

Lecture 21

(1)

Summary of results on polynomial reps. of $GL_m(\mathbb{C})$.

- Schur functors. For $\lambda \vdash n$, let V_λ be the finite-dim'l irred. repr. of S_n .

$$\begin{aligned} S^\lambda(V) &:= (V_\lambda \otimes T^n(V))^{S_n} : \underline{\text{Vect}} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Vect}} \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{S_n}(V_\lambda, T^n(V)) \end{aligned}$$

→ If $\dim(V) = m$, x_1, \dots, x_m variables, then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Trace of } S^\lambda \left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & x_m \end{bmatrix} \right) \text{ on } S^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^m) \\ = S_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_m) - \text{Schur polynomial} \end{aligned}$$

(see Cor §5, page 6 of Lecture 19)

- $T^n(V) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash n} V_\lambda \otimes S^\lambda(V)$ naturally in V

- Schur-Weyl duality (see §2. of Lecture 20).

- Irreducible polynomial reps of $GL_m(\mathbb{C})$ (f.d.) = $\left\{ L_\lambda := S^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^m) \mid \ell(\lambda) \leq m \right\}$

and every f.d. poly. repr. of $GL_m(\mathbb{C})$ is semisimple
(or, completely reducible)

Recall the main steps in our proof of this last result -

- from Lecture 20:

$$(i) \quad R := \mathbb{C}[y_{ij} : 1 \leq i, j \leq m] = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} R_n.$$

$$GL_m(\mathbb{C}) \curvearrowright R_n \quad \text{via} \quad g \cdot y_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^m (g^{-1})_{ik} y_{kj}$$

(ii) Every f.d. poly reprn of GL_m = direct sum of hgs, f.d. poly reprs. (Lecture 17, Lemma §4).

(iii) Every hgs degree n , f.d. reprn. of GL_m is a subreprn. of $R_n^{\oplus l}$ (for $l \gg 0$ depending on the reprn.)

(Prop. §4 of Lecture 20).

Finally

$$R_n \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{\lambda \vdash n \\ l(\lambda) \leq m}} L_{\lambda} \otimes L_{\lambda}^*$$

\uparrow aux. / mult. space.

"Peter-Weyl theorem" (see Thm §5 of Lecture 20).

→ In this lecture, we give a different proof of the main theorem:

Theorem. - (a) The category of f.d. poly. reprs. of $GL_m(\mathbb{C})$ is semisimple.

$$(b) \quad \text{Irred. f.d. poly. reprs. of } GL_m(\mathbb{C}) = \left\{ L_{\lambda} = S^{\lambda}(\mathbb{C}^m) : l(\lambda) \leq m \right\}$$

§1. Double commutant theorem. - Let E be a f.d. vector space, (over \mathbb{C}).

$A, B \subset \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(E)$ two subalgebras such that

(i) A is semisimple and (ii) $B = \text{End}_A(E)$. Then:

(a) $A = \text{End}_B(E)$, (b) B is semisimple, and

(c) $E = \bigoplus_{i=1}^N V_i \otimes W_i$ as a repr. of $A \otimes B$, where

$\text{Irr}_{fd}(A) = \{V_1, \dots, V_N\}$ and $\text{Irr}_{fd}(B) = \{W_1, \dots, W_N\}$.

Proof. - As A is f.d. and semisimple, it has finitely many f.d. irred. reps., say $\text{Irr}_{fd}(A) = \{V_1, \dots, V_N\}$, and:

$$E \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^N V_i \otimes W_i, \text{ where } W_i = \text{Hom}_A(V_i, E).$$

$$A \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^N \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V_i).$$

By Schur's lemma, $B = \text{End}_A(E) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^N \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(W_i)$.

