

## LECTURE 22

**(22.0) Review.**— Let  $R$  be a unital, commutative ring. We defined *flat modules* as:  $N \in R\text{-mod}$  is said to be flat if  $- \otimes N$  is an exact functor. Last time we prove the following two results:

- The following three assertions are equivalence.
  - $N$  is flat.
  - $N_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is flat for every prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \subsetneq R$ .
  - $N_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is flat for every maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m} \subsetneq R$ .
- If  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  is a local ring, and  $N$  is a finitely presented flat  $A$ -module, then  $N$  is free.

**Remark.** Geometrically, flat morphisms correspond to *locally trivial fibrations* where dimensions (or number of elements) of fibers does not change. For instance, if  $X$  and  $Y$  are connected, complex manifolds, and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is an analytic map, then  $f$  being flat implies  $f(X) \subset Y$  is open, and for every  $y \in f(X)$ ,  $X_y = f^{-1}(y)$  has the same dimension (independent of  $y$ ).

**(22.1) Ext, Tor and loose ends.**— Recall that we started (since Lecture 13) with an abstract notion of derived functors. Let  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is an additive functor between two abelian categories.

- If  $F$  is left exact (covariant or contravariant), we get a sequence of functors  $\{R^k F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\}_{k \geq 0}$  (same variance as  $F$ ) called *right derived functors of  $F$* .
- If  $F$  is right exact, we get  $\{L_k F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\}_{k \geq 0}$  called *left derived functors of  $F$* .

When  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} = R\text{-mod}$ , and  $M, N \in R\text{-mod}$ , we get the following derived functors.

$\text{Ext}^k(M, N)$  can be viewed as  $R^k \mathfrak{h}_M(N)$  or  $R^k \mathfrak{h}^N(M)$ . Here,  $\mathfrak{h}_M = \text{Hom}(M, -)$  and  $\mathfrak{h}^N = \text{Hom}(-, N)$  are covariant and contravariant Hom functors. They are both left exact.

$\text{Tor}_k(M, N)$  can be viewed as  $L_k T_M(N)$  or  $L_k T^N(M)$ . Here,  $T_M = M \otimes -$  and  $T^N = - \otimes N$  are both covariant, right exact functors (since  $\otimes$  is commutative,  $T^N = T_N$ ).

We still have to prove that the two ways of defining Ext and Tor give the same result. This is the main theorem of these notes.

**(22.2) The case of Ext.**— For definiteness, let us start with  $R^k \mathfrak{h}_M(N)$  and show that it is the same as  $R^k \mathfrak{h}^N(M)$ . In more detail, we will take the following definition as the starting point, and call the resulting functor  $E^k(M, N)$ .

Given  $N \in R\text{-mod}$ , choose an injective resolution of  $N$ :

$$0 \rightarrow I^0 \xrightarrow{i^0} I^1 \xrightarrow{i^1} I^2 \xrightarrow{i^2} \cdots \quad \text{exact at } I^k, \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}, \text{ and } \text{Ker}(i^0) = N.$$

Define  $E^k(M, N)$  as  $k^{\text{th}}$  cohomology of the complex  $\text{Hom}(M, I^\bullet)$ . It is a covariant functor in the variable  $N$ .

$$\boxed{E^k(M, N) = H^k(\text{Hom}(M, I^\bullet))}$$

Recall the following properties of  $E^k(M, N)$ , which will be needed for our proof.

- (1)  $E^0(M, N) = \text{Hom}(M, N)$ . This follows from the left exactness of  $\text{Hom}(M, -)$ .
- (2) If  $N$  is injective, then  $E^k(M, N) = 0$  for every  $k \geq 1$ ,  $M \in R\text{-mod}$ . This is true because we can take  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$  as an injective resolution of  $N$ .
- (3) For every short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow N_1 \rightarrow N_2 \rightarrow N_3 \rightarrow 0$ , we get a connecting homomorphism  $E^k(M, N_3) \rightarrow E^{k+1}(M, N_1)$ , making the following sequence exact:  
 $\cdots \rightarrow E^k(M, N_1) \rightarrow E^k(M, N_2) \rightarrow E^k(M, N_3) \rightarrow E^{k+1}(M, N_1) \rightarrow E^{k+1}(M, N_2) \rightarrow \cdots$

**Lemma.** Assume that  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow I \rightarrow N' \rightarrow 0$  is a short exact sequence of  $R$ -modules, where  $I$  is injective. Then we have:

$$E^{k+1}(M, N) = E^k(M, N'), \quad \text{for every } k \geq 1,$$

and  $E^1(M, N) = \text{CoKer}(\text{Hom}(M, I) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, N'))$ .

PROOF. The proof follows easily by the long exact sequence in cohomology. Namely,

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, I) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, N') \rightarrow E^1(M, N) \rightarrow E^1(M, I) = 0.$$

The last term is zero since  $I$  is injective. Thus we get:

$$E^1(M, N) = \text{CoKer}(\text{Hom}(M, I) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, N')).$$

Now for  $k \geq 1$ , the following is a part of the long exact sequence:

$$0 = E^k(M, I) \rightarrow E^k(M, N') \rightarrow E^{k+1}(M, N) \rightarrow E^{k+1}(M, I) = 0,$$

which proves that  $E^k(M, N') \cong E^{k+1}(M, N)$ . □

**(22.3)**  $R^k \text{h}_M(N) = R^k \text{h}^N(M)$ .— Now we are ready to state and prove the desired result. Let  $P_\bullet$  be a projective resolution of  $M$ . That is, we have a chain complex:

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{p_2} P_2 \xrightarrow{p_1} P_1 \xrightarrow{p_0} P_0 \rightarrow 0,$$

which is exact at  $P_k$  for every  $k \geq 1$ , and  $\text{CoKer}(p_0) = M$ . In addition to the properties of  $E^k(M, N)$  listed above, we are going to use the fact that  $\text{Hom}(P, -)$  is exact for  $P$  a projective  $R$ -module.

