

§1. Recall - last time we defined Riemann surface associated to a local germ.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X : \text{Riemann surface} & \rightsquigarrow & \sum (x_0, \xi_0) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} \mathbb{C} // \mathbb{C} \mathbb{P}^1 \\
 x_0 \in X \text{ and } \xi_0 \in \mathcal{O}_{x_0}(X) & & \begin{array}{c} \text{meromorphic.} \\ \pi \downarrow \\ X \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

Assume X is compact. Then $\sum (x_0, \xi_0)$ is compact if and only if ξ_0 is algebraic (see §2 below)

§2. Lemma. - Let $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n : \mathcal{D}(0, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be holomorphic.

If $w_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ is a simple zero of $\sum_{j=0}^n c_j(z) T^{n-j} = 0$, then

$$(c_0 \equiv 1)$$

$\exists \varphi : \mathcal{D}(0, r) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ($0 < r \leq R$) s.t. $\varphi(0) = w_0$ and

hol.

$$\sum c_j \varphi^{n-j} \equiv 0 \text{ on } \mathcal{D}(0, r).$$

Proof. - Let $F(z, w) = \sum_{j=0}^n c_j(z) w^{n-j}$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be s.t. w_0 is the

only zero of $F(0, w)$ in $\overline{\mathcal{D}(w_0, \epsilon)}$. By continuity of F , $\exists 0 < r \leq R$

s.t. F does not vanish on $\{(z, w) : |z| < r, |w - w_0| = \epsilon\}$

$$\forall z_0 \in \mathcal{D}(0, r), \quad n(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C(w_0, \epsilon)} \frac{1}{F(z_0, w)} \left(\frac{\partial F(z_0, w)}{\partial w} \right) dw$$

$C(w_0, \epsilon)$

= # of zeroes of $F(z_0, w) = 0$ within $\mathcal{D}(w_0, \epsilon)$

We know $n(0) = 1$, and $n(z_0) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$ depends continuously on z_0 , hence $n(z) = 1 \quad \forall z \in \mathcal{D}(0, r)$. (2)

i.e. $\forall z_p \in \mathcal{D}(0, r)$, $\exists! w_1 \in \mathcal{D}(w_0, \varepsilon)$ s.t. $F(z_1, w_1) = 0$

Moreover, this unique solution is given by Cauchy's integral

formula

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C(w_0, \varepsilon)} \frac{w F_w(z, w)}{F(z, w)} dw$$

Hence $\varphi: \mathcal{D}(0, r) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic and $F(z, \varphi(z)) = 0$
 $\forall z \in \mathcal{D}(0, r)$. □

Cor. Let \mathcal{O}_x be the ring of germs of hol. fns. at a point $x \in X$,
 for a Riemann surface X .

Let $P(T) = T^n + c_1 T^{n-1} + \dots + c_n \in \mathcal{O}_x[T]$. be

s.t. $T^n + c_1(x) T^{n-1} + \dots + c_n(x) = 0$ has n distinct roots. Then
 (say w_1, \dots, w_n)

$\exists \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \in \mathcal{O}_x$ s.t. $\varphi_j(x) = w_j$ and

$$P(T) = \prod_{j=1}^n (T - \varphi_j)$$

§3. Theorem.- Let X be a Riemann surface,

(3)

$$M(X) = \text{field of meromorphic fns. on } X \\ = \text{Hol}(X, \mathbb{P}^1)$$

Let $P(T) = T^n + c_1 T^{n-1} + \dots + c_n \in M(X)[T]$ be an irred. polynomial. If $x_0 \in X$ and $\xi_{x_0} \in \mathcal{O}_{x_0}$ solves $P_{x_0}(\xi_{x_0}(x_0)) = 0$ then the (ramified) R.S. associated to ξ_{x_0} is n -to-1 cover of X .

Hence, if X is cpct, then the Riemann surface of an algebraic germ is cpct.

Proof.- Let $A \subset X$ be the (discrete) set of poles of c_1, \dots, c_n and $\{x \in X : P_x(T) = \sum_{j=0}^n c_j(x) T^{n-j} \text{ has multiple roots}\}$.

By §2.11 $\forall x \in X \setminus A$, $P_x(T) = 0$ has n distinct roots.

Let $Y \subset |\mathcal{O}(X)|$ consist of all (p, ξ) s.t. $p \in X \setminus A$ and $\sum c_j(p) \cdot \xi^{n-j} = 0$.

Y is n -to-1 covering map
 \downarrow
 X'

(connected component of $(x_0, \xi) \in |\mathcal{O}|$)

\downarrow
 (unramified) Riemann surface of any local soln.

$$P_{x_0}(\xi(x_0)) = 0, x_0 \in X \setminus A.$$

Proof that Y is connected if $Y = Y_1 \sqcup Y_2 \dots \sqcup Y_k$ (connected components)
 \downarrow
 X'

and Y_j is n_j -to-1 cover, then ~~XXXXX~~, we can
 $\pi_j \downarrow$
 X'

define holomorphic functions

$$a_1, \dots, a_{n_j} : X' \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ s.t.}$$

$$\sum a_r(x) \cdot \xi(x)^{n_j-r} = 0 \quad \forall (x, \xi) \in Y_j.$$

(for $x \in X'$, let $\pi_j^{-1}(x) = (x, \xi_1), \dots, (x, \xi_{n_j})$.)

