

Recall:  $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$  Cartan Matrix.

$\mathfrak{g} =$  f.d. simple Lie algebra assoc. to  $A$ .

$$= \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+ \quad ; \quad \mathfrak{n}_{\pm} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R_{\pm}} \mathfrak{g}_{\pm\alpha}$$

$$\dim \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} = 1 \quad \forall \alpha \in R.$$

Generators:  $\{h_i, e_i, f_i\}_{i \in I}$

$$(\mathfrak{h} = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{C} h_i)$$

Relations:  $[x, x'] = 0 \quad \forall x, x' \in \mathfrak{h}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} [x, e_i] &= \alpha_i(x) e_i \\ [x, f_i] &= -\alpha_i(x) f_i \end{aligned} \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{h}, i \in I$$

$$[e_i, f_j] = \delta_{ij} h_i \quad \text{and}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ad}(e_i)^{1-a_{ij}} \cdot e_j &= 0 \\ \text{ad}(f_i)^{1-a_{ij}} \cdot f_j &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (\forall i \neq j).$$

$$\text{Irr}_{\text{fd}}(\mathfrak{g}) = \{L_{\lambda} : \lambda \in P_+\} \quad (P_+ = \{\gamma \in \mathfrak{h}^*, \gamma(h_i) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \forall i \in I\}).$$

Description of  $L_{\lambda}$ :  $L_{\lambda}$  is generated (as  $\mathfrak{g}$ -repn) by a (non-zero) vector  $\mathbb{1}_{\lambda}$  subject to the following rel<sup>n</sup>s:

$$e_i \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\lambda} = 0 \quad (\forall i \in I) \quad h \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\lambda} = \lambda(h) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\lambda} \quad \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}.$$

$$f_i^{\lambda(h_i)+1} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\lambda} = 0 \quad (\forall i \in I).$$

Remark. - We haven't yet proved that the list of rel<sup>n</sup>s given above is complete. That is, if  $\tilde{L}_{\lambda}$  is the  $\mathfrak{g}$ -repn. generated by  $\mathbb{1}_{\lambda}$  subject to rel<sup>n</sup>s given above, then we have shown

(see Thm §5 - pages 6,7 of Lecture 31) :

(2)

$\tilde{L}_\lambda \Rightarrow L_\lambda$  and  $\tilde{L}_\lambda$  is integrable and hence,  $\dim \tilde{L}_\lambda < \infty$ .  
 $(\Omega_\lambda \mapsto \mathbb{1}_\lambda)$

§1. Invariant forms and Casimir element.

Lemma. - Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a f.d. Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $B : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a symmetric, invariant, bilinear form.

[Invariance :  $B([x,y], z) = B(x, [y,z]) \forall x,y,z \in \mathfrak{g}$ .]

(i)  $\text{Rad } B = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : B(x,y) = 0 \forall y \in \mathfrak{g}\}$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

(ii) If  $B$  is non-degenerate; then its canonical tensor, and its associated Casimir element in  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ , commute with  $\mathfrak{g}$ -action. Meaning: let  $\{x_a\}_{a=1}^n$  be a basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and  $\{x^a\}_{a=1}^n$  the basis dual to  $\{x_a\}$  under  $B$ .

Then: (a)  $\left[ \sum_{a=1}^n x_a \otimes x^a, x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x \right] = 0$  in  $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ .

(b)  $\left[ \sum_{a=1}^n x_a x^a, x \right] = 0$  in  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ .

$\left( \begin{array}{l} \sum_{a=1}^n x_a \otimes x^a \\ \sum_{a=1}^n x_a x^a \end{array} \right)$  is called the Casimir tensor  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Casimir operator.

Proof of (i): If  $x \in \text{Rad}(B)$  and  $t \in \mathcal{O}$ , then

$$\forall y \in \mathcal{O}, \quad B([x, t], y) = B(x, [t, y]) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow [x, t] \in \text{Rad}(B).$$

Proof of (ii): Let  $\{x_a\}_{a=1}^n$  &  $\{x^a\}_{a=1}^n$  be dual bases of  $\mathcal{O}$  as above.

$$\text{For } x \in \mathcal{O}, \text{ let } [x, x_a] = \sum_r C_{a,r}^{(x)} x_r \quad (C_{a,r}^{(x)} \in \mathbb{C})$$

$$[x, x^b] = \sum_s D_{b,s}^{(x)} x^s \quad (D_{b,s}^{(x)} \in \mathbb{C})$$

$$\text{Note: Invariance } \Rightarrow \boxed{D_{r,s}^{(x)} + C_{s,r}^{(x)} = 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{O}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Proof. } C_{s,r}^{(x)} &= \text{coeff. of } x_r \text{ in } [x, x_s] \\ &= B(x_r^r, [x, x_s]) \\ &= B([x_r^r, x], x_s) = -\text{coeff. of } x^s \text{ in } [x, x^r] \\ &= -D_{r,s}^{(x)}. \quad \square) \end{aligned}$$

$$(a): [x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x, \sum_{a=1}^n x_a \otimes x^a] = \sum_a [x, x_a] \otimes x^a + \sum_b x_b \otimes [x, x^b]$$

$$= \sum_{r,s} (C_{s,r}^{(x)} + D_{r,s}^{(x)}) (x_r \otimes x^s) = 0.$$

$$(b): [x, \sum_{a=1}^n x_a x^a] = \sum_a [x, x_a] x^a + \sum_b x_b [x, x^b]$$

$$= \sum_{r,s} (C_{s,r}^{(x)} + D_{r,s}^{(x)}) x_r x^s = 0. \quad \square$$

§2. In our case,  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{f.d. simple Lie alg. assoc. to } A$ .

