

Lecture 5

Representations of groups.

①

Let G be a group. A representation of G (over a field k) is the data of a k -vector space V , together with a group hom. $\rho_V: G \rightarrow GL(V)$

Thus, G acts on V via invertible linear operators

$$g \cdot v = \rho_V(g)(v) \quad \forall g \in G, v \in V$$

Notation: $G \curvearrowright V$
 $\rho_V \leftarrow$ often omitted.

When G is a finite group, one can define a unital assoc algebra $k[G]$ over k so that the notion of G -repr coincides with that of $k[G]$ -reprs.

§1. Group algebra of a finite group G .

Let $k[G]$ be a vector space over k with basis $\{\delta_g : g \in G\}$

Define $*$: $k[G] \times k[G] \rightarrow k[G]$ on basis vectors as:

$$\delta_g * \delta_h = \delta_{gh} \quad \forall g, h \in G$$

and extend by bilinearity - i.e., $\left(\sum_{g \in G} c_g \delta_g \right) * \left(\sum_{h \in G} d_h \delta_h \right)$

(This product is often called convolution product)

$k[G], *, \delta_e = \text{unit}$
 is the group algebra of G over k .

$$= \sum_{g, h \in G} c_g d_h \delta_{gh}$$

$$= \sum_{g \in G} \left(\sum_{g_1, g_2} c_{g_1} d_{g_2} \right) \delta_g$$

over $g_1, g_2 \in G$ s.t.
 $g_1 \cdot g_2 = g$

It is often useful (for generalization purposes) to view $k[G]$ as the k -vector space of functions $\{G \rightarrow k\}$:

$$\sum_{g \in G} c_g \delta_g \quad : \quad h \mapsto c_h \quad . \quad \text{In this form, the convolution}$$

$$\text{product becomes : } (f_1 * f_2)(g) = \sum_{\substack{g_1, g_2 \in G: \\ g_1 g_2 = g}} f_1(g_1) f_2(g_2)$$

§2. G -reps. = $k[G]$ -reps. Given $\alpha: G \rightarrow GL(V)$ a group hom., we get
(G : finite gp. ; k : field)

$$\rho: k[G] \rightarrow \text{End}_k(V) \quad \text{via: } \rho\left(\sum_{g \in G} c_g \delta_g\right) = \sum_{g \in G} c_g \alpha(g)$$

(check: ρ is an alg. hom.)

Conversely, given an alg. hom. $\rho: k[G] \rightarrow \text{End}_k(V)$,

$$\rho(\delta_e) = \text{Id}_V \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_g * \delta_{g^{-1}} = \delta_{g^{-1}} * \delta_g = \delta_e, \quad \text{we}$$

get that $g \mapsto \rho(\delta_g) \in GL(V)$. It is easy to see that this assignment is a group hom.

§3. Reviewing terminology from $k[G]$ -reps. : Given a group G and

G -reps. V, W (over k), define

$$(i) \text{ Hom}_G(V, W) = \left\{ f: V \rightarrow W \mid \begin{array}{l} k\text{-linear} \\ f(g \cdot v) = g \cdot f(v) \quad \forall g \in G, v \in V \end{array} \right\}$$

[G -linear maps or G -intertwiners]

$$\subset \text{ Hom}_k(V, W)$$

(ii) $G \curvearrowright V \oplus W$ via $g \cdot (v, w) = (g \cdot v, g \cdot w) \quad \forall g \in G, v \in V, w \in W.$ ③

i.e. - in matrix form:

$$\rho_{V \oplus W}(g) = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \rho_V(g) & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \rho_W(g) \end{array} \right]$$

- we have absolutely similar notions of irreducible / indec. reps., and analogue of Schur's Lemma etc.

Schur's Lemma If $G \curvearrowright V, W$ are two ^{irreducible} reps. and $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_G(V, W)$ then either $\varphi = 0$ or an isomorphism.

($\dim V < \infty$; k : alg. closed) $\text{End}_G(V) = k \cdot \text{Id}_V$.

§4. Maschke's Theorem. Assume G is a finite group and characteristic of k does not divide ~~$|G|$~~ $|G|$ (i.e., $|G| \neq 0$ in k). Then every finite-dim'l G -repn. is semisimple.

Proof. - Let $G \curvearrowright V$ be a f.d. G -repn. Given a non-zero, proper subrepn. $U \subset V$, we will prove the existence of a (complementary) subrepn. $W \subset V$ s.t. $V = U \oplus W$. This shows that V can be written as a direct sum of irred. subreps - hence is semisimple (see Problem 3. - we don't have to assume k is alg. closed there.)

(4)

Let $W' \subset V$ be s.t. $V = U \oplus W'$ as k -vector spaces.

Let $\pi : V \rightarrow U$ be the natural projection. π is not necessarily a G -intertwiner - since W' was chosen arbitrarily. However, we can "average" it - define $P : V \rightarrow U$ as

$$P(v) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g(\pi(g^{-1}(v)))$$

(ie. $P = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \rho(g) \circ \pi \circ \rho(g)^{-1}$ ($\rho : G \rightarrow GL(V)$)).

Claim: $P|_U = \text{Id}_U$ and P is a G -intertwiner.

