

Summary so far. - Input: $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ Cartan matrix; $D = \text{diag}(d_i)_{i \in I}$ symmetrizing integers.

$\leadsto \mathfrak{g}(A)$ or just \mathfrak{g} . - finite-dim'l simple Lie alg. / \mathbb{C} - with following present.

Generators: $h_i, e_i, f_i \quad (i \in I)$

Rel^s: $[h_i, h_j] = 0 \quad (\forall i, j \in I)$

$$[h_i, e_j] = a_{ij} e_j; \quad [h_i, f_j] = -a_{ij} f_j$$

$$[e_i, f_j] = \delta_{ij} h_i$$

and for $i \neq j$: $\text{ad}(e_i)^{1-a_{ij}} \cdot e_j = 0 = \text{ad}(f_i)^{1-a_{ij}} f_j$

Set $\mathfrak{h} = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{C} h_i$ (Cartan subalg.); and $\alpha_j \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ defined by $\alpha_j(h_i) = a_{ij} \quad \forall i \in I$.

(\cdot, \cdot) on \mathfrak{h}^* : $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = d_i a_{ij}$

Simple reflections - and Weyl group. - $s_i(\gamma) = \gamma - \gamma(h_i) \alpha_i \quad \forall \gamma \in \mathfrak{h}^*, i \in I$.

$$W = \langle s_i \rangle_{i \in I} \subset GL(\mathfrak{h}^*)$$

$$R = \bigcup_{i \in I} W \cdot \alpha_i = \mathfrak{h}^* \setminus \{0\}$$

$$R = R_+ \sqcup R_- \quad (\text{sign-coherence})$$

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+; \quad \mathfrak{n}_{\pm} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R_{\pm}} \mathfrak{g}_{\pm \alpha} \quad (\mathfrak{g}_{\gamma} = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [h_i, x] = \gamma(h_i)x \quad \forall h_i \in \mathfrak{h}\})$$

$$\dim(\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}) = 1 \quad \forall \alpha \in R. \quad (\gamma \in \mathfrak{h}^*)$$

§1. Category \mathcal{O} and highest weight reps -

A \mathfrak{g} -repn V is in category \mathcal{O} if (i) V is \mathfrak{h} -diagonalizable

with finite-dimensional weight spaces:

$$V = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*} V[\mu], \quad V[\mu] := \{v \in V : h \cdot v = \mu(h)v \ \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}\}$$

$\dim V[\mu] < \infty$. Let $P(V) = \{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^* : V[\mu] \neq \{0\}\}$.
set of weights of V .

(ii) $\exists \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ s.t. $P(V) \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^r \lambda_j - Q_+$.

(recall: $Q_+ = \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \alpha_i \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$)

A \mathfrak{g} -repr. V is said to be a highest-weight repr. of highest weight

$\Lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ if (i) $P(V) \subset \Lambda - Q_+$
(ii) $\dim V_{\Lambda}[\Lambda] = 1$, and

(iii) V is generated, as a \mathfrak{g} -repr., by $V_{\Lambda}[\Lambda]$. i.e.,

let $0 \neq v \in V_{\Lambda}[\Lambda]$. Then V is spanned by $\{f_{i_1} \dots f_{i_r} \cdot v \mid \substack{r \geq 0 \\ i_1, \dots, i_r \in I}\}$.

Cor. of the existence of Casimir Operator. -

Let V be a h.w. repr. of h.w. Λ . Assume $\exists 0 \neq u \in V[\Lambda]$
s.t. $n_+ \cdot u = 0$. Then $|\lambda + \rho|^2 = |\Lambda + \rho|^2$

(recall: $\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} \alpha$; $\rho(\alpha_i) = 1 \ \forall i \in I$)

i.e. $\lambda \in \underline{B(\Lambda) := \{\mu \in \Lambda - Q_+ : |\Lambda + \rho|^2 = |\mu + \rho|^2\}}$
a finite set.

(Note $C|_V = (\Lambda + 2\rho, \Lambda) \cdot \text{Id}_V = (|\Lambda + \rho|^2 - |\rho|^2) \cdot \text{Id}_V$
 $C \cdot u = (\mu + 2\rho, \mu) \cdot u = (|\mu + \rho|^2 - |\rho|^2) \cdot u \quad \square$)

Recall: $\forall \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, $\exists!$ h.w., irred., repr. of h.w. λ , denoted by L_λ . L_λ was constructed as the quotient of the Verma module M_λ by its unique, max'l, proper submodule $J_\lambda \subset M_\lambda$.

§2. Characters. - Character of a f.d. \mathfrak{g} -repr (resp. category \mathcal{O} repr.) lies in the following polynomial ring \mathbb{E} (resp. "power series" ring $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$).

Definition. - $\mathbb{E} := \left\{ \sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*} c_\mu \cdot e^\mu : \begin{array}{l} c_\mu \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and} \\ c_\mu = 0 \text{ for all but finitely} \\ \text{many } \mu\text{'s} \end{array} \right\}$

Mult. on \mathbb{E} is given by $e^0 = 1$ and $e^\mu \cdot e^{\mu'} = e^{\mu+\mu'}$.

