

(8.0) Recall - last time we defined invertible matrices.

An $n \times n$ matrix A is invertible if there exists another $n \times n$ matrix B such that $\boxed{AB = I_n = BA}$ - (*)

Here $\underline{I_n}$ is the identity matrix of size $n \times n$.

$$I_n = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ Its columns are denoted by}$$

$$\vec{e}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{e}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \dots, \quad \vec{e}_n = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

- We proved that if B as in (*) exists, then it is unique, called the inverse of A , denoted by A^{-1} .
- We proved that if A is invertible, then every linear system $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ has a unique solution.

In other words, the reduced echelon form of A is I_n

• If we solve $\boxed{\begin{matrix} A\vec{x}_1 = \vec{e}_1 \\ \vdots \\ A\vec{x}_n = \vec{e}_n \end{matrix}}$

n linear systems

and collect the solutions

$$X = [\vec{x}_1 \quad \vec{x}_2 \quad \dots \quad \vec{x}_n]$$

Then: $\boxed{AX = I_n}$.

This gave us a method to find the inverse of A.

<u>Input</u>	<u>Procedure</u>	<u>Output</u>
A: nxn matrix	Gauss-Jordan method applied to $[A I_n] \dashrightarrow [I_n B]$ reduced echelon form of A	$B = A^{-1}$

(8.1) Technicality. - Strictly speaking, what we proved last time was that if $[A | I_n] \xrightarrow{\text{Gauss-Jordan}} [I_n | B]$ then $AB = I_n$. We still have to prove that $BA = I_n$. To see this, we first note that B is also row-equivalent to I_n - since all row operations are reversible. Simply apply the ones from $[A | I_n] \dashrightarrow [I_n | B]$ in the reverse order. If we follow the procedure written above to $[B | I_n] \dashrightarrow [I_n | C]$ we have $BC = I_n$. (replace A by B and B by C in the 1st line of this paragraph).

$AB = I_n$ and $BC = I_n$ implies $A = C$

Proof. $A = A \cdot I_n \stackrel{\uparrow}{=} A \cdot (BC) \stackrel{\leftarrow}{=} (AB)C$ associativity of matrix mult.
 $\stackrel{\uparrow}{=} I_n \cdot C = C$ □ (end of the proof).

(8.2) Example. Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -2 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$. Compute A^{-1} .

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 2 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{R_1 \leftrightarrow R_2} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} -1 & 2 & -2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{R_1 \rightarrow (-1)R_1} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & -2 & 2 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 2 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & -2 & 2 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 3 & -4 & 1 & 2 & 0 \end{array} \right] \xleftarrow{R_2 \rightarrow (-1)R_2} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & -2 & 2 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 3 & -4 & 1 & 2 & 0 \end{array} \right] \xleftarrow{R_2 \leftrightarrow R_3} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & -2 & 2 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & -4 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{R_2 \rightarrow R_2 - 2R_1}$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & -2 & 2 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{R_3 \rightarrow R_3 - 3R_2} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & -2 & 2 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{R_3 \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}R_3} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & -2 & 2 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & \frac{3}{2} \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{R_1 \rightarrow R_1 - 2R_3, R_2 \rightarrow R_2 + 2R_3} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & -2 & 0 & -1 & -3 & -3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & \frac{3}{2} \end{array} \right]$$

$$\xrightarrow{R_1 \rightarrow R_1 + 2R_2} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & \frac{3}{2} \end{array} \right]. \quad \text{Claim } A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 & \frac{3}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Check

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -2 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 & \frac{3}{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2-1+0 & 2-2+0 & 2-2+0 \\ -1+2-1 & -1+4-2 & -1+4-3 \\ 0-1+1 & 0-2+2 & 0-2+3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \checkmark$$

We don't have to check $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 & \frac{3}{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -2 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. It follows automatically.

(8.3) Linear dependence and independence. (m, n : positive integers)

Assume we are given a set of vectors in \mathbb{R}^m , say $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ (n vectors in \mathbb{R}^m).

We say that this set of vectors is linearly dependent if we can find n numbers, $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}$ NOT ALL ZERO such

that $x_1 \vec{v}_1 + x_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots + x_n \vec{v}_n = \vec{0}$ $\leftarrow \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$
Zero vector in \mathbb{R}^m .

Otherwise we say that $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n$ are linearly independent.

Meaning if the only way to make $x_1 \vec{v}_1 + x_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots + x_n \vec{v}_n = \vec{0}$ is to set $x_1 = 0, x_2 = 0, \dots, x_n = 0$; then $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ is a set of linearly independent vectors

This is just a new terminology. The expression

$x_1 \vec{v}_1 + x_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots + x_n \vec{v}_n = \vec{0}$

same m as in \mathbb{R}^m above

is nothing but a homogeneous system of m equations in n variables.

Linear independence \leftrightarrow no non-trivial solutions

Linear dependence \leftrightarrow (yes) non-trivial solutions exist.

