

Lecture 31.

①

Recall:

A : Cartan matrix = $(a_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$

$D = \text{diag}(d_i : i \in I)$ - symmetrizing integers.



Root system

$\{h_i\}_{i \in I} \subset E$ basis / \mathbb{R}

$\{\alpha_j\}_{j \in I} \subset E^* : \alpha_j(h_i) = a_{ij}$

(\cdot, \cdot) on $E^* : (\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = d_i a_{ij}$

(\cdot, \cdot) on $E : (h_i, h_j) = a_{ij} d_j^{-1}$

$\phi : E^* \rightarrow E$ isometry
 $\phi(\alpha_i) = d_i h_i$

Reflections: $s_i(\gamma) = \gamma - \gamma(h_i)\alpha_i \quad \forall \gamma \in \mathfrak{h}^*$

$s_i(h) = h - \alpha_i(h)h_i \quad \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}$

$W = \langle s_i \rangle \subset E$ and E^* ; preserving (\cdot, \cdot) and hence ϕ is W -equivariant.

$R = \bigcup_{i \in I} W\alpha_i \subset E^* \setminus \{0\}$.

$R = R_+ \cup R_-$ where $R_+ = (R \cap Q_+)$.
 $R_- = -R_+$

Important result. If $\mathfrak{g} \subset V$ is an \mathfrak{h} -diagonalizable repr. where

$\{e_i, f_i\}_{i \in I}$ act locally nilpotently, then

$$V[\gamma] \cong V[w\gamma] \quad \forall \gamma \in P(V), w \in W$$

Lie alg $\mathfrak{g} = \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}/\Gamma$ Finite-dim'l simple LA / \mathbb{C}

$\mathfrak{h} := E \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}$ -span of $\{h_i\}_{i \in I}$.

$\alpha_j \in \mathfrak{h}^* \quad Q_+ := \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \alpha_i \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$

$$\mathfrak{g} = \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in R_+} \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha} \right) \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in R_+} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} \right)$$

Generators: $\mathfrak{h}, \{e_i, f_i\}_{i \in I}$
Rel's (we don't know if these are all yet):

$\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is abelian Lie subalg.

$$[h, e_i] = \alpha_i(h) e_i \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} e_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_i} \\ f_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_i} \end{array} \right)$$

$$[h, f_i] = -\alpha_i(h) f_i$$

$$[e_i, f_j] = \delta_{ij} h_i$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \forall i \neq j \quad \text{ad}(e_i)^{1-a_{ij}} e_j = 0 \\ \text{ad}(f_i)^{1-a_{ij}} f_j = 0 \end{array} \right\} \text{Serre rel's}$$

§1. Our next goal is to study finite-dim'l, irred. reps. of \mathfrak{g} .

Lemma. - Every f.d. irred \mathfrak{g} -repn. is a highest-weight repn - i.e.,

$\mathfrak{g} \subset V$ f.d. irreducible \Rightarrow (i) V is \mathfrak{h} -diagonalizable (see Prop §1 of Lecture 30)

(ii) $\exists \lambda \in P_+$ s.t. $\dim V[\lambda] = 1$.
[uniqueness will be proved next.]

- $P(V) \subseteq \lambda - Q_+$.
So $e_i \cdot v = 0 \quad \forall v \in V[\lambda], i \in I$.
- $V = \text{Span} \left\{ f_{i_1} \cdots f_{i_n} v \mid \begin{matrix} n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \\ i_1, \dots, i_n \in I \end{matrix} \right\}$.
($0 \neq v \in V[\lambda]$)

Proof. - (i) was proved, for any f.d. repn - irred. or not - in Prop §1 of last lecture.

Let $V = \bigoplus_{\mu \in P(V)} V[\mu]$ be the weight space dec.
 $P(V) \subset P = \{ \gamma \in \mathfrak{h}^* : \gamma(h_i) \in \mathbb{Z} \forall i \in I \}$
(Prop §1 L.30)

$\dim V < \infty \Rightarrow |P(V)| < \infty$.

