

# Lecture 9

①

Recall - Frobenius' characteristic map  $F: (\mathbb{C}S_n)_{\text{class}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda_n; \mathbb{C}$  is an isometry

$$F(\delta_{\mathbb{C}(\mu)}) = \frac{p_{\mu}}{z(\mu)} \quad (\forall \mu \vdash n)$$

$$(\cdot, \cdot) \text{ on } (\mathbb{C}S_n)_{\text{class}}: \quad (f, g) = \sum_{\mu \vdash n} \frac{f(\mu)g(\mu)}{z(\mu)}$$

$$(\cdot, \cdot) \text{ on } \Lambda_n; \mathbb{C}: \quad (p_{\lambda}, p_{\mu}) = \delta_{\lambda\mu} \cdot z(\lambda)$$

Canonical tensor of the inner product on  $\Lambda_n; \mathbb{C}$  = degree n component

$$\text{of } \prod_{i,j} \frac{1}{1-x_i y_j}$$

(Here,  $\Lambda_n; \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_N]_{\text{degree } n}^{S_N}$ ;  $\mu \vdash n$  means  $\mu$  is a partition of  $n$ ;

$$z(\mu) = \prod_j j^{r_j} \cdot r_j! \quad \text{where } r_j = \#\{k \mid \mu_k = j\}.)$$

§1. Statement of the main theorem .- Let  $R(S_n) \subset (\mathbb{C}S_n)_{\text{class}}$  be

defined as:  $R(S_n) = \mathbb{Z}$ -linear span of  $\{\chi_V : V \in \text{Rep}_{\text{fd}}(S_n)\}$

Theorem .- (a)  $F(R(S_n)) = \Lambda_n = \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_N]_{\text{deg}=n}^{S_N}$

(b)  $\{s_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \vdash n}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\Lambda_n; \mathbb{C}$ .

(c)  $s_{\lambda} \in \sum_{\mu \vdash n} \mathbb{Z} h_{\mu}$  [  $\begin{matrix} s_{\lambda} : \text{Schur polynomials} \\ h_{\mu} : \text{complete symmetric fn} \\ \text{- see defn. below} \end{matrix}$  ]

(d)  $\text{Ch}(\chi_{U_{\lambda}}) = h_{\lambda}$  [  $\begin{matrix} U_{\lambda} : \text{partition repn. of } S_n \\ \text{- see Lecture 7, §2} \end{matrix}$  ]

(e) Coefficient of  $x_1 \dots x_N$  in  $s_{\lambda}$  is positive.

Remarks and outline of the proof -

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (\mathbb{C}S_n)_{\text{class}} & \xrightarrow{\underline{F}} & \Lambda_n; \mathbb{C} \\
 \cup & & \cup \\
 \mathbb{R}(S_n) & \xrightarrow[\text{(Thm (a))}]{\underline{F}} & \Lambda_n
 \end{array}$$

Given  $f \in (\mathbb{C}S_n)_{\text{class}}$ ,  $f = \chi_V$  for some f.d. irred. repr. of  $S_n$  if and only if  $f$  satisfies three conditions - (i)  $f \in \mathbb{R}(S_n)$ ; (ii)  $(f, f) = 1$ ; (iii)  $f(e) > 0$ .

The theorem implies  $\underline{F}^{-1}(s_\lambda) = \chi_{V_\lambda}$  for a unique f.d. irred.  $V_\lambda$  and  $\{V_\lambda\}_{\lambda \vdash n}$  is the complete set of all f.d. irred. reprs. of  $S_n$ .

(Note: for  $f \in (\mathbb{C}S_n)_{\text{class}}$ ,  $\underline{F}(f) = \sum_{\mu \vdash n} f(\mu) \frac{P_\mu}{z(\mu)}$ .

Hence,  $f(e) = n!$  (Coefficient of  $P_{(1, \dots, 1)}$  in  $\underline{F}(f)$ ).

$P_{(1, \dots, 1)} = (x_1 + \dots + x_n)^n$  is the only power sum symmetric function containing  $x_1 \dots x_n$ . So Theorem (e) establishes criterion (iii) above).