This implies all the statements of the theorem. □

§2. Take $E = T^n(V) = \underbrace{V \otimes \dots \otimes V}_{n\text{-fold}}$; $A = \text{Image of } \mathbb{C}S_n \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(E)$

Lemma. - $B = \text{End}_A(E)$ is spanned by $\{g^{\otimes n} : g \in GL(V)\}$

Proof. - $\text{End}_{S_n}(V \otimes \dots \otimes V) \cong (\text{End}(V) \otimes \dots \otimes \text{End}(V))^{S_n} \cong \text{Sym}^n(\text{End}(V)).$

Claim. - For a f.d. vector space U , $\text{Sym}^n(U)$ is spanned by $\{u \otimes \dots \otimes u : u \in U\}$

(Proof of the claim :- Verify that $\text{Sym}^n(U)$ is an irred. repr. of $GL(U)$. The subspace spanned by $\{u^{\otimes n} : u \in U\}$ is a non-zero $GL(U)$ -subrepr, hence $= \text{Sym}^n(U)$. \square)

Therefore, $B \cong \text{Sym}^n(\text{End}(V))$ is spanned by $\{f^{\otimes n} : f \in \text{End}(V)\}$

Let $f \in \text{End}(V)$. Then for almost ~~but~~ all $t \in \mathbb{C}$,

$t \cdot \text{Id} + f \in GL(V)$. So $(t \cdot \text{Id} + f)^{\otimes n} \in \text{Span} \{g^{\otimes n} : g \in GL(V)\}$ for all but finitely many $t \in \mathbb{C}$.

As $\text{Span} \{g^{\otimes n} : g \in GL(V)\} \subset B$ subspace - hence closed -

we get $(t + f)^{\otimes n}$ is in this subspace $\forall t$. Thus $f^{\otimes n}$ is in this subspace. We have proved

$$B = \text{Span} \{f^{\otimes n} : f \in \text{End}(V)\} = \text{Span} \{g^{\otimes n} : g \in GL(V)\}. \quad \square$$

§3. Combining Thm §1 and Lemma §2, we get

Theorem. (Schur-Weyl duality) - Let V be m -dim'l \mathbb{C} -vector space.

(i) The image of $\mathbb{C}S_n$ ^(say A) and span of the image of $GL(V)$, say B , in $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V^{\otimes n})$ are centralizers of each other.

(ii) A and B are semisimple. In particular $V^{\otimes n}$ is a semisimple $GL(V)$ -repn.

(iii) $V^{\otimes n} \downarrow \text{as } S_n \times GL(V)\text{-reps.} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash n} V_\lambda \otimes L_\lambda \cdot \{V_\lambda\}_{\lambda \vdash n} = \text{Irr}_{fd}(S_n)$

and L_λ 's are irred. $GL(V)$ -reps (or zero).

§4. The remainder of the proof of the main theorem stated on page 2 above remains the same - as in the proof of Thm §5 of Lecture 20. Except, we still have to prove that characters of L_λ 's are given by Schur polynomials (this was used in the dimension counting argument - see page 7 of Lecture 20).

Definition. - Given a $GL_m(\mathbb{C})$ -repn (f.d.), L , define

$$\chi_L(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \text{Trace of } \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & & 0 \\ & \dots & \\ 0 & & x_m \end{bmatrix} \text{ acting on } L.$$

Theorem. - Let L_λ 's be as in Theorem §3 above. Then,

$$\chi_{L_\lambda}(x_1, \dots, x_m) = S_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_m) \text{ (Schur poly's).}$$

Proof. - The proof of this theorem is based on computing the trace of (w, g) on $V^{\otimes n}$ ($w \in S_n$; $V = \mathbb{C}^m$
 $g = \text{diagonal matrix } \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & & 0 \\ & \dots & \\ 0 & & x_m \end{bmatrix}$)

Let e_1, \dots, e_m be the standard basis of \mathbb{C}^m , so $g \cdot e_i = x_i \cdot e_i$. ⑥

Basis of $(\mathbb{C}^m)^{\otimes n}$: $\{e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_n} \mid i_1, \dots, i_n \in \{1, \dots, m\}\}$

with S_n -action: $w \cdot (e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_n}) = e_{i_{w(1)}} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_{w(n)}}$

and $g \cdot (e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_n}) = x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_n} (e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_n})$.

Therefore, as S_n -reps, $(\mathbb{C}^m)^{\otimes n} \cong \text{Fun}(I_{m,n}; \mathbb{C})$ where

$I_{m,n} = \{i: \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, m\}\}$.

