

Recall:  $S_n =$  symmetric group on  $n$  letters.

- $\text{Conj}(S_n) \leftrightarrow \mathcal{P}(n) =$  set of partitions of  $n \leftrightarrow \text{Irr}_{\text{fd}}(S_n)$   
(over  $\mathbb{C}$ , say)
- $(\mathbb{C}S_n)_{\text{class}} = \{f: S_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f(\sigma w \sigma^{-1}) = f(w) \forall \sigma, w \in S_n\}$ .
- $\forall$  f.d. repn  $S_n \curvearrowright_{\alpha} V$ ;  $\chi_V: S_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  "character of  $V$ "  
 $\chi_V(w) = \text{Trace of } \alpha(w) \in \text{GL}(V)$   
(Note,  $\chi_V \in (\mathbb{C}S_n)_{\text{class}}$ )

$$\mathcal{R}(S_n) := \mathbb{Z}\text{-span of } \{\chi_V : V \in \text{Rep}_{\text{fd}}(S_n; \mathbb{C})\}$$

- Non-degenerate, symmetric, bilinear form  $(\cdot, \cdot): \mathbb{C}S_n \times \mathbb{C}S_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ;  
restricted to  $(\mathbb{C}S_n)_{\text{class}}$  becomes:

$$(f, g) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \frac{f(\lambda) g(\lambda)}{z(\lambda)} \left[ \begin{array}{l} f(\lambda) = \text{value of } f \text{ at} \\ \text{any element from} \\ \text{conjugacy class } C(\lambda) \end{array} \right]$$

$$z(\lambda) = \prod_{j \geq 1} j^{l_j} \cdot l_j! \quad (l_j = \#\{k: \lambda_k = j\})$$

$$= |\text{Centralizer of any element } w_\lambda \in C(\lambda)|$$

$$\text{Recall: } (\chi_V, \chi_W) = \dim \text{Hom}_{S_n}(V, W)$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} d_\lambda(V) \cdot d_\lambda(W); \text{ where } V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash n} V_\lambda \oplus d_\lambda(V)$$

$$W = \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash n} V_\lambda \oplus d_\lambda(W)$$

$$\{V_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(n)} = \text{Irr}_{\text{fd}}(S_n).$$

Thus,  $\{\chi_\lambda = \chi_{\nu_\lambda}\}_{\lambda \vdash n}$  form an orthonormal basis of  $(\mathbb{C}S_n)_{\text{class}}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $R(S_n) \subset (\mathbb{C}S_n)_{\text{class}}$ .

• Frobenius characteristic map  $\text{Ch}: (\mathbb{C}S_n)_{\text{class}} \rightarrow \Lambda_{n; \mathbb{C}}$

$$\text{Ch}(\delta_{\mathbb{C}(\lambda)}) = \frac{p_\lambda}{z(\lambda)}$$

encodes class function as "generating series"

$$\text{Ch}(f) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} f(\lambda) \cdot \frac{p_\lambda}{z(\lambda)}$$

Recall:  $\Lambda_n = \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  degree  $n$ .  
 $p_r = \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^r$  ( $N \geq n$ ) ( $r \geq 1$ )  
 $p_\lambda = p_{\lambda_1} \cdots p_{\lambda_\ell}$

We have proved the following:

(a) Ch is an isometry - i.e., preserves non-deg, symmetric bilinear forms (when  $(\cdot, \cdot) : \Lambda_{n; \mathbb{C}} \times \Lambda_{n; \mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ )  
 $(p_\lambda, p_\mu) = \delta_{\lambda\mu} z(\lambda)$

(b) Canonical tensor of the bilinear form on  $\Lambda_{n; \mathbb{C}}$  is given by:

$$\Omega(x, y) = \prod_{i,j} \frac{1}{1 - x_i y_j} \quad (\text{degree } n \text{ component})$$

Schur polynomials  $\{S_\lambda(x)\}_{\lambda \vdash n}$  form an orthonormal basis of  $\Lambda_{n; \mathbb{C}}$  (Thm. 82 of Lecture 12)

$$S_\lambda(x) = \det(h_{\lambda_i - i + j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq \ell} = \sum_{\mu \vdash n} K_{\lambda\mu} m_\mu \quad (\text{Young's rule, Lecture 13, page 3})$$

(Lecture 12, §3, page 5)

recall  $h_r = \sum_{\lambda \vdash r} m_\lambda$  (sum of all monomials of degree  $r$ )

$$m_\mu = \sum_{\alpha \in S_{\mathbb{N}} \mu} \underline{x}^\alpha = x_1^{\mu_1} x_2^{\mu_2} \cdots x_k^{\mu_k} + \text{its symmetrization over } N \text{ variables.}$$

