

## Representations of associative algebras.

§1. Let  $K$  be a field. Let  $A$  be a unital, associative algebra over  $K$ .

That is,  $A$  is a  $K$ -vector space, together with a distinguished element  $1 \neq 0$ , and a bilinear  $A \times A \rightarrow A$  s.t. (1)  $(ab)c = a(bc) \quad \forall a, b, c \in A$   
 $(a, b) \mapsto ab$  (2)  $1a = a1 = a \quad \forall a \in A$ .

An  $A$ -representation is a  $K$ -vector space  $V$  together with a unital  $K$ -algebra hom.  $\rho: A \rightarrow \text{End}_K(V)$ . Thus  $A \curvearrowright V$  by  $a \cdot v = \rho(a)(v) \quad \forall a \in A, v \in V$ .

An  $A$ -representation is nothing but a left  $A$ -module.

We have the usual notions of subrepresentations,  $A$ -intertwiners, direct sum of two representations. As opposed to group representations, in general we do not have tensor product or duals for general  $A$ -reps.

For these operations to coherently exist in the category of  $A$ -reps,  $A$  must have a structure of a Hopf algebra - for later.

Examples. - (a)  $G$ : finite group  $\rightsquigarrow A = K[G]$  group algebra of  $G$ .

(b)  $A = K \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$  polynomial algebra in  $n$  non-commuting variables.

(c)  $A = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$   $n$  commuting variables.

(2)

In practice, many associative algebras are given in terms of generators and relations. For example,

(d) Weyl algebra  $A = K \langle X, D \rangle / (DX - XD - 1)$

Two generators  $X, D$  and one relation  $DX - XD = 1$ .

(e)  $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2) = \mathbb{C} \langle e, f, h \rangle / \begin{cases} he - eh = 2e \\ hf - fh = -2f \\ ef - fe = h \end{cases}$

§2. Semisimple representations. An  $A$ -representation  $V$  is said to be semisimple if it is isomorphic to a direct sum of irreducible  $A$ -representations. That is,  $\exists V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n$ .

The exact same proof of Schur's lemma for group reps. applies.

Schur's lemma. - Let  $V, W$  be two  $A$ -reps. and  $f: V \rightarrow W$  an  $A$ -intertwiner.

- (1) If  $V$  is irreducible, then either  $f = 0$  or  $f$  is injective
- (2) If  $W$  is irreducible, then either  $f = 0$  or  $f$  is surjective.
- (3) Assume  $V = W$  is finite-dim'l, irreducible, and  $K$  is alg. closed.

Then  $f = \lambda \cdot \text{Id}_V$  for some  $\lambda \in K$ .

Some remarks and corollaries of Schur's lemma.

(a) Multiplicity of an irred. repr. Assume  $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_N$  are pairwise non-iso., finite-dim'd, irreducible  $A$ -reprs.

Let  $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^N V_i^{\oplus d_i}$  be a semisimple  $A$ -repr ( $d_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ).

Then  $d_i = \dim \text{Hom}_A(V_i, V) = \dim \text{Hom}_A(V, V_i)$ .

In other words, the natural  $A$ -intertwiner is an isomorphism:

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^N V_i \otimes \underbrace{\text{Hom}_A(V_i, V)}_{\text{auxiliary space}} \rightarrow V$$

$$v_i \otimes X \mapsto X(v_i) \quad \text{on } i\text{-th summand}$$

( $v_i \in V_i$ ,  $X: V_i \rightarrow V$  an  $A$ -intertwiner)

Here,  $A$  acts on the 1<sup>st</sup> tensor factor only - in  $V_i \otimes \underbrace{\text{Hom}(V_i, V)}_{\text{auxiliary space}}$

(b) Let  $V$  be a f.d. irred  $A$ -repr. Then

$$\text{Hom}_A(V^{\oplus n}, V^{\oplus m}) = \text{Hom}_A(V \otimes \underbrace{K^n}_{\text{aux. space}}, V \otimes \underbrace{K^m}_{\text{aux. space}})$$

$$\cong \text{Hom}_K(K^n, K^m) = \text{Mat}_{m \times n}(K)$$

Given  $X \in \text{Mat}_{m \times n}(K)$ ,  $X = (x_{ij})$ , the corresponding

$A$ -intertwiner  $V^{\oplus n} \rightarrow V^{\oplus m}$  is:

$$(v_1, \dots, v_n) \mapsto \left( \sum_{j=1}^n x_{ij} v_j \right)_{1 \leq i \leq m}$$

§3. Theorem. Let  $V_1, \dots, V_N$  be pairwise non-isomorphic, finite-dim'l, irreducible representations of  $A$ . Let  $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^N V_i^{\oplus n_i}$ , and  $W \subset V$  be a subrepresentation. Then  $W$  is semisimple - i.e.,  $\exists r_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_i\}$  s.t.  $W \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^N V_i^{\oplus r_i}$ . (4)

[We are assuming  $K$  is algebraically closed.]

Proof. - Argue by induction on  $\sum_{i=1}^N n_i$ . Base case is trivial, so

we proceed with carrying out the induction step. Assume,  $W \neq \{0\}$ .

- if  $W$  is irreducible, then for some projection  $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^N V_i^{\oplus n_i}$

$$\pi_j^{(s)} \Big|_W : W \rightarrow V_j \text{ is non-zero}$$

$$\left( \text{since } \pi_j^{(s)}(w) = 0 \quad \forall \begin{matrix} 1 \leq j \leq N \\ 1 \leq s \leq n_j \end{matrix} \Rightarrow w = 0 \right)$$

Schur's Lemma implies  $W \simeq V_j$ ; and we are done

- if  $W$  is not irreducible. Let  $P \subset W$  be an irreducible subrepr. of  $W$  (exists since  $W$  is finite-dim'l - so we can take  $P$  to be a non-zero <sup>(sub-)</sup>repr. of  $W$  with smallest possible dimension).