Note that $I_{m,n} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{X}_{m,n} = \left\{ (S_1, \dots, S_m) \mid \{1, \dots, n\} = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^m S_j \right\}$
 $= \{(J_1, \dots, J_m) \mid \{1, \dots, n\} = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^m J_i\}$

$i \longmapsto (J_1, \dots, J_m)$ where $J_\alpha = \{k \mid i_k = \alpha\}$

$i \longleftarrow (J_1, \dots, J_m)$

where $i_k = \alpha$ s.t. $k \in J_\alpha$.

Hence, as S_n -reps: $(\mathbb{C}^m)^{\otimes n} \cong \text{Fun}(\mathcal{X}_{m,n}; \mathbb{C})$

Moreover, $\mathcal{X}_{m,n} = \bigsqcup_{\substack{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in \mathbb{N} \\ \text{s.t. } \sum \alpha_j = n}} \mathcal{X}_{m,n}(\underline{\alpha})$ as sets with S_n -action

$\mathcal{X}_{m,n}(\underline{\alpha}) = \{(J_1, \dots, J_m) \in \mathcal{X}_{m,n} \mid |J_j| = \alpha_j\}$

and every basis vector of $(\mathbb{C}^m)^{\otimes n}$ corr. to $(J_1, \dots, J_m) \in \mathcal{X}_{m,n}(\underline{\alpha})$,

is an eigenvector of g with eigenvalue $x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_m^{\alpha_m}$. (7)

(to see why, $(J_1, \dots, J_m) \leftrightarrow e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_n}$ where $i_k = s$ s.t. $k \in J_s$.
 g -eigenvalue $x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_n} = \prod_{l=1}^m x_l^{|J_l|} = x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_m^{\alpha_m}$)

Thus, trace of (w, g) on $(\mathbb{C}^m)^{\otimes n}$

$$= \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^m \\ \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_m = n}} (\text{Trace of } w \text{ on } \text{Fun}(X(\alpha); \mathbb{C})) \cdot x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_m^{\alpha_m}$$

[using $\text{Fun}(X(\alpha), \mathbb{C}) \cong \text{Fun}(X(\sigma\alpha), \mathbb{C}) \forall \sigma \in S_m$.]

$$= \sum_{\substack{\lambda \vdash n \\ \ell(\lambda) \leq m}} (\text{Trace of } w \text{ on } U_\lambda) \cdot \underbrace{m_\lambda}_{\substack{\text{"partition repr."} \\ \text{monomial symm. fn.}}}$$

Using $U_\lambda \cong \bigoplus_{\mu} V_{\mu}^{\oplus K_{\mu\lambda}}$, we get ($K_{\mu\lambda}$: Kostka numbers - see §1 of Lecture 14).

$$\text{Trace of } (w, g) \text{ on } (\mathbb{C}^m)^{\otimes n} = \sum_{\substack{\lambda \vdash n \\ \ell(\lambda) \leq m}} \left(\sum_{\mu} K_{\mu\lambda} \chi_{V_{\mu}}(w) \right) m_\lambda$$

$$= \sum_{\mu \vdash n} \chi_{V_{\mu}}(w) \cdot \boxed{\sum_{\lambda \vdash n} K_{\mu\lambda} m_\lambda}$$

↑ $S_{\mu}(x_1, \dots, x_m)$.

(Lecture 14, §1, 2)

Compare with the answer obtained from Schur-Weyl duality, (8)

$$V^{\otimes n} = \bigoplus_{\mu} V_{\mu} \otimes L_{\mu} \quad (\text{Thm } \S 3, \text{ page 4 above})$$

\uparrow w acts here \uparrow g acts here

- i.e., Trace of (w, g) acting on $(\mathbb{C}^m)^{\otimes n}$

$$= \sum_{\mu \vdash n} \chi_{V_{\mu}}(w) \cdot \chi_{L_{\mu}}(x_1, \dots, x_m)$$

We get $\chi_{L_{\mu}}(x_1, \dots, x_m) = S_{\mu}(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ as claimed \square