Example. Determine whether the following vectors in \mathbb{R}^3 are linearly independent or dependent.

$$\vec{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Solution. $x_1 \vec{v}_1 + x_2 \vec{v}_2 + x_3 \vec{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is same as:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} 1x_1 + 3x_2 + 5x_3 = 0 \\ 2x_1 + 4x_2 + 6x_3 = 0 \\ -1x_1 + 3x_2 + 7x_3 = 0 \end{array} \right\} \leftarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{homogeneous linear system of} \\ \text{(i.e., R.H.S. all 0's)} \end{array} \begin{array}{l} 3 \text{ variables} \\ 3 \text{ equations.} \end{array}$$

$\begin{matrix} \uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow \\ \vec{v}_1 & \vec{v}_2 & \vec{v}_3 \end{matrix}$

Put $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 2 & 4 & 6 \\ -1 & 3 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$ in reduced echelon form.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 2 & 4 & 6 \\ -1 & 3 & 7 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\substack{R_2 \rightarrow R_2 - 2R_1 \\ R_3 \rightarrow R_3 + R_1}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 0 & -2 & -4 \\ 0 & 6 & 12 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\substack{R_2 \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2}R_2 \\ R_3 \rightarrow \frac{1}{6}R_3}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_3 \rightarrow R_3 - R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

echelon ✓

$$\xrightarrow{R_1 \rightarrow R_1 - 3R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

reduced echelon ✓

Solutions to the homogeneous system: $x_1 = t$
 $x_2 = -2t$
 $x_3 = t \in \mathbb{R}$ arbitrary.

Non-trivial (i.e., not all zero) solutions exist \implies LINEARLY DEPENDENT

A solution (e.g. put $t=1$ to get $x_1=1, x_2=-2, x_3=1$) gives a linear relation among \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2 and \vec{v}_3 :

$$\boxed{\vec{v}_1 - 2\vec{v}_2 + \vec{v}_3 = \vec{0}}$$

(This "explains" the term linearly dependent, for example, $\vec{v}_1 = 2\vec{v}_2 - \vec{v}_3$. So \vec{v}_1 depends (linearly) on \vec{v}_2 and \vec{v}_3).

(8.4) Theorem. - If we are given n vectors in \mathbb{R}^m , and $n > m$ then these vectors are linearly dependent.

This is a restatement of "if a system has more variables than equations, then it cannot have a unique solution" - see Lecture 3 page 5.

Given n vectors $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n$ in \mathbb{R}^m , the equation

$$x_1\vec{v}_1 + x_2\vec{v}_2 + \dots + x_n\vec{v}_n = \vec{0} \leftrightarrow \text{homogeneous linear system of } m \text{ equations in } n \text{ variables}$$

Since $m < n$, it has either 0 or ∞ many solutions.

Since it is homogeneous, # Solns $\neq 0$ (recall: homogeneous systems are always consistent - see Lecture 3 page 6.)

Hence there are non-trivial solutions to $x_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + x_n\vec{v}_n = \vec{0}$ implying that $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n$ are linearly dependent.

(8.5) Definition. - An $n \times n$ matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ is said to be non-singular if $\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ is the only solution of the homogeneous system $A \vec{x} = \vec{0}$.

On the other hand, if $A \vec{x} = \vec{0}$ admits non-trivial solutions then we say A is singular.

If we write $A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$ and collect the columns

$\vec{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} \\ a_{21} \\ \vdots \\ a_{n1} \end{bmatrix}, \dots, \vec{v}_n = \begin{bmatrix} a_{1n} \\ \vdots \\ a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$: n vectors in \mathbb{R}^n , then:

$A \vec{x} = \vec{0}$ \longleftrightarrow same as $x_1 \vec{v}_1 + x_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots + x_n \vec{v}_n = \vec{0}$.

Hence, A is non-singular \leftrightarrow Its columns are linearly independent.
 A is singular \leftrightarrow Its columns are linearly dependent.

Example. - If A is invertible, then it is non-singular.

This is because, for invertible A , every $A \vec{x} = \vec{b}$ has a unique solution. Take $\vec{b} = \vec{0}$. We conclude that $\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ is the only solution of $A \vec{x} = \vec{0}$.

The converse of the statement written above is also true. That is, if $A = (a_{ij})$ is nonsingular, then
 $(n \times n)$
 it is invertible.

Reason. - Let $G = RE(A)$. Since $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ has only

one solution, $\#$ non-zero rows of $G = n$, that is
 (also called rank of A) ← see Lecture 4 Page 1 for a quick review

$RE(A) = I_n$. So its inverse is given via Gauss-Jordan method.

(8.6) Summary. - The following statements mean one and the same thing for $A = (a_{ij})$ $n \times n$ matrix.

(1) A is invertible.

(2) Rank of $A = n$.

(3) Reduced echelon form of $A = I_n$.

(4) A is nonsingular

(5) Columns of A are linearly independent.