§1.  $K_{\lambda\mu} = \# \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Semi-standard Young tableaux of shape } \lambda \\ \text{and type } \mu \text{ - i.e., filled with } \begin{array}{l} \mu_1 \text{ 1's} \\ \mu_2 \text{ 2's} \\ \vdots \\ \mu_k \text{ k's} \end{array} \end{array} \right\}$

Note - if  $K_{\lambda\mu} \neq 0$  then  $\mu_1 \leq \lambda_1$  and  $\mu_1 + \mu_2 \leq \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \dots$

Since # of 1's + ... + # r's in a SSYT of shape  $\lambda$  & type  $\mu$   
 $= \mu_1 + \dots + \mu_r$  entries have to go in the first  $r$  rows ( $\forall r$ )

$\Rightarrow \mu_1 + \dots + \mu_r \leq \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_r \quad (\forall r)$ . This is a partial order,  
 (called dominance order)

denoted here by  $\leq_D$ .  
 ( $D$  for dominance)

Thus,  $S_\lambda = \sum_{\mu \leq_D \lambda} K_{\lambda\mu} m_\mu$  is a triangular transformation.

Moreover  $K_{\lambda\lambda} = 1$  (check). Hence we get

Cor of Young's rule -  $\{S_\lambda\}$  form a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $\Lambda_n$ .

§2. We will now show that Frobenius' characteristic map preserves

the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -forms.

$$(\mathbb{C}S_n)_{\text{class}} \longrightarrow \Lambda_n; \mathbb{C}$$

i.e. To prove:  $\text{Ch}(R(S_n)) = \Lambda_n$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & & U \\ R(S_n) & \dashrightarrow & \Lambda_n \end{array}$$

Recall - we constructed reprints  
 $\{U_\lambda = \text{Fun}(X_\lambda; \mathbb{C})\}_{\lambda \vdash n}$

$$X_\lambda = \left\{ I_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup I_\ell = \{1, \dots, n\} : |I_j| = \lambda_j \right\}_{\forall j}$$

$$\{i_\lambda = \chi_{U_\lambda} \in R(S_n) \}_{\lambda \vdash n} \quad (\text{we don't know if it is a } \mathbb{Z}\text{-basis yet}). \quad (4)$$

Recall:  $i_\lambda(\mu) = \# \{ (I_1, \dots, I_\ell) \in \chi_\lambda : \text{each } I_j \text{ can be written as a union of } J_r \text{'s} \}$

$$J_1 = \{1, \dots, \mu_1\}; J_2 = \{\mu_1+1, \dots, \mu_1+\mu_2\}; \dots$$

= coefficient of  $m_\lambda$  in  $p_\mu$ .

§3. Theorem. -

(i)  $\text{Ch}(i_\lambda) = h_\lambda$ .

(ii)  $\Omega(\underline{x}, \underline{y}) = \sum_\lambda h_\lambda(\underline{x}) m_\lambda(\underline{y})$ . That is,

$\{h_\lambda\}_{\lambda \vdash n}$  form a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $\Lambda_n$  - dual to  $\{m_\lambda\}_{\lambda \vdash n}$ :

$$(h_\lambda, m_\mu) = \delta_{\lambda\mu}.$$

Proof. - ~~W~~  $\text{Ch}(i_\lambda) = \sum_{\mu \vdash n} i_\lambda(\mu) \cdot \frac{p_\mu}{z(\mu)}$  (by definition).

$$= \sum_{\mu \vdash n} \frac{i_\lambda(\mu)}{z(\mu)} \sum_{\gamma \vdash n} i_\gamma(\mu) m_\gamma \quad \left( \text{since } p_\mu = \sum_{\gamma \vdash n} m_\gamma \cdot i_\gamma(\mu) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{\gamma \vdash n} m_\gamma \cdot \sum_{\mu \vdash n} \frac{i_\lambda(\mu) i_\gamma(\mu)}{z(\mu)}$$

$$= \sum_{\gamma \vdash n} (i_\lambda, i_\gamma) m_\gamma$$

The proof of the theorem follows from the following enumerative result.

