

LECTURE 9

Bilinear functional

Metric as an Inner product

Metric as a Natural isomorphism
between V and V^*

9.1

The vector space arenas developed so far are in skeletal form but fundamental to all of mathematics. Their linearity is captured by means of the superposition principle.

The bare bones structure is introduced so far are linear (in)dependence and the spanning property of a set of vectors. These structures are building blocks sufficient for characterizing a vector space in terms of coordinate systems introduced via any chosen (or given) basis.

Furthermore, every vector space (V) always

9.2

accommodates its dual space, the space of linear function. This space (V^*) is a vector space in its own right, and any given basis for V determines a unique corresponding basis for V^* .

Indeed the dimension of V and V^* are the same, a fact which is a consequence of the duality principle

$$\langle \omega^i | \vec{e}_j \rangle = \delta^i_j$$

In spite of this, there is no natural (i.e. basis-independent) correspondence between V and its dual space of covectors, V^* .

This deficiency, as we shall see, disappears

once one has identified an inner product on the given vector space arena, once one knows the rule for inner products between vectors, then this inner product rule furnishes a natural correspondence between V and V^* .

An inner product on V is called a metric structure on V and hence on V^* .

We shall do this in both the bases independent way and in the way which takes advantage a chosen basis.

BILINEAR FUNCTIONAL, THE METRIC

9.4

There is no natural isomorphism between V and V^* . However if the vector space has an inner product defined on it, then such an isomorphism is determined. The inner product is implemented on the vector space V by means of the following set of definitions.

Definition 7 (Bilinear Form)

Given: a vector space U and a vector space V .

A bilinear functional (or "form") on $U \times V$ (pairs of elements, one from U and one from V) is a function w :

$$w: U \times V \rightarrow \text{reals} \\ (x, y) \mapsto w(x, y)$$

with the properties

$$\begin{aligned} w(\alpha x_1 + \alpha' x_2, y) &= \alpha w(x_1, y) + \alpha' w(x_2, y) \\ w(x, \beta y_1 + \beta' y_2) &= \beta w(x, y_1) + \beta' w(x, y_2) \end{aligned}$$

In other words, w is linear in each argument.

9.5

Definition (Metric)
A metric (or inner product) is a bilinear functional g on $V \times V$ (pairs of elements in V)

$$g(x, y) \mapsto g(x, y)$$

with the property

$$g(x, y) = g(y, x);$$

In other words, a real valued metric is symmetric. If the

Comment: If the metric were complex valued then the symmetry condition gets replaced by

$$g(x, y) = \overline{g(y, x)}$$

If $g(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a scalar product provided g is positive definite, i.e. $g(x, x) > 0 \forall x \neq 0$

In our development of tensor algebra we shall not insist that g be positive definite. This means that we allow for the existence of non-zero vectors such that $g(x, x) = 0$, with $x \neq 0$.

These vectors are "null-vectors". We are forced to consider an inner product with such a null result if V is Minkowski spacetime.

Euclidean Space & Minkowski Spacetime ^{9.6}

Example (Basis expansion of the metric)

Let $\vec{x} = x^1 \vec{e}_1 + x^2 \vec{e}_2 + \dots + x^n \vec{e}_n$ be a representation of a vector x in terms of basis $\{\vec{e}_1, \dots, \vec{e}_n\}$ for V . Then

$$\begin{aligned} g(x^1 \vec{e}_1 + x^2 \vec{e}_2 + \dots, y^1 \vec{e}_1 + y^2 \vec{e}_2 + \dots) &= \\ &= x^1 y^1 g(\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_1) + (x^2 y^1 + x^1 y^2) g(\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2) + x^2 y^2 g(\vec{e}_2, \vec{e}_2) + \dots \\ &\equiv x^1 y^1 g_{11} + (x^2 y^1 + x^1 y^2) g_{12} + x^2 y^2 g_{22} + \dots \\ &= x^i y^j g_{ij} \quad (\text{Einstein summation convention for pairs of repeated indices}) \end{aligned}$$

The coefficients $g_{ij} = g(\vec{e}_i, \vec{e}_j)$

are the components of the metric g with respect to the basis $\{\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2, \dots, \vec{e}_n\}$

They are the inner products of all pairs of basis vectors.

