

Complete reducibility of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -reps.

Recall:  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$  has the following presentation: generators:  $h, e, f$

Lie bracket:  $[h, e] = 2e$ ;  $[h, f] = -2f$ ;  $[e, f] = h$ .

$\text{Irred}_{\text{fd}}(\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})) = \{L_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$  given as:

- $L_n$  has a basis  $\{v_0, \dots, v_n\}$

$$h \cdot v_j = (n-2j) v_j; \quad e \cdot v_j = (n-j+1) v_{j-1}; \quad f \cdot v_j = (j+1) v_{j+1}.$$

§1. Casimir operator. - Given a f.d. repn.  $\pi: \mathfrak{sl}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ ,

let  $C_\pi = \frac{\pi(h)^2}{2} + \pi(e)\pi(f) + \pi(f)\pi(e) : V \rightarrow V$ .

(or,  $C = \frac{h^2}{2} + ef + fe \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ .)

Lemma. -  $C_\pi$  is an  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -intertwiner.

Pf. -  $[e, C] = \frac{[e, h]h + h[e, h]}{2} + e[e, f] + [e, f]e$   
 $= -eh - he + eh + he = 0.$

Similarly,  $[f, C] = 0$  and  $[h, C] = 0$ .  $\square$

Example.  $C|_{L_n}$  has to be a scalar (by Schur's lemma).

(Casimir element acting on irred. repn.  $\otimes L_n$ )

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{and } C \cdot v_0 &= \left( \frac{h^2}{2} + ef + fe \right) \cdot v_0 \\
 &= \left( \frac{h^2}{2} + fe + h + fe \right) v_0 \quad [ef = fe + h \text{ in } U(sl_2)] \\
 &= \left( \frac{n^2}{2} + n \right) v_0 \quad \text{since } ev_0 = 0 \\
 &= \frac{n^2 + 2n}{2} v_0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Note - for  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ;  $m^2 + 2m = n^2 + 2n$   
 $\Leftrightarrow (m-n)(m+n+2) = 0$   
i.e. either  $m=n$ , or  $m = -n-2$ .

§2.  $\rightarrow$  Casimir operator allows us to "separate" irred. repns.  $\{L_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$ .

More precisely, let  $V$  be an arbitrary f.d.  $sl_2$ -repn.

for  $\ell \in \mathbb{C}$ , let  $V^{(\ell)} = \{v \in V : (C - \ell \cdot \text{Id})^N v = 0 \text{ for } N \gg 0\}$   
(generalized eigenspace of  $C$  w/ eigenvalue  $\ell$ ).

Since  $C$  commutes with  $sl_2$ -action, each  $V^{(\ell)} \subset V$  is a subrepn  
and the generalized eigenspace decomposition  $V = \bigoplus_{\ell \in \mathbb{C}} V^{(\ell)}$  is a direct-sum dec. of  $sl_2$ -repns.

Cor. - If  $sl_2 \subset V$  is a f.d. indecomposable repn, then  $C$  has

only one eigenvalue, say  $\ell = \frac{n(n+2)}{2}$  where  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  is s.t.

$V$  has a non-zero  $v \in V$  s.t.  $e \cdot v = 0$   $h \cdot v = nv$  (every f.d. repn. contains such a vector - see Lecture 23, §4(ii) page 6 & page 8)

$$\S 3. \text{ Theorem.} - \quad \text{Ext}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2}^1(L_m, L_m) = \{0\} \quad \forall n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}. \quad (3)$$

In simpler terms - if  $0 \rightarrow L_n \rightarrow V \rightarrow L_m \rightarrow 0$  is a short exact sequence of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -reps., then  $V \cong L_n \oplus L_m$  as  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -repn.

