

Recall - Frobenius' characteristic map $\text{Ch}: (\mathbb{C}S_n)_{\text{class}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda_{n; \mathbb{C}}$ is an isometry

$$\text{Ch}(\delta_{\mathbb{C}(\mu)}) = \frac{p_{\mu}}{z(\mu)} \quad (\forall \mu \vdash n)$$

$$(\cdot, \cdot) \text{ on } (\mathbb{C}S_n)_{\text{class}}: \quad (f, g) = \sum_{\mu \vdash n} \frac{f(\mu) g(\mu)}{z(\mu)}$$

$$(\cdot, \cdot) \text{ on } \Lambda_{n; \mathbb{C}}: \quad (p_{\lambda}, p_{\mu}) = \delta_{\lambda\mu} \cdot z(\lambda)$$

Canonical tensor of the inner product on $\Lambda_{n; \mathbb{C}} =$ degree n component

$$\text{of } \prod_{i,j} \frac{1}{1 - x_i y_j}$$

(Here, $\Lambda_{n; \mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_N]_{\text{degree } n}^{S_N}$; $\mu \vdash n$ means μ is a partition of n ;

$$z(\mu) = \prod_j j^{r_j} \cdot r_j! \quad \text{where } r_j = \#\{k \mid \mu_k = j\}.)$$

§1. Statement of the main theorem . - Let $R(S_n) \subset (\mathbb{C}S_n)_{\text{class}}$ be

defined as: $R(S_n) = \mathbb{Z}$ -linear span of $\{\chi_V : V \in \text{Rep}_{\text{fd}}(S_n)\}$

Theorem . - (a) $\text{Ch}(R(S_n)) = \Lambda_n = \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_N]_{\text{deg}=n}^{S_N}$.

(b) $\{s_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \vdash n}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\Lambda_{n; \mathbb{C}}$.

(c) $s_{\lambda} \in \sum_{\mu \vdash n} \mathbb{Z} h_{\mu}$]
 $\left[\begin{array}{l} s_{\lambda} : \text{Schur polynomials} \\ h_{\mu} : \text{complete symmetric fn} \\ \text{- see defn. below} \end{array} \right.$

(d) $\text{Ch}(\chi_{U_{\lambda}}) = h_{\lambda}$]
 $\left[\begin{array}{l} U_{\lambda} : \text{partition repr. of } S_n \\ \text{- see Lecture 10, §2} \end{array} \right.$

(e) Coefficient of $x_1 \dots x_N$ in s_{λ} is positive.

Remarks and outline of the proof -

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathbb{C}S_n)_{\text{class}} & \xrightarrow{\text{Ch}} & \Lambda_n; \mathbb{C} \\ \cup & & \cup \\ R(S_n) & \xrightarrow[\text{(Thm (a))}]{\text{Ch}} & \Lambda_n \end{array}$$

Given $f \in (\mathbb{C}S_n)_{\text{class}}$, $f = \chi_V$ for some f.d. irred. repr. of S_n if and only if f satisfies three conditions - (i) $f \in R(S_n)$; (ii) $(f, f) = 1$; (iii) $f(e) > 0$.

• The theorem implies $\text{Ch}^{-1}(s_\lambda) = \chi_{V_\lambda}$ for a unique f.d. irred. V_λ .

and $\{V_\lambda\}_{\lambda \vdash n}$ is the complete set of all f.d. irred. reprs. of S_n .

(Note: for $f \in (\mathbb{C}S_n)_{\text{class}}$, $\text{Ch}(f) = \sum_{\mu \vdash n} f(\mu) \frac{P_\mu}{z(\mu)}$.

Hence, $f(e) = n!$ (Coefficient of $P_{(1, \dots, 1)}$ in $\text{Ch}(f)$).

$P_{(1, \dots, 1)} = (x_1 + \dots + x_n)^n$ is the only power sum symmetric function containing $x_1 \dots x_n$. So Theorem (e) establishes criterion (iii) above).

