

Recall - last time we proved the "Weyl character formula":

$$\text{Ch}(L_\lambda) = \frac{\sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} e^{w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho}}{\prod_{\alpha \in R_+} (1 - e^{-\alpha})} \quad \forall \lambda \in P_+.$$

Here: (i) \mathfrak{g} is a f.d. simple Lie alg. assoc. to a Cartan matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$$

(ii) $\mathfrak{h} = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{C} h_i \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is a Cartan subalgebra. The linear

forms $\{\alpha_j \in \mathfrak{h}^* \}_{j \in I}$ are defined by $\alpha_j(h_i) = a_{ij} \quad \forall i \in I$.

(iii) $W \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ by $s_i(\gamma) = \gamma - \gamma(h_i)\alpha_i \quad \forall \gamma \in \mathfrak{h}^*, i \in I$.

$$R = \bigcup_{i \in I} W\alpha_i = R_+ \cup R_-.$$

Root and Weight lattices:

$$(iv) \quad Q = \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i \quad \Rightarrow \quad Q_+ = \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\alpha_i$$

$$P = \left\{ \gamma \in \mathfrak{h}^* : \gamma(h_i) \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}\omega_i \quad (\omega_i \in \mathfrak{h}^* \text{ defined by})$$

$$P_+ = \left\{ \gamma \in P : \gamma(h_i) \geq 0, \forall i \right\}$$

$$\omega_i(h_j) = \delta_{ij}$$

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} \alpha = \sum_{i \in I} \omega_i \in P_+.$$

(v) $(\cdot, \cdot) : \mathfrak{h}^* \times \mathfrak{h}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = d_i a_{ij}$
 ($\{d_i\}_{i \in I}$ are symmetrizing integers).

Main steps in our proof. -

(a) Characters of Verma Modules :

$$\text{Ch}(M_\mu) = e^\mu \cdot \prod_{\alpha \in R_+} (1 - e^{-\alpha})^{-1} = \frac{e^{\mu+\rho}}{\prod_{\alpha \in R_+} e^{\alpha/2} - e^{-\alpha/2}}$$

$$= e^\mu \cdot \sum_{\beta \in Q_+} P_K(\beta) \cdot e^{-\beta} \quad (P_K(\beta) = \text{Kostant partition fn.})$$

(b) $\text{Ch}(L_\lambda)$ is W -invariant - using "Weyl group action" on f.d. \mathfrak{g} -reps.

(c) $\text{Ch}(L_\lambda)$ can be written as a \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of $\left\{ \text{Ch}(M_\mu) : \mu \in B(\lambda) := \{\gamma \in \lambda - Q_+ : |\gamma + \rho| = |\lambda + \rho|\} \right\}$

$$\rightarrow \text{Ch}(L_\lambda) = \sum_{\mu \in B(\lambda)} c_{\lambda\mu} \text{Ch}(M_\mu) ; c_{\lambda\mu} \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } c_{\lambda\lambda} = 1.$$

[see Lemma §4 of Lecture 34 - page 6.]

(d) $\forall \mu \in P_+$, $W \cdot \mu \cap P_+$ is a singleton.

$$\lambda, \mu \in P_+ ; \mu \in B(\lambda) \Rightarrow \lambda = \mu.$$

§1. Kostant's formula for dimensions of weight spaces.

Cor. -
$$\dim L_\lambda[\mu] = \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} P_K(w(\lambda + \rho) - (\mu + \rho))$$

Convention: $P_K(\gamma) = 0$ if $\gamma \notin Q_+$.

(3)

Proof. -
$$\text{Ch}(L_\lambda) = \left(\sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} e^{w(\lambda+\rho) - \rho} \right) \cdot \prod_{\alpha \in R_+} (1 - e^{-\alpha})^{-1}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} e^{w(\lambda+\rho) - \rho} \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{\beta \in Q_+} p_K(\beta) e^{-\beta} \right)$$

Coefficient of e^μ gives :

$$\dim L_\lambda[\mu] = \sum_{\substack{w \in W, \\ \beta \in Q_+ \text{ s.t.} \\ w(\lambda+\rho) - \rho - \beta = \mu}} (-1)^{\ell(w)} p_K(\beta) = \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} \cdot p_K(\beta)$$

$\beta = w(\lambda+\rho) - (\mu+\rho)$

and the corollary follows. □

§2. Weyl dimension formula. -

Theorem. -
$$\dim L_\lambda = \prod_{\alpha \in R_+} \frac{(\lambda+\rho, \alpha)}{(\rho, \alpha)}$$

Compare with the following specialization of Schur functions:

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_N) \vdash n$$

$$S_\lambda(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{N \text{ variables set } = 1}) = \prod_{i < j} \frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_j + j - i}{j - i}$$

(also called Weyl dim. formula)

Proof. - Using the character formula for $\lambda = 0$ (4)
 so L_λ is 1-dim'l triv. repr.:

$$\sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} e^{w(\rho) - \rho} = \prod_{\alpha \in R_+} 1 - e^{-\alpha} \quad - (1)$$

Now we "evaluate" both sides of the character formula at $t\rho$ and let $t \rightarrow 0$.

