

~~Augmentations for 14.3 and 14.6~~
~~of Lecture 14~~

LECTURE 14

1. Two mathematical sins

2. Invertibility

a) is unique

b) holds also for non-linear xformations

3. Invertibility for linear xformations

\Leftrightarrow (onto + one-to-one)

Used in Math 256P

Auxiliary

Texts

J R & A 5th ed'n
INTRODUCTION TO LIN. ALGEBRA

Ch. 5

Ch. 1. matrix theory

2. Vectors in \mathbb{R}^2 & \mathbb{R}^3

Chap. 3 Lin. Alg. in \mathbb{R}^n

Used in Math 56P

David Poole

Lin. Algebra:

A modern introd'n

Ch. 6 Vector spaces

Good auxiliary texts.

There are two cardinal sins in mathematics:

(i) introduce a concept without specifying the context from which one abstracts the concept, and

(ii) not specifying the hierarchy of antecedent data-based ideas,

which tie the concept to reality (as perceived and quantified by our senses augmented by instruments if necessary)

Friday's Definition 13.3 on page 13.9

illustrates both of these sins. The

commission of these turns the defined

concept "the invertibility" of a linear

transformation (i.e. the existence

of an inverse" for it) into what is

known as a floating abstraction, an idea disconnected and detached from reality and thereby rendering it without meaning.

The purpose of the present and next lecture is to provide

(i) the mathematical context, namely, linear transformations which are

onto and one-to-one, and

(ii) the mathematical method, basis-induced matrix transformations, which provide

the hierarchical link to observable

and measurable data that lie directly

or indirectly at the base of all

Linear transformations, invertible ones included.

T. Invertible Linear Transformations.

(cont'd)
1. uniqueness

Recall that the defining property for the invertibility of a (linear or non-linear!) transformation

$$T: U \rightarrow V$$

is defined by the existence of at least one

$$T': V \rightarrow U$$

with the property that

$$T' \circ T = I_U \text{ and } T \circ T' = I_V \quad (*)$$

!! \rightarrow Comment: Invertibility also applies to non-linear transformations.

The fact that this invertibility criterion

for T consists of two equations implies

the uniqueness of T'

is stated by the following

Theorem 14.1 (Uniqueness)

An invertible transformation is unique

Proof:

(a) Let T' and T'' be two invertible transformations:

$$T' \circ T = I_U \text{ and } T \circ T' = I_V$$

$$T'' \circ T = I_U \text{ and } T \circ T'' = I_V$$

Application of T'' yields

$$T'' \circ (T \circ T') = T'' \circ I_U$$

$$(T'' \circ T) \circ T' = T''$$

$$I_U \circ T' = T''$$

$$T' = T''$$

Thus all inverses of T are the same transformation, namely,

$$T' = T'' = T^{-1}$$

Thus, an invertible transformation has

only one inverse, namely T^{-1} . It satisfies

$$T^{-1} \circ T = I_U \quad (*)a)$$

and

$$T \circ T^{-1} = I_V \quad (*)b)$$

Comment

a) These two invertibility conditions (*) are

symmetric under $T \leftrightarrow T^{-1}$.

Thus if T is invertible, then T^{-1} is also invertible; in fact,

$$(T^{-1})^{-1} = T \quad (**)$$

b) relations (*) \Rightarrow (**), even if T and

T^{-1} are non-linear.

c) Invertibility also applies to non-linear transformation

2. Context

The invertibility criterion, Eq. (*), can only be understood within its proper context, which is given by the following

Theorem 14.2

A linear transformation $T: U \rightarrow V$ is

invertible if and only if (\Leftrightarrow)

T is onto and one-to-one.

Proof in Four Steps:

Step 1: (*) \Rightarrow T is onto

Let $v \in V$.

Invertibility implies $\exists T^{-1} v$, s.t. $T \circ T^{-1} v = v$

$$\text{Let } u = T^{-1}(v)$$

$$\text{so that } T(u) = T(T^{-1}(v)) = v$$

Consequently, $T(u) = v$

has a solution, namely $u = T^{-1}(v)$.

Thus T is onto

Step 2: **$(*) + T$ is linear $\Rightarrow T^{-1}$ is linear**

Let $T(u_1) = v_1$ and $T(u_2) = v_2$

Invertibility implies $\exists T^{-1}$ s.t. $T^{-1}T = I_n$

Thus $u_1 = T^{-1}v_1$ and $u_2 = T^{-1}v_2$ (*)

Linearity of T implies $T(u_1) + T(u_2) = T(u_1 + u_2)$

$$v_1 + v_2 = T(u_1 + u_2)$$

$$T^{-1}(v_1 + v_2) = T^{-1}T(u_1 + u_2)$$

Invertibility \Rightarrow

$$= u_1 + u_2$$

$$(*) \Rightarrow T^{-1}(v_1 + v_2) = T^{-1}v_1 + T^{-1}v_2$$

One proves $T^{-1}(cv) = cT^{-1}(v)$

in an analogous way. **Thus T^{-1} is linear**

Step 3: $(*) + T^{-1}$ is linear $\Rightarrow T^{-1}^{-1} = I^{-1}$

Let $u \in \mathcal{N}(T)$. Thus $T(u) = \vec{0}_V$

$$T^{-1} \text{ is linear} \Rightarrow T^{-1}T(u) = T^{-1}(\vec{0}_V) = \vec{0}_U$$

*

$$u = \vec{0}_U$$

$$T^{-1} \text{ is } I_U$$

Step 4a: **Show that $\left\{ \begin{matrix} T \text{ onto} \\ T^{-1} \text{ } \end{matrix} \right\} \Rightarrow \exists T^{-1}$ s.t. $T^{-1}T = I_V$**

Let v be any element in V

$T \text{ onto} \Rightarrow \exists$ unique u s.t. $T(u) = v$

Define $T^{-1}: v \mapsto T^{-1}(v) = u$ where $T(u) = v$

Apply T^{-1} : $T^{-1}(v) = T^{-1}(T(u)) = u \quad \forall v$

$$\boxed{T^{-1}T = I_V}$$

Step 4b: **Show that $\left\{ \begin{matrix} T \text{ onto} \\ T^{-1} \text{ } \end{matrix} \right\} \Rightarrow \exists T^{-1}$ s.t. $T^{-1}T = I_U$**

Let $u \in U$ any element in U

$$T(u) = v \in \mathcal{R}(T)$$

Define $T^{-1}: \mathcal{R}(T) \rightarrow U$

$v \mapsto T^{-1}(v) = u$ where $T(u) = v$

$T(u) \mapsto T^{-1}T(u) = u \quad \forall u$

$$\boxed{T^{-1}T = I_U}$$