$\Rightarrow \exists \lambda \in P(V)$ s.t. $\lambda + \alpha_i \notin P(V) (\forall i \in I)$.

Thus $e_i \cdot v = 0 \quad \forall i \in I$ and $v \in V[\lambda]$. Let $0 \neq v \in V[\lambda]$.

Define $U := \text{Span} \left\{ f_{i_1} \cdots f_{i_n} v \mid \begin{matrix} n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \\ i_1, \dots, i_n \in I \end{matrix} \right\} \subset V$.

Claim: U is a subrepn. Hence, by irred. of V , $U = V$ and
($v \in U$, so $U \neq \{0\}$). (iii) follows

Pf. U is clearly stable under $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ "lowering operators".

Also, $h \cdot (f_{i_1} \cdots f_{i_n} v) = \left(\lambda - \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{i_j} \right)(h) (f_{i_1} \cdots f_{i_n} v)$

Finally:
$$e_i \cdot (f_{i_1} \dots f_{i_n} v) = (f_{i_1} \dots f_{i_n}) \cdot e_i v^0 + \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^n f_{i_1} \dots f_{i_{j-1}} [e_i, f_{i_j}] f_{i_{j+1}} \dots f_{i_n} v}_{\text{in } U}$$

Hence, U is a subrepr. □

§2. Definition. - Verma Module. - Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ and define a \mathfrak{g} -repr.,

denoted by M_λ , as the universal highest weight repr., of highest weight λ . That is, (i) $M_\lambda[\lambda] = \mathbb{C} \cdot \mathbb{1}_\lambda$ (highest wt.-vector)

$$P(M_\lambda) \subset \lambda - \mathbb{Q}_+$$

(ii) If $\mathfrak{g} \curvearrowright V$ is any repr. and $v \in V[\lambda]$ is s.t. $e_i v = 0 \forall i \in I$

$$h \cdot v = \lambda(h) \cdot v \quad \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}.$$

then $\exists!$ \mathfrak{g} -intertwiner
$$\varphi : M_\lambda \longrightarrow V \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \varphi(\mathbb{1}_\lambda) = v.$$

Note - [(ii) \Rightarrow If $\mathfrak{g} \curvearrowright V$ is a h.w. repr. of h.w. λ , then
$$M_\lambda \twoheadrightarrow V \quad (\text{since } \dim V[\lambda] = 1 \text{ \& } V \text{ is generated by } V[\lambda]).$$

This proves uniqueness of Verma Modules.

Existence:
$$M_\lambda := \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) / \text{left ideal gen. by } \begin{matrix} e_i (i \in I) \\ h - \lambda(h) \cdot 1 (h \in \mathfrak{h}) \end{matrix}$$

$$\mathbb{1}_\lambda := \text{coset of } 1 \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Note. - By PBW theorem:
$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \cong \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n}_-) \otimes \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}) \otimes \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n}_+) \quad \left[\begin{matrix} \text{vector} \\ \text{space} \\ \text{iso.} \end{matrix} \right]$$

$\Rightarrow M_\lambda \cong \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n}_-) \text{ as vector spaces}$

$$\begin{matrix} \downarrow \\ f_{i_1} \dots f_{i_n} \cdot \mathbb{1}_\lambda \end{matrix} \longleftarrow f_{i_1} \dots f_{i_n} \quad \psi : \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n}_-) \rightarrow M_\lambda$$

and : $\psi : \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n}_-)_{-\beta} \cong M_\lambda[\lambda - \beta] \quad \forall \beta \in Q_+ \quad \square$

§3. Properties of M_λ . - (i) $M_\lambda[\lambda] = \mathbb{C} \cdot \mathbb{1}_\lambda$

$$M_\lambda = \bigoplus_{\beta \in Q_+} M_\lambda[\lambda - \beta]$$

(ii) A subrepr. $V \subset M_\lambda$ is proper $\Leftrightarrow V \cap M_\lambda[\lambda] = \{0\}$.
(i.e. $V = \bigoplus_{\beta \in Q_+ \setminus \{0\}} M_\lambda[\lambda - \beta]$)

(iii) $\dim M_\lambda[\lambda - \beta] = \# \{ a_1, \dots, a_N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N : \sum_{j=1}^N a_j \beta_j = \beta \} < \infty$.