$$\text{ev}_\gamma (e^\alpha) := e^{(\alpha, \gamma)}$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \text{ev}_{t\rho} (\text{Ch}(L_\lambda)) = \sum_{\mu} (\dim L_\lambda[\mu]) e^{t(\mu, \rho)} \Big|_{t=0} = \dim(L_\lambda). \quad - (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ev}_{t\rho} \left(\sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} e^{w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho} \right) &= \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} e^{t(w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho, \rho)} \\ &= e^{-t(\rho, \rho)} \cdot \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} e^{t(\lambda + \rho, w^{-1}\rho)} \\ &= e^{-t(\rho, \rho)} \cdot \text{ev}_{t(\lambda + \rho)} \left(\sum_{u \in W} (-1)^{\ell(u)} \cdot e^{u\rho} \right) \quad \left[\begin{array}{l} u = w^{-1} \\ \ell(u) = \ell(w) \end{array} \right] \\ &= e^{-t(\rho, \rho)} \cdot \text{ev}_{t(\lambda + \rho)} \left(e^\rho \cdot \prod_{\alpha \in R_+} 1 - e^{-\alpha} \right) \quad \text{using (1) above} \\ &= e^{t(\lambda, \rho)} \cdot \prod_{\alpha \in R_+} 1 - e^{-t(\lambda + \rho, \alpha)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ev}_{t\rho} (\text{R.H.S. of Weyl char formula}) = e^{t(\lambda, \rho)} \cdot \prod_{\alpha \in R_+} \frac{1 - e^{-t(\lambda + \rho, \alpha)}}{1 - e^{-t(\rho, \alpha)}}$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left(ev_{t\rho} \text{ (R.H.S.)} \right) = \prod_{\alpha \in R_+} \frac{(\lambda + \rho, \alpha)}{(\rho, \alpha)} \quad (3)$$

The dimension formula is obtained from (2) and (3) above. □

§3. Examples. - (i) Type A₂. $(\alpha_1, \alpha_1) = 2 = (\alpha_2, \alpha_2)$
 $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = -1.$

$$(\omega_1, \alpha_j) = \delta_{ij} \quad R_+ = \{ \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \}$$

$$\rho = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = \omega_1 + \omega_2.$$

$$\alpha_1 = 2\omega_1 - \omega_2$$

$$\alpha_2 = -\omega_1 + 2\omega_2$$

$$\dim L_{m\omega_1 + n\omega_2} = \prod_{\alpha \in R_+} \frac{((m+1)\omega_1 + (n+1)\omega_2, \alpha)}{(\omega_1 + \omega_2, \alpha)}$$

$$= \frac{(m+1)(n+1)(m+n+2)}{2}.$$

(ii) Type B₂ $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ $d_1 = 1; d_2 = 2$
 $R_+ = \{ \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, 2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \}$

$$(\omega_1, \alpha_1) = 1$$

$$(\omega_2, \alpha_2) = 2\omega_2(h_2) = 2 \quad \text{and} \quad (\omega_i, \alpha_j) = 0 \text{ if } i \neq j$$

$$\rho = \omega_1 + \omega_2.$$

$$\Rightarrow \dim L_{m\omega_1 + n\omega_2} = \frac{(m+1)(n+1)(m+n+2)(m+2n+3)}{6}$$

§4. Complete reducibility theorem. - Let $\lambda, \mu \in P_+$ and (6)

$0 \rightarrow L_\lambda \rightarrow V \rightarrow L_\mu \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact seq. of \mathfrak{g} -reps.

Then $V \cong L_\lambda \oplus L_\mu$.

Note.- This implies that every f.d. \mathfrak{g} -repn. is completely reducible - i.e., iso. to a direct sum of irred. f.d. \mathfrak{g} -reps.
[see - Lecture 25, §4.]

Proof. - Case 1. - $\lambda = \mu$. In this case $V[\lambda]$ is 2-dim'l
(note: f.d. \Rightarrow \mathfrak{h} -diagonalizable)

So, if we choose a basis $\{v_1, v_2\}$ of $V[\lambda]$, then

$V_i =$ subrepn. gen. by $v_i \cong L_\lambda$
($i = 1, 2$)

and $V_1 \cap V_2$ is a proper subrepn of both V_1 & $V_2 \Rightarrow V_1 \cap V_2 = \{0\}$.

Hence $V \cong V_1 \oplus V_2 = L_\lambda \oplus L_\lambda$.

Case 2. - λ and μ are comparable - i.e. either $\lambda - \mu \in Q_+$ or $\mu - \lambda \in Q_+$ and $\lambda \neq \mu$.

If λ and μ are comparable and $\lambda, \mu \in P_+$, then

$$|\lambda + \rho| \neq |\mu + \rho|$$

\Rightarrow Casimir acting on V has 2 distinct (generalized) eigenvalues

As Casimir operator commutes with \mathfrak{g} -action, $V \cong L_\lambda \oplus L_\mu$
as generalized eigenspaces of the Casimir

General case. - $0 \rightarrow L_\lambda \xrightarrow{i} V \xrightarrow{p} L_\mu \rightarrow 0$ s.e.s.

$\lambda, \mu \in P_+$;

λ and μ are incomparable

Choose $v_1, v_2 \in V$ s.t. $v_1 = i(1_\lambda)$
 $p(v_2) = 1_\mu$.

$V_1 = \sigma_j$ -subreprn. generated by $v_1 \cong L_\lambda$. Moreover, $\forall i \in I,$

$e_i \cdot v_2 \in V_1[\mu + \alpha_i]$. So, if $e_i \cdot v_2 \neq 0$ for some $i \in I,$
then $\mu + \alpha_i \leq \lambda$ contradicts the
assumption that λ & μ are incomparable

Hence $V_2 = \sigma_j$ -subreprn. gen. by $v_2 \cong L_\mu$ and

$V \cong V_1 \oplus V_2$. ($V_1 \cap V_2 = \{0\}$ by the same
logic as in Case 1.)

□