• $s_\lambda \in \sum_{\mu \vdash n} \mathbb{Z} h_\mu$ } are needed to show that $s_\lambda \in \text{Ch}(R(S_n))$.
 $h_\mu = \text{Ch}(i_\mu)$ } Theorem (a) follows from the other (b-e) parts.;
 once we prove that $h_\mu \in \Lambda_n \forall \mu \vdash n$.

§2. Schur polynomials and orthonormality.

Definition. (Cauchy). - For $\underline{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N) \in \mathbb{N}^N$, let

$$a_{\underline{\alpha}}(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \det (x_i^{\alpha_j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}. \text{ Let } \rho = (N-1, N-2, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{N}^N.$$

Note: (i) Each $a_{\underline{\alpha}}$ is a skew-symmetric polynomial of degree $\sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j$.

$$\text{i.e., } w \cdot a_{\underline{\alpha}} = \text{sign}(w) a_{\underline{\alpha}} \quad \forall w \in S_N.$$

Hence, if entries of $\underline{\alpha}$ are not distinct, then $a_{\underline{\alpha}} = 0$.

(ii) $\forall i \neq j, x_i - x_j$ divides $a_{\underline{\alpha}}$.

(iii) $a_{\rho}(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \prod_{i < j} (x_i - x_j)$ (Van der Monde determinant)

For every $\lambda \vdash n$, append 0's to make $\text{length}(\lambda) = N$ and define

$$S_{\lambda}(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \frac{a_{\lambda + \rho}(x_1, \dots, x_N)}{a_{\rho}(x_1, \dots, x_N)}$$

Theorem. - The degree n component of $\prod_{i,j} \frac{1}{1 - x_i y_j}$ is equal to

$$\sum_{\lambda \vdash n} S_{\lambda}(x_1, \dots, x_N) S_{\lambda}(y_1, \dots, y_N)$$

[This implies (b) of Thm §1, according to the result of Lecture 11 page 7.]

Proof. - The proof is based on Cauchy's determinant identity: (4)

$$\det \left(\frac{1}{1 - x_i y_j} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} = \frac{\prod_{i < j} (x_i - x_j) \prod_{i < j} (y_i - y_j)}{\prod_{i, j} (1 - x_i y_j)}$$

(We leave the verification of Cauchy's identity as an exercise).

Thus, the statement of the theorem is equivalent to:

Degree $n+|\rho|$
 part of $\det \left(\frac{1}{1 - x_i y_j} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} a_{\lambda+\rho}(\underline{x}) a_{\lambda+\rho}(\underline{y})$. - (*)
 ($|\rho| = \frac{N(N-1)}{2}$)

Let us choose a $\lambda \vdash n$ and compute the coefficient of

$$x_1^{\lambda_1+N-1} x_2^{\lambda_2+N-2} \dots x_N^{\lambda_N+0} \quad \text{in the left-hand side of (*)}$$

(write $\alpha_1 = \lambda_1 + N - 1, \dots, \alpha_N = \lambda_N + 0$; so $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \dots > \alpha_N$)

L.H.S. of (*): $\det \left(1 + x_i y_j + x_i^2 y_j^2 + \dots \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Coeff. of } x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots x_N^{\alpha_N} &= \sum_{w \in S_N} (-1)^w y_{w(1)}^{\alpha_1} \dots y_{w(N)}^{\alpha_N} \quad (\text{by defn. of det.}) \\ &= a_{\lambda+\rho}(\underline{y}) \quad \text{as claimed.} \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

§3. Complete and elementary symmetric fns.

For $r \in \mathbb{N}$, let $h_r(x_1, \dots, x_N) :=$ sum of all monomials of degree r .
 $= \sum_{\lambda \vdash r} m_\lambda$.

and $e_r(x_1, \dots, x_N) := \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq N} x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_r}$ (elementary symm. fns.)

