \quad \text{Ext}_R^k(A, B) \otimes \text{Ext}_R^l(B, C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{k+l}(A, C).$$

The composition map  $\text{Hom}(A, B) \times \text{Hom}(B, C) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, C)$  is  
 $(f, g) \longmapsto g \circ f$

by definition  $R$ -bilinear, and hence gives an  $R$ -linear map

$$\text{Hom}_R(A, B) \otimes \text{Hom}_R(B, C) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(A, C)$$

This natural transformation (of appropriate functors - left as an exercise) extends to give  $R$ -linear maps

$$\text{Ext}_R^k(A, B) \otimes \text{Ext}_R^l(B, C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{k+l}(A, C) \quad (*)$$

Remarks. (1) One way to understand (\*) is to use

(2)

the interpretation of elements of  $\text{Ext}$  as exact sequences.

For instance, (\*) for  $k=0$ :  $\text{Hom}(A, B) \otimes \text{Ext}^l(B, C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^l(A, C)$   
 comes from the fact that each  $\text{Ext}^l(-, C)$  is a contravariant  
 functor.

(\*) for  $k=1$ :  $\xi \in \text{Ext}^1(A, B) \leftrightarrow$  a short exact seq.  
 $0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow X \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$

whose connecting hom gives a map ( $\forall l \geq 0$ )

$$\text{Ext}^l(B, C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^{l+1}(A, C) \quad - \text{natural in short-exact sequences}$$

(2) More conceptually, one needs to pick a projective resolution  
 of  $A$ ,  $P_\bullet \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ ; an injective resolution of  $C$ ,  $0 \rightarrow C \rightarrow I_\bullet$ ,  
 and consider ( $\forall p, q \geq 0$ )

$$\text{Hom}(P_p, B) \times \text{Hom}(B, I^q) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P_p, I^q)$$

which will give the desired map. Here we will have

to use that the total cohomology of the double complex  $\{\text{Hom}(P_p, I^q)\}$

computes  $\text{Ext}(A, C)$ .

(2S.2) For  $A=B=C$ , we get a (graded) product structure

$$\text{on } \text{Ext}(A, A) = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \text{Ext}_R^k(A, A) ; \text{ analogous to}$$

the product structure on cohomology.

$\text{Tor}$  has no such intrinsic structure (except for the obvious ones like  $\text{Tor}(-, N) = \text{Tor}(N, -)$ ). It does admit an action of  $\text{Ext}$  :

$$(2S.3) \quad \text{Ext}^k(A, B) \otimes \text{Tor}_\ell(A, C) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_{\ell-k}(B, C).$$

Again the natural map

$$\text{Hom}(A, B) \times (A \otimes C) \rightarrow B \otimes C$$

$$(\eta, a \otimes c) \longmapsto \eta(a) \otimes c$$

extends to  $R$ -linear maps

$$\text{Ext}^k(A, B) \otimes \text{Tor}_\ell(A, C) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_{\ell-k}(B, C) \quad - (**)$$

For instance  $k=0$  :  $\text{Hom}(A, B) \times \text{Tor}_\ell(A, C) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_\ell(B, C)$

is saying that  $\text{Tor}_\ell(-, C)$  is a covariant functor (additive).

$k=1.$   $\xi \in \text{Ext}_R^1(A, B) \leftrightarrow$  a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow X \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

The associated long exact sequence of Tor functors includes as connecting morphisms

$$\text{Tor}_k(A, C) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_{k-1}(B, C)$$

$$= (**) \text{ for } k=1.$$

Thus  $\text{Tor}_*(A, C) = \bigoplus \text{Tor}_k(A, C)$  behaves as a module over  $\text{Ext}^*(A, A)$ .