Proof. - If  $n \neq m$ , then by previous Cor §2 - exq., the generalized eigenspace decomposition of  $C_V$  (Casimir element acting on  $V$ )

gives  $V \cong L_n \oplus L_m$  and for  $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \text{and for } n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \\ & & n \neq m \Rightarrow n(n+2) \neq m(m+2). \\ \begin{matrix} C\text{-eigenvalue} \\ \frac{n(n+2)}{2} \end{matrix} & \oplus & \begin{matrix} C\text{-eigenvalue} \\ \frac{m(m+2)}{2} \end{matrix} \end{array}$$

When  $n = m$  :  $V$  has 2-dim'l highest weight space

Let  $\{v_0, \dots, v_n\}$  be the usual basis of  $L_n \hookrightarrow V$

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} V[n] &\leftarrow \text{span of } v_0, w_0 \\ V[-n] &\leftarrow \text{span of } v_n, w_n \end{aligned}}$$

and  $\{w_0, \dots, w_n\} \subset V$  s.t.  $\bar{w}_0, \dots, \bar{w}_n \in V/L_n \cong L_n$   
is the "usual basis" of  $L_n$ .

Exercise. For every  $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ,  $e^l f^l = l! h^{(h-1)\dots(h-l+1)}$  on  $V[n]$ .

Taking  $l = n+1$  and using the fact that  $f^{n+1} = 0$  on  $V[n]$ , we get that  $h$  must have eigenvalues without multiplicity.

As the only eigenvalue of  $h$  on  $V[n]$  is  $n$ , we conclude

that  $h = \begin{bmatrix} n & 0 \\ 0 & n \end{bmatrix}$  on  $V[n] = \mathbb{C}v_0 + \mathbb{C}w_0$ .

(4)

So, we obtain  $h \cdot v_0 = n v_0$  and  $h \cdot w_0 = n w_0$ .

Now change  $w_j$ 's to  $u_0 = w_0$

$$u_l = \frac{f^l}{l!} u_0 \quad (0 \leq l \leq n); \text{ so that}$$

$V_1 = \text{Span } \{u_0, \dots, u_n\} \cong L_n$  and we constructed a section:

$$0 \rightarrow L_n \xrightarrow{i} V \xrightarrow{p} L_n \rightarrow 0$$

$\curvearrowleft s \quad s(v_j) = u_j.$

showing that the sequence splits.  $\square$

§4. Corollary. — Every f.d.  $sl_2$ -repn. is completely reducible

Proof. — It is enough to show that every short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow V_1 \rightarrow V_2 \rightarrow V_3 \rightarrow 0$ , with  $V_3$  irreducible,  $V_1$  any f.d.  $sl_2$ -repns

splits — i.e.  $\text{Ext}_{sl_2}^1(V_3, V_1) = \{0\}$  iff  $V_3$  irreducible.

So let us fix an irreducible  $W$  and argue by induction on the length of a composition series of a f.d. repn.  $U$ , that  $\text{Ext}^1(W, U) = \{0\}$ .

If  $U$  is irreducible then it follows from Thm §3 above.

If  $U$  is not irreducible, then it has an irreducible subrepn ~~iff~~  $U_1 \subset U$

and  $U_2 = U/U_1$  has composition series of smaller length.

by induction  $\text{Ext}^1(W, U_1) = \text{Ext}^1(W, U_2) = \{0\}$ .

(5)

By the long exact sequence (see Problem 10):

$$\text{Ext}^1(W, U_1) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(W, U) \xrightarrow{\quad \text{``} \quad} \text{Ext}^1(W, U_2) \text{ is exact}$$

$\{0\}$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(W, U) = \{0\} \quad \square$$

§5. Consequences of Lecture 23, Thm §5 and Cor §4 above:

Let  $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \subset V$  be a f.d.  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -repn. Then

(a)  $h$  action on  $V$  is diagonalizable. i.e.

$$V = \bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{C}} V[l] \quad \text{where } V[l] = \{v \in V \mid h \cdot v = lv\}$$

(weight space)

And  $V[l] \neq 0 \Rightarrow l \in \mathbb{Z}$  (all weights are integers)

(b)  $\forall l \in \mathbb{Z}, V[l] \cong V[-l]$ . (weight symmetry).

(c) If  $v \in V[l]$  is s.t.  $e \cdot v = 0$ , then  $f^{l+1} \cdot v = 0$   
 $(l \geq 0)$

(d) For  $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$   $V[l] \xrightarrow{e} V[l+2]$  is surjective.

$V[l+2] \xrightarrow{f} V[l]$  is injective