$\hat{\mathbb{E}} := \left\{ \sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*} c_\mu \cdot e^\mu : \begin{array}{l} c_\mu \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } \exists \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \in \mathfrak{h}^* \text{ s.t.} \\ \{\mu : c_\mu \neq 0\} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^r \lambda_j - \mathbb{Q}_+ \end{array} \right\}$

For $V \in \mathcal{O}$, define

$$\text{ch}(V) = \sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*} (\dim V[\mu]) \cdot e^\mu$$

($\text{ch}(V) \in \hat{\mathbb{E}}$)

Lemma. - (a) If $0 \rightarrow V' \rightarrow V \rightarrow V'' \rightarrow 0$ is a s.e.s. in category \mathcal{O} , then

$$\text{ch}(V) = \text{ch}(V') + \text{ch}(V'')$$

$$(b) \quad \text{Ch}(V_1 \otimes V_2) = \text{Ch}(V_1) \cdot \text{Ch}(V_2)$$

(Proof is clear.)

§3. Characters of Verma Modules

Lemma. -
$$\text{Ch}(M_\lambda) = \frac{e^\lambda}{\prod_{\alpha \in R_+} (1 - e^{-\alpha})} \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$$

Proof. - Recall $\dim M_\lambda[\lambda - \beta] = P_K(\beta) = \#$ of ways of writing β as a sum of positive roots.
($\beta \in Q_+$)

$$M_\lambda = \bigoplus_{\beta \in Q_+} M_\lambda[\lambda - \beta]$$

$$\left(P_K(\beta) = \left| \left\{ \underline{a} = (a_\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{R_+} : \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} a_\alpha \cdot \alpha = \beta \right\} \right| \right)$$

Kostant's partition fn.

$$\Rightarrow \text{Ch}(M_\lambda) = \sum_{\beta \in Q_+} P_K(\beta) \cdot e^{\lambda - \beta}$$

$$= e^\lambda \cdot \left(\prod_{\alpha \in R_+} (1 + e^{-\alpha} + e^{-2\alpha} + \dots) \right)$$

$$= e^\lambda \cdot \prod_{\alpha \in R_+} (1 - e^{-\alpha})^{-1} \quad \square$$

Let $\Delta = \prod_{\alpha \in R_+} e^{\alpha/2} - e^{-\alpha/2}$. Then $\text{Ch}(M_\lambda) = \frac{e^{\lambda + \rho}}{\Delta}$.

$$= e^\rho \cdot \prod_{\alpha \in R_+} (1 - e^{-\alpha})$$

Note : $\forall i \in I ; \boxed{s_i \cdot \Delta = -\Delta}$ because ,

s_i preserves $R_+ \setminus \{\alpha_i\}$ and $s_i(\alpha_i) = -\alpha_i$. Thus,

$\Delta \in \mathbb{E}$ is W-skew-invariant ; i.e. $w \cdot \Delta = (-1)^{\ell(w)} \cdot \Delta$
 $\forall w \in W$.

(here $W \subset \mathbb{E}$ as $w \cdot (e^\mu) = e^{w(\mu)} \forall \mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$).

§4. Weyl* Character formula .-

Theorem.- Let $\lambda \in P_+$ (i.e. $\lambda(h_i) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \forall i \in I$). Then,

$$\text{Ch}(L_\lambda) = \frac{\sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} e^{w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho}}{\prod_{\alpha \in R_+} (1 - e^{-\alpha})}$$

Alternately written:
$$\text{Ch}(L_\lambda) = \frac{\sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} \cdot e^{w(\lambda + \rho)}}{\Delta}$$

→ Compare with the expression for Schur poly.'s:

$$S_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \frac{\sum_{\sigma \in S_N} \epsilon(\sigma) \cdot x^{\sigma(\lambda + \rho)}}{\prod_{i < j} (x_i - x_j)}$$

$\rho = (N-1, \dots, 0)$
 $\in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N$

The proof given here is due to Victor Kac. It rests on the following ⑥

Lemma. - Let $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Define $B(\Lambda) := \{\lambda \in \Lambda + Q_+ : |\Lambda + \rho| = |\lambda + \rho|\}$

(a) For any highest weight repn V of \mathfrak{g} , of h.w. Λ , we have

$$\text{Ch}(V) = \sum_{\mu \in B(\Lambda)} a_{V;\mu} \text{Ch}(L_\mu), \text{ where } a_{V;\mu} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \text{ and } a_{V;\Lambda} = 1.$$

(b) $\text{Ch}(L_\Lambda) = \sum_{\mu \in B(\Lambda)} c_{\Lambda;\mu} \text{Ch}(M_\mu)$, where $c_{\Lambda;\mu} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $c_{\Lambda,\Lambda} = 1$.

Proof. - (Uses Cor. of Casimir - see page 2 above)

(a) Let $N_V = \sum_{\lambda \in B(\Lambda)} \dim V[\lambda] < \infty$ as $|B(\Lambda)| < \infty$ and $\dim V[\mu] < \infty \forall \mu$.