Let $R_+ = \{ \beta_1, \dots, \beta_N \}$

(called Kostant's partition fn. $P_K(\beta) = \#$ of ways of writing β as a sum of positive roots.)

Proof. - (i) and (ii) are obvious. For (iii) note that

$$\mathfrak{n}_- = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R_+} \sigma_{-\alpha} \quad \Rightarrow \mathfrak{n}_- \text{ has a basis labelled by } R_+$$

\uparrow 1-dim'l

$$\{ f_{\beta_j} \in \sigma_{-\beta_j} : 1 \leq j \leq N \}$$

β_1, \dots, β_N : an arbitrary enumeration of R_+ .

By PBW Thm. $\{ f_{\beta_1}^{a_1} \dots f_{\beta_N}^{a_N} : \underline{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N \}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n}_-)$.

From the iso $U(n_-) \rightarrow M_\lambda$ above,

$$f_{i_1} \cdots f_{i_n} \mapsto f_{i_1} \cdots f_{i_n} \mathbb{1}_\lambda \in M_\lambda [\lambda - \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{i_j}]$$

we get :

$$\begin{aligned} \dim M_\lambda [\lambda - \beta] &= \dim U(n_-)_{-\beta} \\ &= \# \{ \underline{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N : \sum_{j=1}^N a_j \beta_j = \beta \} . \end{aligned}$$

§4. By §3 (ii) above, $\exists!$ max'l proper \mathfrak{g} -subrepn. $\mathfrak{J}_\lambda \subset M_\lambda$.

Define $L_\lambda := M_\lambda / \mathfrak{J}_\lambda$ irreducible, highest weight repn of h.w λ .

Uniqueness: If V is any irred; h.w. repn. of \mathfrak{g} , with h.w. λ ,
then $\pi: M_\lambda \twoheadrightarrow V$ by universal prop. of M_λ .
 $\mathbb{1}_\lambda \mapsto v$
($V[\lambda] = \mathbb{C} \cdot v$)
[i.e. $V[\lambda]$ is 1-dim'l.
 $e_i = 0$ on $V[\lambda] \forall i$
 V is gen. by $V[\lambda]$
& V is irred.]

$\text{Ker}(\pi) = \mathfrak{J}_\lambda \Rightarrow \pi: L_\lambda \rightarrow V$
factors through.

$\pi \neq 0$, both L_λ & V irred $\Rightarrow L_\lambda \cong V$. This establishes uniqueness (see Lemma §1 - page 2 above).

§5. Theorem. $\text{Irred}_{fd}(\mathfrak{g}) = \{ L_\lambda : \lambda \in P_+ \}$

i.e. L_λ constructed above is f.d. $\Leftrightarrow \lambda \in P_+$

(recall: $P_+ = \{ \gamma \in \mathfrak{h}^* : \gamma(h_i) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \forall i \in I \}$)
"dominant weights"

Moreover, the following rel^s hold in L_λ .

$$f_i^{\lambda(h_i)+1} \cdot \mathbb{1}_\lambda = 0 \quad \forall i \in I.$$

Proof. - If $\dim L_\lambda < \infty$ then $\forall i, \mathfrak{sl}_2^{(i)} \subset L_\lambda$ implies

$$\langle e_i, f_i, h_i \rangle \quad \begin{aligned} e_i \cdot \mathbb{1}_\lambda &= 0 \\ h_i \cdot \mathbb{1}_\lambda &= \lambda(h_i) \mathbb{1}_\lambda \end{aligned}$$

$$\lambda(h_i) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \quad \text{and} \quad f_i^{\lambda(h_i)+1} \cdot \mathbb{1}_\lambda = 0.$$