Prop. - There is a unique, invariant, bilinear form on  $\mathfrak{g}$

$$\mathcal{K} : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \quad \text{satisfying:}$$

$$(i) \quad \mathcal{K}(h_i, h_j) = a_{ij} d_j^{-1} \quad \forall i, j \in I.$$

$$(ii) \quad \mathcal{K}(e_i, f_j) = \delta_{ij} d_i^{-1} \quad (iii) \quad \mathcal{K}(h_i, e_j) = 0 = \mathcal{K}(h_i, f_j).$$

Remark. - Such a symmetric, invariant, bilinear form

exists on  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$  as well - let us denote it by  $\tilde{\mathcal{K}} : \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \times \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

The radical of  $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$  is an ideal of  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$  (Lemma §1 above)

and  $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}|_{\mathfrak{h} \times \mathfrak{h}}$  is given by non-deg. matrix  $A \cdot D^{-1}$

$\Rightarrow \text{Rad}(\tilde{\mathcal{K}})$  is a proper ideal of  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ , hence is contained in the unique, max'l proper ideal  $\mathfrak{r} \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ .

Hence  $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$  descends to a non-deg., symmetric, bilinear, invariant form on  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

§3. More properties of  $\mathcal{K} : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

$$(i) \quad \mathcal{K}(x, y) = 0 \quad (\forall x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, y \in \mathfrak{g}_\beta) \quad \text{if } \alpha + \beta \neq 0. \\ (\alpha, \beta \in R \cup \{0\})$$

(ii) Let  $\alpha \in R_+$ . Then  $\mathcal{K} : \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \times \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is non-deg.

Proof. of (i): Let  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ ,  $y \in \mathfrak{g}_\beta$  where  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{0\}$

(5)

and  $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$ . For every  $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ , we have

$$\mathcal{K}(h, [x, y]) = \mathcal{K}([h, x], y) = \alpha(h) \mathcal{K}(x, y)$$

||

$$- \mathcal{K}(h, [y, x]) = - \mathcal{K}([h, y], x) = - \beta(h) \mathcal{K}(y, x)$$

$\Rightarrow (\alpha + \beta)(h) \cdot \mathcal{K}(x, y) = 0$ . As  $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$ ,  $\exists h \in \mathfrak{h}$  st.

$$(\alpha + \beta)(h) \neq 0, \Rightarrow \mathcal{K}(x, y) = 0.$$

(ii) follows from non-deg. of  $\mathcal{K}$ , and (i).

§4. Let  $C \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  be the Casimir operator assoc. to  $\mathcal{K}$ .

In order to write it explicitly, let  $x_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+$ )  
 $x_{-\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$

be chosen so that  $\mathcal{K}(x_\alpha, x_{-\alpha}) = 1$ .

Lemma. -  $[x_\alpha, x_{-\alpha}] = \phi(\alpha) \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+$

(recall:  $\phi: \mathfrak{h}^* \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{h}$  given by  $\gamma(h) = \mathcal{K}(\phi(\gamma), h)$   
 $\forall \gamma \in \mathfrak{h}^*$   
 $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ .)

Proof. -  $\mathcal{K}(h, [x_\alpha, x_{-\alpha}]) = \mathcal{K}([h, x_\alpha], x_{-\alpha})$   
 $= \alpha(h) \mathcal{K}(x_\alpha, x_{-\alpha}) = \alpha(h) \quad \square$

Let  $\{y_i\}_{i \in I}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Then

(6)

$$C = \sum_{i \in I} y_i^2 + \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} (x_{-\alpha} x_{-\alpha} + x_{-\alpha} x_{\alpha})$$

$$= \sum_{i \in I} y_i^2 + \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} (\phi(\alpha) + 2 x_{-\alpha} x_{\alpha})$$

Note:  $\forall \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ ,  $\sum_{i \in I} \lambda(y_i)^2 = (\lambda, \lambda)$ .

(Proof. -  $\phi: \mathfrak{h}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ . Write  $\phi(\lambda)$  in the basis  $\{y_i\}$  (orthonormal)

$$\phi(\lambda) = \sum_i (\phi(\lambda), y_i) y_i$$

$$= \sum_{i \in I} \lambda(y_i) y_i$$

$$\Rightarrow (\lambda, \lambda)$$

$$= \lambda(\phi(\lambda)) = \sum_{i \in I} \lambda(y_i)^2 \quad \square$$

§5. Casimir action on highest weight reps.

Let  $\rho \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  be given by  $\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} \alpha$ .

Prop. (i) If  $\mathfrak{g} \subset V$  is a h.w. repn. of h.w.  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ , then

$$C|_V = (\lambda + 2\rho, \lambda) \cdot \text{Id}_V$$

(ii) If  $\mathfrak{g} \subset U$  is a repn. and  $u \in U$  is s.t.  $\chi \in \mathfrak{h}^*$

$$e_i \cdot u = 0 \quad \forall i$$

$$h \cdot u = \chi(h) \cdot u \quad \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}$$

then  $C \cdot u = (\gamma + 2\rho, \gamma) \cdot u$  .

(7)

Proof of (ii) .-  $C \cdot u = \sum_{i \in I} y_i^2 \cdot u + \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} \phi(\alpha) \cdot u + 2x_i^- x_i^+ \cdot u$

$$= \left[ \sum_{i \in I} \gamma (y_i)^2 + \left( \gamma, \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} \alpha \right) \right] \cdot u$$

$$= \left( (\gamma, \gamma) + (\gamma, 2\rho) \right) \cdot u = (\gamma + 2\rho, \gamma) \cdot u$$

(i) follows from (ii) and the fact that

$V$  is generated by  $\sigma_{\alpha} v \in V[\lambda]$

and  $C$  commutes with  $\sigma_{\alpha}$ -action.

□