**Theorem.**  $E^k(M, N) = H^k(\text{Hom}(P_\bullet, N))$ .

PROOF. The proof is an induction argument on  $k$ . For  $k = 0$ , we have

$$E^0(M, N) = \text{Hom}(M, N) = H^0(\text{Hom}(P_\bullet, N)), \text{ for every } N \in R\text{-mod},$$

again by left exactness of Hom functors.

Assume that we know  $E^k(M, X) = H^k(\text{Hom}(P_\bullet, X))$  for every  $X \in R\text{-mod}$  and  $0 \leq k \leq \ell$ , where  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .

Consider a short exact sequence of  $R$ -modules, where  $I$  is injective:

$$0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{f} I \xrightarrow{g} N' \rightarrow 0.$$

Applying  $\text{Hom}(P_\bullet, -)$  to this short exact sequence, and using the fact that each  $P_j$  is projective, so  $\text{Hom}(P_j, -)$  is exact, we get a short exact sequence of cochain complexes:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P_\bullet, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P_\bullet, I) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P_\bullet, N') \rightarrow 0.$$

This gives rise to a long exact sequence in cohomology (see Lecture 15, Theorem 15.1). Now we are ready to carry out the induction step.

*Case 1:  $\ell = 1$ .* Using  $H^0(\text{Hom}(P_\bullet, X)) = \text{Hom}(M, X)$ , the first part of the long exact sequence in cohomology takes the following form:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, I) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, N') \rightarrow H^1(\text{Hom}(P_\bullet, N)) \rightarrow H^1(\text{Hom}(P_\bullet, I)).$$

The last term is zero, since  $I$  is injective, so  $\text{Hom}(-, I)$  is exact. This implies that:

$$H^1(\text{Hom}(P_\bullet, N)) = \text{CoKer}(\text{Hom}(M, I) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, N')) = E^1(M, N),$$

by Lemma 22.2 above.

*Case 2:  $\ell > 1$ .* Again we focus on a part of the long exact sequence, and use the fact that  $I$  being injective, we have  $H^k(\text{Hom}(P_\bullet, I)) = 0$  for every  $k \geq 1$ .

$$0 = H^\ell(P_\bullet, I) \rightarrow H^\ell(P_\bullet, N') \rightarrow H^{\ell+1}(P_\bullet, N) \rightarrow H^{\ell+1}(P_\bullet, I) = 0.$$

Thus, we obtain:

$$H^{\ell+1}(P_\bullet, N) = H^\ell(P_\bullet, N') = E^\ell(M, N') = E^{\ell+1}(M, N),$$

where in the second equality we have used the induction hypothesis, and in the third, Lemma 22.2. □

**(22.4) The case of Tor.**— Exact same argument as in the proof of the theorem above works (details are left as an instructive exercise to the reader) to prove that:

$$\boxed{\text{Tor}_k(M, N) = H_k(P_\bullet^M \otimes N) = H_k(M \otimes P_\bullet^N)}$$

Here,  $P_\bullet^M$  and  $P_\bullet^N$  are projective resolutions of  $M$  and  $N$  respectively.

In fact, since in the proof of the theorem, we only used the fact that  $\text{Hom}(P, -)$  is exact, it allows applies to prove that

$$\boxed{\text{Tor}_k(M, N) = H_k(F_\bullet^M \otimes N)}$$

where  $F_\bullet^M$  is a *flat resolution* of  $M$ . Compare this argument with Exercise 11 of Homework 7.

**Example.** Assume that  $R$  is an integral domain, and  $K$  is its field of fractions (that is,  $K = (R \setminus \{0\})^{-1}R$ ). The following is a flat resolution of  $M = K/R$ :

$$0 \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{j} K \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $j : R \rightarrow K$  is the canonical inclusion. Using this resolution, we can compute  $\text{Tor}_k(K/R, N)$  for  $N \in R\text{-mod}$  as follows. Tensoring the above complex with  $N$  (and using  $R \otimes_R N = N$ ) gives:

$$0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{j_N} K \otimes_R N \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{degrees } 2, 1, 0, -1 \text{ respectively.}$$

Thus  $\text{Tor}_0(K/R, N) = N_K/j_N(N)$  (where  $N_K = K \otimes_R N$  is a  $K$ -vector space and  $j_N(N)$  is its  $R$ -submodule).

$$\text{Tor}_1(K/R, N) = \text{Ker}(j_N) = \{n \in N : \exists 0 \neq a \in R \text{ such that } an = 0\} = N_{\text{tor}}.$$

For instance, take  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ , so that  $K = \mathbb{Q}$ . A typical finitely-generated abelian group has the following form:

$$N = \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus r} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/m_1\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/m_s\mathbb{Z}).$$

Then, the computation above gives:

$$\text{Tor}_0(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, N) = (\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus r}, \quad \text{Tor}_1(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, N) = \mathbb{Z}/m_1\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/m_s\mathbb{Z}.$$