Conversely, if $\lambda \in P_+$, then $\forall i \in I, f_i^{\lambda(h_i)+1} \cdot \mathbb{1}_\lambda \in M_\lambda[\lambda - (\lambda(h_i)+1)\alpha_i]$

is a highest weight vector (\mathfrak{sl}_2 -rep. th.) : this is because

$$e_j \cdot f_i^{\lambda(h_i)+1} \cdot \mathbb{1}_\lambda = \underbrace{f_i^{\lambda(h_i)+1} \cdot e_j \cdot \mathbb{1}_\lambda}_{=0} + [e_j, f_i^{\lambda(h_i)+1}] \cdot \mathbb{1}_\lambda$$

$$= \delta_{ij} f_i^{\lambda(h_i)} \cdot (h_i - \lambda(h_i)) \cdot \mathbb{1}_\lambda = 0 \quad \forall j \in I.$$

$\Rightarrow J_\lambda = \mathfrak{g}$ -subreprn of M_λ gen. by $\{f_i^{\lambda(h_i)+1} \cdot \mathbb{1}_\lambda : i \in I\}$

is proper, hence $J_\lambda \subset \mathfrak{J}_\lambda$ (the unique, proper, max'l subreprn.).

$$\Rightarrow \widetilde{L}_\lambda := M_\lambda / J_\lambda \quad \rightarrow \quad L_\lambda = M_\lambda / \mathfrak{J}_\lambda.$$

Claim. - $\dim \widetilde{L}_\lambda < \infty$. (hence, $\dim L_\lambda < \infty$).

Pf. - \widetilde{L}_λ is a h.w. reprn. of h.w. λ (being quotient of one)

e_i 's act ^{locally} nilpotently on M_λ (hence on \widetilde{L}_λ) by highest weight condition.

• f_i acts locally nilpotently on \tilde{L}_λ . This is because

$$f_i^{\lambda(h_i)+1} \cdot \mathbb{1}_\lambda = 0 \text{ in } \tilde{L}_\lambda; \text{ and } \tilde{L}_\lambda \text{ is spanned by } \left\{ f_{i_1} \cdots f_{i_n} \mathbb{1}_\lambda \mid \begin{matrix} n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \\ i_1, \dots, i_n \in I \end{matrix} \right\}$$

and $\text{ad}(f_i)$ is nilpotent on f_j 's (Serre relⁿs).

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f_i^N}{N!} (f_{i_1} \cdots f_{i_n} \mathbb{1}_\lambda) &= \sum_{\substack{a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \\ a_1 + \dots + a_{n+1} = N}} \left(\frac{(\text{ad } f_i)^{a_1}}{a_1!} \cdot f_{i_1} \right) \cdots \left(\frac{(\text{ad } f_i)^{a_n}}{a_n!} \cdot f_{i_n} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{f_i^{a_{n+1}}}{a_{n+1}!} \cdot \mathbb{1}_\lambda \right) \\ &= 0 \text{ for } N \gg 0. \end{aligned}$$

So, $P(\tilde{L}) \subset \lambda - Q_+$ is W -invariant (Thm. §2-Lect 30).

Recall: $\forall a \in E$ ($\mathfrak{h} = E \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$), $\exists w \in W$ s.t. $\frac{w(a)}{\text{unique}} \in \overline{C_0}$
(i.e. $\alpha_i(w(a)) \geq 0 \forall i \in I$).

$\Rightarrow \forall \beta \in P(\tilde{L}), \exists \beta_0 \in W \cdot \beta$ s.t. $\beta_0(h_i) \geq 0 \forall i \in I$.

Hence, $P(\tilde{L}) \subset W \cdot \underbrace{(\lambda - Q_+) \cap P_+}_{\text{finite set}} \Rightarrow \dim \tilde{L} < \infty.$ □