(5)

§4. Matrices with fixed row & column sums. - Let  $\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\beta} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\ell}$ .

$$\mathcal{M}(\underline{\alpha}; \underline{\beta}) = \left\{ A = (a_{ij}) \in M_{\ell \times \ell}(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}) : \begin{array}{l} \sum_j a_{ij} = \alpha_i \quad (\forall 1 \leq i \leq \ell) \\ \sum_i a_{ij} = \beta_j \quad (\forall 1 \leq j \leq \ell) \end{array} \right\}$$

(of necessity;  $\sum_i \alpha_i = \sum_j \beta_j$ ;  
otherwise  $\mathcal{M}(\underline{\alpha}; \underline{\beta}) = \emptyset$ .)

Lemma. Let  $\lambda, \mu \vdash n$  and  $M_{\lambda\mu} = |\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\lambda; \mu)|$ . Then

(a)  $(i_{\lambda}, i_{\mu}) = M_{\lambda\mu}$

(b)  $h_{\lambda} = \sum_{\mu \vdash n} M_{\lambda\mu} m_{\mu}$

(c) degree  $n$  part of  $\prod_{i,j} \frac{1}{1-x_i y_j} = \sum_{\lambda, \mu \vdash n} M_{\lambda\mu} m_{\lambda}(x) m_{\mu}(y)$   
 $= \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} m_{\lambda}(x) h_{\lambda}(y)$

Proof. - (a)

$$(i_{\lambda}, i_{\mu}) = \dim \text{Hom}_{S_n}(U_{\lambda}, U_{\mu})$$

$$= \dim \text{Hom}/k \quad \text{Recall: } U_{\lambda} = \text{Fun}(X_{\lambda}; \mathbb{C})$$

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(U_{\lambda}, U_{\mu}) \simeq \text{Fun}(X_{\lambda} \times X_{\mu}; \mathbb{C}) \text{ as } S_n\text{-reps (check this!)}$$

$$E_{ba} \longmapsto \delta_{(a,b)} \leftarrow (\text{delta fn. at } (a,b) \in X_{\lambda} \times X_{\mu})$$

( $E_{ba}$  maps the basis vector  $\delta_c$  to

$$\boxed{\delta_{a,c}} \cdot \delta_b$$

↑ Kronecker  $\delta$ -fn.)

$$U_{\lambda} \text{ has basis } \{\delta_a : a \in X_{\lambda}\}$$

$$U_{\mu} \text{ — } \{\delta_b : b \in X_{\mu}\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow (i_\lambda, i_\mu) &= \dim \text{Fun}(X_\lambda \times X_\mu; \mathbb{C})^{S_n} \\ &= |S_n\text{-orbits in } X_\lambda \times X_\mu| \end{aligned}$$

Exercise. - The map  $X_\lambda \times X_\mu \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\lambda\mu}(\lambda; \mu)$

$$\begin{aligned} ((I_1, \dots, I_\ell); (J_1, \dots, J_\ell)) &\mapsto (|I_i \cap J_j|) \\ & \quad ( \ell \geq \text{length}(\lambda), \text{length}(\mu) ) \end{aligned}$$

$1 \leq i, j \leq \ell$

sets up a bijection b/w  $S_n$ -orbits in  $X_\lambda \times X_\mu$  and  $\mathcal{M}(\lambda; \mu)$ .

This proves (a).

(b) Coefficient of  $m_\mu$  in  $h_\lambda =$  coefficient of  $x_1^{\mu_1} \dots x_\ell^{\mu_\ell}$  in

$$\left( \sum_{|\alpha^{(1)}|=\lambda_1} \underline{x}^{\alpha^{(1)}} \right) \left( \sum_{|\alpha^{(2)}|=\lambda_2} \underline{x}^{\alpha^{(2)}} \right) \dots \left( \sum_{|\alpha^{(\ell)}|=\lambda_\ell} \underline{x}^{\alpha^{(\ell)}} \right)$$

$$= \sum (x_1^{a_{11}} x_2^{a_{12}} \dots) (x_1^{a_{21}} x_2^{a_{22}} \dots) \dots$$

(sum is over all non-negative integer tuples s.t.  $\sum_j a_{ij} = \lambda_i$ )

Hence coeff. of  $m_\mu = |\mathcal{M}(\lambda; \mu)| = M_{\lambda\mu}$ .

(c)  $\prod_{i,j} \frac{1}{1 - x_i y_j} = \prod_{i,j} (1 + x_i y_j + x_i^2 y_j^2 + \dots)$

$$= \sum (x_i y_j)^{a_{ij}} \quad (\text{sum over all } A = (a_{ij}) \text{ matrix with } \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \text{- entries})$$

Coefficient of  $m_\lambda(x) m_\mu(y)$  comes from  $A = (a_{ij})$  s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_j a_{ij} &= \lambda_i \\ \sum_i a_{ij} &= \mu_j \end{aligned}$$

$\square$