

Lecture 1

①

Let G be a finite group, and k be a field.

§1. Representations of G over k . A G -representation (over k) is a k -vector space V together with a linear G -action on V - i.e., a group homomorphism $\alpha: G \rightarrow \underbrace{GL(V)}_{\substack{\text{group of all linear,} \\ \text{invertible maps } V \rightarrow V}}$.

Notation: we often suppress α from our notations and simply write $g \cdot v$ for $\alpha(g)(v)$ ($g \in G, v \in V$).

A subrepresentation of a G -representation V is a subspace $U \subset V$ such that $g \cdot u \in U \quad \forall g \in G, u \in U$.

If V_1 and V_2 are two G -representations, then

$$\text{Hom}_G(V_1, V_2) := \left\{ f: V_1 \rightarrow V_2 \text{ linear (over } k) \text{ such that } f(g \cdot v_1) = g \cdot (f(v_1)) \right. \\ \left. \forall g \in G, v_1 \in V_1 \right\}$$

$$\subset \text{Hom}_k(V_1, V_2) \text{ (all linear maps)}$$

An $f \in \text{Hom}_G(V_1, V_2)$ is called a homomorphism of G -reps or a G -intertwiner.

Remark. - All these notions are exactly the same as the ones we saw for associative algebras last time :

G : finite group $\rightsquigarrow k[G]$ group algebra and

G -reps = $k[G]$ -reps via

$$G \curvearrowright V \rightsquigarrow \rho : k[G] \rightarrow \text{End}_k(V)$$

↑
Notation for G acts on V (linearly)

$$\rho(\delta_g)(v) = g \cdot v \quad \forall g \in G, v \in V.$$

§2. Operations on representations.

(a) Direct Sum : If V_1 and V_2 are two G -reps. then

G acts naturally on $V_1 \oplus V_2$ via

$$g \cdot (v_1, v_2) = (g \cdot v_1, g \cdot v_2) \quad \forall g \in G, v_1 \in V_1, v_2 \in V_2$$

(b) Tensor product : Again, let V_1, V_2 be G -reps.

We have G -action on $V_1 \otimes V_2$ given by

$$g \cdot (v_1 \otimes v_2) = (g \cdot v_1) \otimes (g \cdot v_2) \quad g \in G, v_j \in V_j \quad (j=1,2)$$

(c) Dual : If G acts on V , linearly,

then the following formula defines a linear G -action on $V^* = \text{Hom}_k(V, k)$.

$$(g \cdot \xi)(v) = \xi(g \cdot v) \quad \forall \xi \in V^*, g \in G, v \in V. \quad (3)$$

(d) (Internal) Hom. If V_1 and V_2 are G -reps. then

G acts on $\text{Hom}_k(V_1, V_2)$ via

$$(g \cdot X)(v) = g \cdot (X(g^{-1} \cdot v)) \quad \begin{array}{l} X: V_1 \rightarrow V_2 \\ g \in G, v \in V_1. \end{array}$$

Remarks. - (i) The operations of tensor product, and dual (b-d)

are special for G -reps, and in general, do not exist for arbitrary associative algebra (it needs to be - what is called - a Hopf algebra.)

(ii) Recall - tensor-hom adjointness:

$$\beta: V_1^* \otimes V_2 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_k(V_1, V_2)$$

$$\beta(\xi_1 \otimes v_2): v_1 \mapsto \xi_1(v_1)v_2$$

Exercise - Show that β is a G -intertwiner.

(iii) For $G \subset X$, let $X^G := \{x \in X : g \cdot x = x \quad \forall g \in G\}$

Exercise - Show that $\text{Hom}_k(V_1, V_2)^G = \text{Hom}_G(V_1, V_2)$.

§3. Indecomposable vs. irreducible representations.

A G -repn. V is said to be indecomposable if

$$V \cong V_1 \oplus V_2 \Rightarrow V_1 = (0) \text{ or } V_2 = (0).$$

We say V is irreducible if $V \neq (0)$ and $(0) \subset V$ is the only proper subrepresentation of V .

Clearly irreducible implies indecomposable. The converse is false, in general.

Example. (1) $G = \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{C})$ indecomposable
 $n \longmapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ but not irreducible

(2) $G = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, $\text{char}(k) = p$ dividing n
 $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow GL_2(k)$ indecomposable
 $x \longmapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ but not irreducible

§4. Maschke's Theorem. [G finite, $\text{char}(k) \nmid |G|$]

For every finite dimensional G -representation V and

* Heinrich Maschke Oct 24, 1853 - March 1, 1908

a subrepresentation $U \subset V$, there exist a subrepn

$W \subset V$ so that $U \oplus W \cong V$. (as G -reps.)

Proof. - Since every vector space has a basis, and any linearly independent set can be completed to a basis, we have a linear map $\pi: V \rightarrow U$ such that $\pi(u) = u$ for each $u \in U$.

Let $P: V \rightarrow U$ be defined as the average of π :

$$P(v) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g \cdot (\pi(g^{-1} \cdot v))$$

($|G|$ is invertible in k)

Claim 1. P is a G -intertwiner

$$\text{(Proof. } P(g \cdot v) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\sigma \in G} \sigma \cdot (\pi(\underbrace{\sigma^{-1}g}_{h^{-1}} \cdot v))$$

$$= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{h \in G} gh \cdot (\pi(h^{-1} \cdot v))$$

$$(h = g^{-1}\sigma \Rightarrow \sigma = gh)$$

$$= g \cdot P(v) \quad \square)$$

Claim 2. $P(u) = u \quad \forall u \in U$

(6)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(Proof. - } P(u) &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g(\bar{g}^{-1} \cdot u) \quad \text{since } \pi(x) = x \\ &\quad \forall x \in U. \\ &= \frac{|G|}{|G|} u = u \quad \square) \end{aligned}$$

Let $W = \text{Ker}(P) \subset V$ - a subrepn since P is a G -intertwiner.

Claim 3. $V \cong U \oplus W$.

Proof. - If $x \in U \cap W$, then $x = P(x) = 0$ as $x \in W = \text{Ker}(P)$.
(by claim 2)

Let $v \in V$. Then $v = P(v) + (v - P(v))$ and
 $v - P(v) \in \text{Ker}(P) = W$. \square

§5. Schur's Lemma*. - Let V and W be G -repns.
and $f: V \rightarrow W$ be a non-zero G -intertwiner.

(a) If V is irreducible, then f is injective.

(b) If W is irreducible, then f is surjective.

(c) Assume $V = W$ is irred. and finite-dim'l.
Assume k is algebraically closed.

Then $f = \lambda \cdot \text{Id}_V$ for some $\lambda \in k$.

* Issai Schur Jan. 10, 1875 - Jan. 10, 1941

Proof. - (a) $\text{Ker}(f) \subset V$ is a subrepr.

(b) $\text{Image}(f) \subset W$ is a subrepr.

(c) Under the assumptions, f has an eigenvalue $\lambda \in k$.

So $\text{Ker}(f - \lambda \cdot \text{Id}) \subset V$ is non-zero subrepr, hence equal to V by irreducibility. \square