Define $e_j(x) = j$ -th elementary symmetric fn. on
 $\xi_1(x), \dots, \xi_{n_j}(x)$

Check: $e_1, \dots, e_{n_j} : X' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are holomorphic functions

and using
$$\prod_{r=1}^{n_j} T - \xi_r(x) = \sum_{l=0}^{n_j} T^{n_j-l} (-1)^l e_l(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n_j}).$$

But this implies $\sum_{r=0}^{n_j} a_r T^{n_j-r}$ divides $\sum_{j=0}^n c_j T^{n-j}$ contradicting

its irreducibility. □

Ex: Verify that points of $a \in A \subset X$ are all algebraic singularities.

§4. Puiseux Series. - Let $\mathbb{C}\{\{z\}\}$ denote the field of Laurent series in z , convergent in some punctured disc

Let $F(z, w) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_{ij}(z) w^{n-j} \in \mathbb{C}\{\{z\}\}[w]$ be irreducible.
($a_0 = 1$)

Let $r > 0$ be s.t. $a_1(z), \dots, a_n(z)$ are mero. fns. on $D(0, r)$; and $\forall z_0 \in D(0, r) \setminus \{0\}$, $F(z_0, w) = 0$ has

n -distinct roots. Then the Riemann surface associated to any local solution of $F(z, w) = 0$ is n -sheeted ^(connected) cover of $D(0, r)$ - branched over 0 :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma & \cong & D(0, 1) \ni z \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ D(0, r) & \cong & D(0, 1) \ni z^n \end{array}$$

i.e. we have an iso: $\alpha: D(0, \rho) \xrightarrow{\cong} \Sigma$ $\downarrow \pi$ $D(0, r)$ $\pi(\alpha(x)) = x^n$ ($\rho = r^{1/n}$).

Hence $F(x^n, \varphi(x)) = 0$, where $\varphi = \text{ev} \circ \alpha: D(0, \rho) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ mero.

Theorem (Puiseux). Given $F(z, w) = w^n + a_1(z)w^{n-1} + \dots + a_n(z) \in \mathbb{C}\{\{z\}\}[w]$ irreducible, $\exists \varphi(\zeta) = \sum_{l=-k}^{\infty} c_l \zeta^l \in \mathbb{C}\{\{\zeta\}\}$ s.t.

$$F(\zeta^n, \varphi(\zeta)) = 0$$

Remarks.- (1) if a_1, \dots, a_n are holomorphic on a disc around 0 , then so is $\varphi(\zeta)$. In this case $\text{ev}: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ considered above is holomorphic at 0 .

(2) Another statement of the theorem is that $F(z, w) = 0$ can be solved by a Puiseux series $w = \varphi(z^{1/n})$.

(3) Let $\omega = e^{2\pi i/n}$. For each $k=0, 1, \dots, n-1$; replacing ζ by $\omega^k \zeta$ in $F(\zeta^n, \varphi(\zeta)) = 0$ gives $F(\zeta^n, \varphi(\omega^k \zeta)) = 0$.

Hence $\{\varphi(\omega^k \zeta) : k=0, \dots, n-1\}$ are n distinct roots of

$$F(\zeta^n, \varphi) = 0. \quad \text{Thus } \mathbb{C}\{\{z\}\} \xrightarrow{\quad} \mathbb{C}\{\{\zeta\}\}$$

$$z = \zeta^n$$

is a splitting extension of $F(z, w)$.

§5. For the converse of Thm §3, let X be a Riemann surface and (x_0, ξ) a local germ whose associated R.S. $\sum \downarrow \pi \downarrow X$ is

a (branched) n -to-1 cover.

That is, we are assuming \exists a discrete set of alg. singularities $A \subset X$

s.t. $\sum \downarrow \pi \downarrow X \setminus A$ is n -to-1 cover.

For $x \in X \setminus A$, let $\bar{\pi}^{-1}(x) = \{(x, \xi_1), \dots, (x, \xi_n)\}$. Set,

$\forall 1 \leq k \leq n : e_k(x) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \xi_{i_1}(x) \dots \xi_{i_k}(x) = k$ -th elementary symmetric fn. in $\xi_1(x), \dots, \xi_n(x)$

$e_1, \dots, e_n : X \setminus A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are holomorphic and since

A consists entirely of alg. singularities, $e_1, \dots, e_n : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ meromorphic

(It is clear that, given $x_0 \in X \setminus A$; $e_1, \dots, e_n : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are holomorphic in a nhd. of x_0 . We claim that these continue analytically to single-valued fns. on $X \setminus A$ - as defined above.

So, let $x_1 \in X \setminus A$ and $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X \setminus A$ be a path joining x_0 and x_1 . Analytic continuation along γ sets up a bijection

between $\pi^{-1}(x_0)$ and $\pi^{-1}(x_1)$ and hence

$$e_k (A\eta_\gamma(\xi_j)(x_1) : 1 \leq j \leq n) = e_k (\eta_j(x_1) : 1 \leq j \leq n) \text{ since.}$$

$$\pi^{-1}(x_0) = \{(x_0, \xi_1), \dots, (x_0, \xi_n)\} \quad \pi^{-1}(x_1) = \{(x_1, \eta_1), \dots, (x_1, \eta_n)\}$$

$$\exists \sigma \in S_n \text{ s.t. } A\eta_\gamma(\xi_j) = \eta_{\sigma(j)} \quad \left(\right)$$

By construction $P(x, \xi) = 0 \quad \forall (x, \xi) \in \Sigma \setminus A$ where

$$P(x, T) = \sum_{j=0}^n T^{n-j} (-1)^j e_j(x) \quad \square$$