Note - if V is irreducible, then $V \cong L_\Lambda$ and we are done.

Now we can argue by induction on N_V .

Assume V is not irred., then $\exists \lambda \in B(\Lambda)$, $\lambda < \Lambda$ s.t.

$$\{v \in V[\lambda] : n_+ v = 0\} \neq \{0\}.$$

Choose $0 \neq v \in V[\lambda]$ s.t. $n_+ v = 0$ and let $U \subset V$ be the

subrepn generated by v .

$$0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow V \rightarrow V/U \rightarrow 0$$

By induction hypothesis $\text{Ch}(U) = \sum_{\mu \in B(\lambda)} a_{U;\mu} \text{Ch}(L_\mu)$ and $\text{Ch}(V/U) = \sum_{\gamma \in B(\Lambda)} a_{V/U;\gamma} \text{Ch}(L_\gamma)$

as claimed in the assertion (a)

The proof follows from $\text{Ch}(V) = \text{Ch}(U) + \text{Ch}(V/U)$

and $a_{U, \Lambda} = 0$; $a_{V/U, \Lambda} = 1$.

(b) Order the finite set $B(\Lambda) = \{\Lambda = \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k\}$ so that $i > j$ implies $\lambda_i - \lambda_j \notin Q_+$. Using (a) for Verma Modules, we can write

$$\text{Ch}(M_{\lambda_i}) = \sum_{j=1}^k b_{ij} \text{Ch}(L_{\lambda_j}) \quad (b_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}).$$

Note - if $i > j$, then $b_{ij} = 0$ since $\lambda_j \notin B(\lambda_i)$.

and $b_{ii} = 1$ by (a) above.

\Rightarrow We can invert $(b_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}$ to get

$$\text{Ch}(L_{\lambda_j}) = \sum_i c_{ij} \text{Ch}(M_{\lambda_i})$$

Take $j=1$, to get the assertion of Lemma (b). \square

§5. Proof of Weyl Character formula.

$$\bullet \quad \text{Ch}(L_{\lambda}) = \sum_{\mu \in B(\lambda)} c_{\mu} \cdot \text{Ch}(M_{\mu}) \quad c_{\mu} \in \mathbb{Z} ; c_{\lambda} = 1.$$

By Lemma (b) above

Multiply both sides by $\Delta = \prod_{\alpha \in R_+} e^{\alpha/2} - e^{-\alpha/2}$ to get

$$\Delta \cdot \text{Ch}(L_{\lambda}) = \sum_{\mu \in B(\lambda)} c_{\mu} \cdot e^{\mu + \rho} \quad (\text{using Lemma §3 above})$$

Note : L_λ is f.d. \Rightarrow $\text{Ch}(L_\lambda)$ is W -invariant

So, $\Delta \cdot \text{Ch}(L_\lambda)$ is W -skew-invariant. i.e.

$$\sum_{\mu \in B(\lambda)} c_\mu \cdot e^{\mu+\rho} = \sum_{\mu \in B(\lambda)} (-1)^{\ell(w)} c_\mu \cdot e^{w(\mu+\rho)} \quad \forall w \in W.$$

As $c_\lambda = 1$, we get

$$\Delta \cdot \text{Ch}(L_\lambda) = \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} e^{w(\lambda+\rho)} + \sum_{\substack{\mu \in B(\lambda) \\ \mu+\rho \notin W \cdot (\lambda+\rho)}} c_\mu \cdot e^{\mu+\rho}$$

It remains to show that the second term of the right-hand side above is zero.

i.e. Claim: $c_\mu \neq 0 \Rightarrow \mu+\rho \in W \cdot (\lambda+\rho)$.

Proof. Let $\mu_+ \in W \cdot (\mu+\rho) \cap P_+$ (Note - $\forall \gamma \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^*$,

$$\exists w \in W \text{ s.t. } w\gamma \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}, \geq 0}^* = \{ \eta : \eta(h_i) \geq 0 \}) .$$

By W -skew-symmetry, $c_{\mu_+-\rho} \neq 0$. Hence, $\mu_+ \leq \lambda+\rho$
 $|\mu_+|^2 = |\lambda+\rho|^2$.

But this implies $\mu_+ = \lambda+\rho$ and we are done.

see Lecture 33 page 5

$$\left. \begin{aligned} & \Rightarrow (\lambda+\rho)(h_i) > 0 \quad \forall i \Rightarrow (\lambda+\rho, \lambda+\rho-\mu_+) > 0 \\ & \lambda+\rho-\mu_+ \in Q_+ \setminus \{0\} \quad \text{i.e. } |\lambda+\rho|^2 > (\lambda+\rho, \mu_+) \end{aligned} \right\}$$

$$\mu_+(h_i) \geq 0 \quad \forall i \Rightarrow (\mu_+, \lambda+\rho-\mu_+) \geq 0 \quad \text{Hence } |\lambda+\rho|^2 > (\lambda+\rho, \mu_+) \geq |\mu_+|^2$$

□