$\left. \begin{array}{l} s_\lambda \in \sum_{\mu \vdash n} \mathbb{Z} h_\mu \\ h_\mu = \underline{F}(i_\mu) \end{array} \right\}$  are needed to show that  $s_\lambda \in \underline{F}(\mathbb{R}(S_n))$ .  
 Theorem (a) follows from the other (b-e) parts; once we prove that  $h_\mu \in \Lambda_n \forall \mu \vdash n$ .

§2. Schur polynomials and orthonormality. -

Definition. (Cauchy). - For  $\underline{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N) \in \mathbb{N}^N$ , let

$$a_{\underline{\alpha}}(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \det (x_i^{\alpha_j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}. \quad \text{Let } \rho = (N-1, N-2, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{N}^N.$$

Note: (i) Each  $a_{\underline{\alpha}}$  is a skew-symmetric polynomial of degree  $\sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j$ .

i.e.,  $w \cdot a_{\underline{\alpha}} = \text{sign}(w) a_{\underline{\alpha}} \quad \forall w \in S_N$

Hence, if entries of  $\underline{\alpha}$  are not distinct, then  $a_{\underline{\alpha}} = 0$ .

(ii)  $\forall i \neq j, x_i - x_j$  divides  $a_{\underline{\alpha}}$ .

(iii)  $a_{\rho}(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \prod_{i < j} (x_i - x_j)$  (Van der Monde determinant)

For every  $\lambda \vdash n$ , append 0's to make  $\text{length}(\lambda) = N$  and define

$$S_{\lambda}(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \frac{a_{\lambda + \rho}(x_1, \dots, x_N)}{a_{\rho}(x_1, \dots, x_N)}$$

Theorem. - The degree  $n$  component of  $\prod_{i,j} \frac{1}{1 - x_i y_j}$  is equal to

$$\sum_{\lambda \vdash n} S_{\lambda}(x_1, \dots, x_N) S_{\lambda}(y_1, \dots, y_N)$$

[This implies (b) of Thm §1, according to the result of Lecture 8 page 7.]

Proof. - The proof is based on Cauchy's determinant identity:

$$\det \left( \frac{1}{1 - x_i y_j} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} = \frac{\prod_{i < j} (x_i - x_j) \prod_{i < j} (y_i - y_j)}{\prod_{i, j} (1 - x_i y_j)}$$

(We leave the verification of Cauchy's identity as an exercise).

Thus, the statement of the theorem is equivalent to:

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Degree } n+|p| \\ \text{part of } \det \left( \frac{1}{1 - x_i y_j} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} \\ (|p| = \frac{N(N-1)}{2}) \end{array} = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} a_{\lambda+p}(\underline{x}) a_{\lambda+p}(\underline{y}). \quad (*)$$

Let us choose a  $\lambda \vdash n$  and compute the coefficient of

$$x_1^{\lambda_1 + N - 1} x_2^{\lambda_2 + N - 2} \dots x_N^{\lambda_N + 0} \quad \text{in the left-hand side of } (*)$$

(write  $\alpha_1 = \lambda_1 + N - 1, \dots, \alpha_N = \lambda_N + 0$ ; so  $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \dots > \alpha_N$ )

$$\text{L.H.S. of } (*): \det \left( 1 + x_i y_j + x_i^2 y_j^2 + \dots \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Coeff. of } x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots x_N^{\alpha_N} &= \sum_{w \in S_N} (-1)^w y_{w(1)}^{\alpha_1} \dots y_{w(N)}^{\alpha_N} \quad (\text{by defn. of det.}) \\ &= a_{\lambda+p}(\underline{y}) \quad \text{as claimed.} \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

### §3. Complete and elementary symmetric fns.

For  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $h_r(x_1, \dots, x_N) :=$  sum of all monomials of degree  $r$ .

$$= \sum_{\lambda \vdash r} m_\lambda.$$

and  $e_r(x_1, \dots, x_N) := \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq N} x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_r}$  (elementary symm. fns.)