~~2.1 Metric as a Map Between Vectors and Covectors.~~

~~The scalar product is a bilinear function. Consequently, it can be evaluated on only one of its arguments. The result is obviously a linear function. More precisely,~~

2.1 Metric as a Map between Vectors and Covectors ^{9.7}

The scalar product

$$g: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ (x, y) \mapsto g(x, y)$$

is a bilinear function. Consequently it can be evaluated on only one of its arguments, $g(x, \cdot)$. The result is a linear function. More precisely,

9.8

9.8
 a metric establishes a natural isomorphism between vector space V and its space of duals, V^* . In order to conserve notation we shall use the same symbol g to designate this correspondence. Its defining property is

$$g: V \xrightarrow{g} V^*$$

$$x \mapsto g(x, \cdot) = \underline{x} \quad (= "x")$$

Here \underline{x} is that linear functional which when operating on $y \in V$, yields $g(x, y)$:

$$\underline{x} = "x": V \xrightarrow{\underline{x}} \mathbb{R}$$

$$y \mapsto \langle \underline{x}, y \rangle = x \cdot y = g(x, y)$$

From Bottom of P. 21, 5.

One can use the representation of the metric ~~metric relative to a given basis~~

$$g = g_{ij} e_i \otimes e_j$$

to implement the isomorphism $V \rightarrow V^*$ on the components of the vectors in V and the corresponding covectors in V^* . This is done as follows:

9.9

9.9
 The natural isomorphism between V and V^* is represented by the matrix g . The basis is natural and it is a metric component.

Proposition (How to explicitly relate vectors in V to those in V^*)

Given $\underline{x} = x^k e_k$, the numerical coefficients x^j of $\underline{x} = x^j e_j$ are given explicitly by the following computation

$$\underline{x} = g(\underline{x}, \cdot) = (x^j e_j) \cdot \omega^i \equiv x^j \omega^i$$

$$= x^k g(e_k, \cdot) \quad \text{for } j, k$$

$$\therefore x^k g(e_k, e_i) = x^j (e_j \cdot e_i) \delta^i_j$$

or $x^k g_{ki} = x_i$

Another words,

$$\boxed{x_i = g_{ik} x^k = \bar{x} \cdot e_i}$$

are the components of $\underline{x} = x^j e_j$, which is the image of $x = x^k e_k$ under g .

GO BACK TO P. 21, 4

9,10

one can use the representation of the metric relative to a given basis,

$$g = g_{ij} \omega^i \otimes \omega^j$$

to implement the isomorphism $V \rightarrow V^*$ between the components of vectors in V and the components of covectors in V^* . This is done as follows.

9,11

Proposition: (Basis representation of g)

Let $\{e_i\}$ be a basis of V

Let $\{\omega^i\}$ be its dual basis for V^*

Then g can be written in terms of a "tensor product basis" as follows:

$$g = g_{ij} \omega^i \otimes \omega^j \quad V \rightarrow V^* \quad \underbrace{\omega^i \otimes \omega^j}_{\text{image of } e_i \text{ in } V^* \text{ relative to basis } \{e_i\}}$$

$$x \mapsto g(x) = g_{ij} \langle \omega^i | x \rangle \omega^j$$

$$e_i \mapsto g(e_i) = g_{ij} \omega^j$$

Comment:

1. Here the tensor product sign \otimes establishes an ordered juxtaposition of pairs of linear functionals. This is the means by which a bilinear functional is constructed from a pair of linear functionals. The tensor product is therefore a means of obtaining a bilinear functional from two linear functionals.

relative to $e_a e_b$

2. The "components" of g are obtained by evaluating it on pairs of vectors

$$g(x, y) = g_{ij} \langle \omega^i | x \rangle \langle \omega^j | y \rangle = g_{ij} x^i y^j$$

$$g(e_k, e_l) = g_{ij} \langle \omega^i | e_k \rangle \langle \omega^j | e_l \rangle$$

$$= g_{ij} \delta^i_k \delta^j_l$$

$$= g_{kl} (= g_{lk}) \quad (9,10)$$

9.12

More generally, evaluating g on the pair of vectors $x, y \in V$, one obtains their inner product

$$\begin{aligned} x \cdot y &= g(x^k e_k, y^l e_l) = g_{kl} x^k y^l \\ &= x^k y^l \end{aligned}$$