Same argument as above shows that  $P \simeq V_j$  for some  $1 \leq j \leq N$ .

The inclusion  $P \simeq V_j \subset W \subset V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^N V_i^{\oplus n_i}$  is given by

$$v \in V_j \longmapsto (\lambda_1 v, \dots, \lambda_{n_j} v) \text{ in } V_j^{\oplus n_j} \text{ piece}$$

(see §2 (a), (b) - above)  $\quad 0 \quad \text{in } V_i^{\oplus n_i} \text{ piece } (i \neq j)$

here,  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n_j} \in \mathbb{k}$  are not all zero.

Let  $X \in GL_{n_j}(\mathbb{k})$  be such that  $X \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_{n_j} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and

let  $\varphi_X \in \text{Aut}_A(V)$  given by  $\text{Id}_{V_i^{\oplus n_i}}$  on  $i$ -th piece ( $i \neq j$ )

and  $(v_1, \dots, v_{n_j}) \mapsto \left( \sum_{l=1}^{n_j} x_{pl} v_l \right)_{1 \leq p \leq n_j}$  on  $j$ -th piece.

Then,  $V_j \hookrightarrow W \hookrightarrow V$

$v \longmapsto (v, 0, \dots, 0)$  in  $V_j^{\oplus n_j}$ -piece

$0$  in  $V_i^{\oplus n_i}$ -piece  $i \neq j$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow W &\simeq V_j \oplus K \text{ where } K \subset V_1^{\oplus n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus V_j^{\oplus n_j-1} \oplus \dots \\ &= \text{Ker} \left( W \hookrightarrow V \xrightarrow{\pi_j^{(n_j)}} V_j \right). \end{aligned}$$

and we are done by induction. □

Remark. - This theorem is valid for non-alg.-closed fields as well.

Moreover,  $V_1, \dots, V_N$  do not have to be finite-dim'l. (see

Remark 3.1.5 of [Etingof et al]). In this generality,  $\text{End}_A(V)$

need not be  $\mathbb{k}$ , but some division algebra (not necessarily commutative field) over  $\mathbb{k}$ .

§4. Some remarks and "coordinate-free" description of Thm §3. ⑥

In the set up of the theorem,  $V$  is a semisimple repr.

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^N V_i \otimes \underbrace{\text{Hom}_A(V_i, V)}_{\text{aux. space}} \rightarrow V \text{ is an } A\text{-linear isomorphism}$$

(meaning  $A$  only acts on 1<sup>st</sup> tensor factor)

$U$   
 $W$  a subrepr.

Theorem §3 implies that  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^N V_i \otimes \text{Hom}_A(V_i, W) \cong W$

which can be conveniently stated as:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_{i=1}^N V_i \otimes \text{Hom}_A(V_i, V) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & V \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow f \\ \bigoplus_{i=1}^N V_i \otimes \text{Hom}_A(V_i, W) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & W \end{array}$$

left vertical map is  $\text{Id} \otimes (f \circ X)$   
( $X: V_i \rightarrow W \subset V$ )

§5. Jacobson's density theorem. - Let  $V_1, \dots, V_N$  be pairwise non-iso, finite-dim'l, irreducible representations of  $A$ . Then

$$\rho: A \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^N \text{End}_K(V_i) \text{ is surjective.}$$

(if  $\rho_i: A \rightarrow \text{End}_K(V_i)$  is the action hom. then  $\rho(a) = (\rho_i(a))_{i=1}^N$ .)

Proof. - Step 1. -

$$A \xrightarrow{\rho} \bigoplus_{j=1}^N \text{End}(V_j) \quad \text{and}$$

(easy check)

$$\bigoplus_{j=1}^N V_j \otimes V_j^* \xrightarrow{\psi} \bigoplus_{j=1}^N \text{End} V_j \quad (V_j^* \text{ is auxiliary space})$$

(tensor-hom adjointness)

are  $A$ -intertwiners, where  $A \subset \bigoplus_{j=1}^N \text{End}(V_j)$  by

$$a \cdot (X_j)_{j=1}^N = (\rho_j(a) X_j)_{j=1}^N$$

Step 2. - Let  $U = \rho(A) \subset \bigoplus_{j=1}^N \text{End}(V_j) \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^N V_j \otimes \underbrace{V_j^*}_{\text{aux. space}}$

(semisimple repr.)

$$\cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^N V_j^{\oplus \dim V_j}$$

So, by Thm §3,

$$U = \bigoplus_{j=1}^N V_j \otimes L_j \quad \text{where } L_j \subset V_j^* \quad \forall j.$$

Step 3. - If  $\exists i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$  s.t.  $L_i \subsetneq V_i^*$ , then  $\exists 0 \neq v \in V_i$  such that  $ev_v(\eta) = \eta(v) = 0 \quad \forall \eta \in L_i$ . Meaning, the

following composition is identically zero.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U = \rho(A) & \hookrightarrow & \bigoplus_{j=1}^N V_j \otimes V_j^* & \longrightarrow & V_i \otimes V_i^* \\ & & & & \downarrow \text{Id} \otimes ev_v \\ & & & & V_i \\ \uparrow & & \xrightarrow{=0} & & \\ A & & & & \end{array}$$

But  $1 \in A$  under this composition goes to  $v$ , assumed to be non-zero.

This contradiction proves that  $L_j = V_j^* \quad \forall j$  and the theorem follows.  $\square$