Note:  $h_0 = e_0 = 1$  and  $e_l = 0 \quad \forall l > N$ . In terms of generating series:

$$H(t) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} h_r(x_1, \dots, x_N) t^r = \prod_{i=1}^N (1 + x_i t + x_i^2 t^2 + \dots)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{1 - x_i t}$$

$$E(t) = \sum_{r=0}^N e_r(x_1, \dots, x_N) t^r = (1 + x_1 t) \dots (1 + x_N t)$$

Theorem. (Jacobi-Trudi identity)

$$S_\lambda = \det (h_{\lambda_i - i + j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq l} \quad (l = \text{length of } \lambda).$$

[This proves Thm. §1 part (c)]

$$\text{e.g. } S_{(2,1)} = \det \begin{bmatrix} h_2 & h_3 \\ h_0 & h_1 \end{bmatrix} = h_2 h_1 - h_3$$

$$= \sum_{i,j} x_i^2 x_j + 2 \sum_{i < j < k} x_i x_j x_k$$

$$= m_{(2,1)} + 2 m_{(1,1,1)}$$

Note - positivity - not clear from defns. of  $S_\lambda$ .

Proof.- For  $1 \leq k \leq N$ , let  $e_r^{(k)}$  denote the elementary symmetric polynomial in  $N-1$  variables  $x_1, \dots, \overset{\wedge}{x_k}, \dots, x_N$ . Thus,   
↑  
skipped

$$\sum_{r=0}^{N-1} e_r^{(k)} t^r = \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ (i \neq k)}}^N (1 + x_i t). \quad \text{From } H(t) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} h_r t^r = \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{1 - x_i t},$$

we get  $H(t) \cdot \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} (-1)^r e_r^{(k)} t^r = \frac{1}{1 - x_k t} = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} x_k^p t^p.$

Coefficient of  $t^p$ :  $\sum_{r=0}^{N-1} h_{p-r} (-1)^r e_r^{(k)} = x_k^p$  [Convention:  $h_{-l} = 0 \forall l \geq 1$ ]

Change  $r$  to  $N-j$  to get

$$\sum_{j=1}^N h_{p-N+j} (-1)^{N-j} e_{N-j}^{(k)} = x_k^p.$$

Thus, for every  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N) \in \mathbb{N}^N$  we get the following matrix equation.

$$\left( x_i^{\alpha_j} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} = \left( (-1)^{N-r} e_{N-r}^{(i)} \right)_{1 \leq i, r \leq N} \left( h_{\alpha_j - N + r} \right)_{1 \leq r, j \leq N}$$

$$\Rightarrow a_{\alpha} (x_1, \dots, x_N) = A \cdot \det \left( h_{\alpha_j - N + r} \right)_{1 \leq r, j \leq N}$$

↑  
independent of  $\alpha$ .

Set  $\alpha = \rho = (N-1, \dots, 0)$ . Note  $h_{\rho_j - N + r} = h_{r-j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r=j \\ 0 & \text{if } r < j \end{cases}$

$$\Rightarrow \det \left( h_{\rho_j - N + r} \right) = 1.$$

So, we get  $a_{\rho} (x_1, \dots, x_N) = A.$

Hence,  $a_\alpha(\underline{x}) = a_\rho(\underline{x}) \cdot \det (h_{\alpha_j - N + r})_{1 \leq j, r \leq N}$

If  $\alpha = \lambda + \rho$ , then  $\alpha_j - N + r = \lambda_j - j + r$ , and we get

$$\frac{a_{\lambda + \rho}(\underline{x})}{a_\rho(\underline{x})} = \det (h_{\lambda_i - i + j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}$$

$$= \det (h_{\lambda_i - i + j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq l} \quad \text{since}$$

for  $k > l$ ,  $\lambda_k = 0$  and hence the remainder of the matrix after  $l$  rows is upper triangular with 1's on the diagonal

$$\left( h_{\lambda_i - i + j} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} (h_{\lambda_i - i + j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq l} & \\ \hline \text{Zeroes} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \quad \ddots \\ \vdots \quad \ddots \quad 1 \end{array} \end{array} \right]$$

□