Proof: The first assertion follows from U being a sub-repn, and $\pi|_U = \text{Id}_U$. For the second, let $g \in G, v \in V$:

$$\begin{aligned} P(g \cdot v) &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\sigma \in G} \cancel{\sigma(\pi(\sigma^{-1}(g \cdot v)))} \sigma \pi \sigma^{-1}(g \cdot v) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{h \in G} g h \pi h^{-1}(v) && \begin{aligned} \sigma^{-1}g &= h \\ \text{so, } \sigma &= gh. \end{aligned} \\ &= g \cdot P(v). \end{aligned}$$

Let $W = \text{Ker}(P) \subset V$ subrepn. Then $V = U \oplus W$ since:

• for $v \in V$, $v = \underbrace{(v - P(v))}_{\text{in Ker}(P)} + \underbrace{P(v)}_{\text{in } U}$. • $u \in U \cap W \Rightarrow u = P(u) = 0$.

□

§5. Corollaries of Maschke's Theorem + Schur's Lemma.

Assume G is a finite group and k is an alg. closed field s.t. $\text{char}(k)$ does not divide $|G|$.

(i) $k[G]$ is a semisimple algebra. Hence there are only finitely many f.d. irred G -reps: $\{V_\lambda : \lambda \in P(G)\}$ ($P(G)$ -finite indexing set).

$$\text{and } \boxed{\sum_{\lambda \in P(G)} \dim(V_\lambda)^2 = \dim_{k\text{-v.s.}} k[G] = |G|}$$

Another (direct) proof. Consider $G \curvearrowright k[G]$ via left mult.

$$L(g)(\delta_h) = \delta_{gh} \quad \forall g \in G, h \in G$$

$$L: G \rightarrow GL(k[G])$$

By Maschke's Thm. $k[G] \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P(G)} V_\lambda^{\oplus d_\lambda}$ direct sum of f.d. irred. G -reps.

where, by Schur's lemma, $d_\lambda = \dim \text{Hom}_G(k[G], V_\lambda)$.

Now $\text{Hom}_G(k[G], X) \simeq X$ for any G -repn. X via

$$f \longmapsto f(\delta_e)$$

(injectivity: if $f(\delta_e) = 0$, then $f(\delta_g) = f(L_g(\delta_e)) = g \cdot f(\delta_e) = 0$; i.e. $f=0$.)

surjectivity: given $x \in X$ define $f: k[G] \rightarrow X$ (so $f(\delta_e) = x$).
 $f(\delta_g) = g \cdot x$
 is clearly a G -intertwiner)

$\Rightarrow d_\lambda = \dim(V_\lambda)$ and hence $|G| = \sum_{\lambda \in P(G)} (\dim V_\lambda)^2$. In particular $|P(G)| < \infty$. \square

Remark. - Maschke's Theorem fails if $\text{char}(k)$ divides $|G|$:

(6)

e.g. $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is indecomposable, but not irreducible.
 $x \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

(see also Prop. 4.1.2 of Pasha's book.)

§6. Tensor and dual of G -reps. [These operations on representations are not available for reps. of an arbitrary algebra over k .]

Let G be a group.

Let V and W be two reps. of G over a field k .

- We have a natural G -action on $V \otimes W$ given by
 $g \cdot (v \otimes w) = (g \cdot v) \otimes (g \cdot w) \quad \forall g \in G, v \in V, w \in W.$

Heading for confusion. Recall that for A -reps U and an "auxiliary space" D
 $(A: \text{alg}(k))$

we earlier considered $A \curvearrowright U \otimes D$ by $a \cdot (u \otimes \xi) = (a \cdot u) \otimes \xi$
 according to which $U \otimes D \cong U^{\oplus \dim(D)}$.

To avoid confusion, we will underline the auxiliary space - if such action is being considered for $k[G]$:

$\rightarrow G \curvearrowright V \otimes \underline{W}$ means $g \cdot (v \otimes w) = (g \cdot v) \otimes w$
 \uparrow "aux. space"

Thus $V \otimes \underline{W} \cong V^{\oplus \dim(W)}$ as G -reps.

$\rightarrow G \curvearrowright V \otimes W$ will always be the action defined here: $g \cdot (v \otimes w) = (g \cdot v) \otimes (g \cdot w).$

• $G \curvearrowright \text{Hom}_k(V, W)$ by $g \cdot (X) = \rho_W(g) \circ X \circ \rho_V(g)^{-1}$ (7)
 $(\forall g \in G, X \in \text{Hom}_k(V, W))$

(Special case: $W = k$ with trivial G -action: $g \cdot \lambda = \lambda \forall g \in G, \lambda \in k$)
 $\text{Hom}_k(V, k) = V^*$

• $G \curvearrowright V^*$ by $(g \cdot \xi)(v) = \xi(g^{-1} \cdot v) \quad \forall g \in G, \xi \in V^*, v \in V.$

Remark. - for $G \curvearrowright V, W$, two reps. of G , the most natural
 reps. to define are $V \otimes W$ and $\text{Hom}_k(V, W)$ as $G \times G$ -reps.:

$$(g, h) \cdot (v \otimes w) = (g \cdot v) \otimes (h \cdot w) \quad (g, h \in G; v \in V, w \in W)$$

$$((g, h) \cdot X)(v) = h \cdot (X \cdot (g^{-1} \cdot v)) \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} X \in \text{Hom}_k(V, W) \\ v \in V \end{array} \right)$$

The way we have viewed these vector spaces as G -reps. above, is
 via the diagonal embedding $G \hookrightarrow G \times G \curvearrowright V \otimes W$ or $\text{Hom}_k(V, W)$

§7. Tensor-Hom adjointness map is $G \times G$ -linear.

Let V, W be two G -reps. Let $\alpha: V^* \otimes W \rightarrow \text{Hom}_k(V, W)$
 be the linear map $\alpha(\xi \otimes w): v \mapsto \xi(v)w \quad \forall \xi \in V^*, w \in W, v \in V.$

Lemma (left as an easy exercise) α is $G \times G$ -intertwiner.