Note: $h_0 = e_0 = 1$ and $e_\ell = 0 \quad \forall \ell > N$. In terms of generating series:

$$H(t) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} h_r(x_1, \dots, x_N) t^r = \prod_{i=1}^N (1 + x_i t + x_i^2 t^2 + \dots)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{1 - x_i t}$$

$$E(t) = \sum_{r=0}^N e_r(x_1, \dots, x_N) t^r = (1 + x_1 t) \dots (1 + x_N t)$$

Theorem. (Jacobi-Trudi identity)

$$S_\lambda = \det (h_{\lambda_i - i + j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq \ell} \quad (\ell = \text{length of } \lambda).$$

[This proves Thm. §1 part (c)]

e.g. $S_{(2,1)} = \det \begin{bmatrix} h_2 & h_3 \\ h_0 & h_1 \end{bmatrix} = h_2 h_1 - h_3$

$$= \sum_{i,j} x_i^2 x_j + 2 \sum_{i < j < k} x_i x_j x_k$$

$$= m_{(2,1)} + 2 m_{(1,1,1)}$$

Note - positivity - not clear from defns. of S_λ .

(6)

Proof.- For $1 \leq k \leq N$, let $e_r^{(k)}$ denote the elementary symmetric polynomial in $N-1$ variables $x_1, \dots, \overset{\wedge}{x_k}, \dots, x_N$. Thus,
↑
skipped

$$\sum_{r=0}^{N-1} e_r^{(k)} t^r = \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ (i \neq k)}}^N (1 + x_i t). \quad \text{From } H(t) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} h_r t^r = \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{1 - x_i t},$$

we get $H(t) \cdot \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} (-1)^r e_r^{(k)} t^r = \frac{1}{1 - x_k t} = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} x_k^p t^p.$

Coefficient of t^p : $\sum_{r=0}^{N-1} h_{p-r} (-1)^r e_r^{(k)} = x_k^p$

[Convention: $h_{-l} = 0$
 $\forall l \geq 1$]

Change r to $N-j$ to get

$$\sum_{j=1}^N h_{p-N+j} (-1)^{N-j} e_{N-j}^{(k)} = x_k^p.$$

Thus, for every $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N) \in \mathbb{N}^N$ we get the following matrix equation.

$$\left(x_i^{\alpha_j} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} = \left((-1)^{N-r} e_{N-r}^{(i)} \right)_{1 \leq i, r \leq N} \left(h_{\alpha_j - N + r} \right)_{1 \leq r, j \leq N}$$

$$\Rightarrow a_{\alpha} (x_1, \dots, x_N) = A \cdot \det \left(h_{\alpha_j - N + r} \right)_{1 \leq r, j \leq N}.$$

↑
independent of α .

Set $\alpha = \rho = (N-1, \dots, 0)$. Note $h_{\rho_j - N + r} = h_{r-j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r=j \\ 0 & \text{if } r < j \end{cases}$

$$\Rightarrow \det \left(h_{\rho_j - N + r} \right) = 1.$$

So, we get $a_{\rho} (x_1, \dots, x_N) = A.$

Hence, $a_\alpha(\underline{x}) = a_\rho(\underline{x}) \cdot \det(h_{\alpha_j - N + r})_{1 \leq j, r \leq N}$

If $\alpha = \lambda + \rho$, then $\alpha_j - N + r = \lambda_j - j + r$, and we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{a_{\lambda+\rho}(\underline{x})}{a_\rho(\underline{x})} &= \det(h_{\lambda_i - i + j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} \\ &= \det(h_{\lambda_i - i + j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq l} \quad \text{since} \end{aligned}$$

for $k > l$, $\lambda_k = 0$ and hence the remainder of the matrix after l rows is upper triangular with 1's on the diagonal

$$\left(h_{\lambda_i - i + j} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \left(h_{\lambda_i - i + j} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq l} & \\ \hline \text{Zeros} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \cdot \\ 0 \cdot \\ \cdot \\ 0 \cdot \\ \cdot \\ 0 \cdot \\ \cdot \\ 0 \cdot \\ \cdot \\ 1 \end{array} \end{array} \right]$$

□