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## Abstract

The Chebyshev Bias states that there are "many more" primes congruent to 3 modulo 4 than those congruent to 1 . If the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis (GRH) is true, then the natural density of the bias should not exist [2][3]. We aim to find computational evidence for this prediction.

## Introduction

Prime numbers (also commonly known as primes), are integers greater than one with no positive divisors than 1 and themselves. The Prime Number Theorem (PNT) estimates the number of primes below a given number $x$ and is denoted by $\pi(x) . l i(x)=\int_{2}^{x} d t / \log (t)$ and $x / \log (x)$ are two such estimates of $\pi(x)$.


Figure 1: Prime Counting Function and its Estimates
In 1853, Russian mathematician Pafnuty Chebyshev observed an unexpected bias in the seemingly random distribution of primes. For primes less than a given number $n$, he noticed that there were "many more" primes with remainder 3 when divide by 4 , denoted by $\pi(n ; 4,3)$, than those with remainder 1 when divide by 4 , denoted by $\pi(n ; 4,1)$.

| $n$ | $\pi(n)$ | $\pi(n ; 4,3)$ | $\pi(n ; 4,1)$ | Bias |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15485863 | $1.0 \times 10^{6}$ | 500201 | 499798 | 403 |
| 23879519 | $1.5 \times 10^{6}$ | 750237 | 749762 | 475 |
| 32452843 | $2.0 \times 10^{6}$ | 1000240 | 999759 | 481 |
| 41161739 | $2.5 \times 10^{6}$ | 1250096 | 1249903 | 193 |
| 49979687 | $3.0 \times 10^{6}$ | 1500119 | 1499880 | 239 |

Table 1: The Chebyshev Bias for $\mathrm{n} \leq 3 \times 10^{6}$

## Main Objectives

1. Quantify the amount of the Chebyshev bias in a given interval 2. Extend the range of previous computations by Daniel Shanks. 3. Investigate Shanks' conjecture through computation.

## Methodology

In 1959, Shanks calculated the Chebyshev bias for $n \leq 3 \times 10^{6}$ by defining $\Delta(n)=\pi(n ; 4,3)-\pi(n ; 4,1)$ and conjectured that the mean value of $\tau(n)=\Delta(n) \cdot \sqrt{n} / \pi(n)$, would approach a positive limit [4].

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{2}^{N} \tau(n)=1
$$

We investigate this conjecture computationally, guided by Richard Brent's work in the analogous case of the $\pi(x)$ and $l i(x)$ race [1]. We define minimum $\left(\theta_{\min }\right)$ and maximum $\left(\theta_{\max }\right)$ biases to examine the amount of the bias between tabulated values. We also shift $\tau(n)$ by subtracting 1 , in accordance with Shanks' conjecture and to aid in visualization.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta_{\min }(a, b)=\min _{n \in P \cap(a, b]} \tau(n)-1 \\
& \theta_{\max }(a, b)=\max _{n \in P \cap(a, b]} \tau(n)-1
\end{aligned}
$$

## Results

| No. | $a$ | $b$ | $\theta_{\min }$ | $\theta_{\max }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 570079 | 598582 | -0.556 | 0.221 |
| 2 | 451781 | 474370 | -0.248 | 0.263 |
| 3 | 4556251 | 4784063 | -0.712 | -0.067 |
| 4 | 1714419 | 1800139 | -0.527 | 0.064 |
| 5 | 42016613 | 44117443 | -0.549 | 0.137 |
| 6 | 21500440 | 22575462 | -0.099 | 0.533 |
| 7 | 622283210 | 653397370 | -0.044 | 0.623 |
| 8 | 172392354 | 181011971 | 0.199 | 0.855 |
| 9 | 2325178799 | 2441437738 | -0.463 | 0.087 |
| 10 | 7066089014 | 7419393464 | -0.706 | 0.074 |

Table 2: Some dyadic intervals (a,b] where b/a $\sim 1.05$


Figure 2: Minimum Bias $\left(\theta_{\text {min }}\right)$ and Maximum $\left(\theta_{\text {max }}\right)$ for $\mathrm{n} \in\left[10^{5}, 8 \times 10^{9}\right]$

## Conclusions

Negative tendencies (where Min is large and negative, while Max is small) manifest over initial intervals. Simultaneously, positive tendencies (where Max is large and positive, while Min is small) manifest over later intervals. These tendencies show instability in the convergence of the limit, suggesting that the natural density and mean do not exist. Our computa tions fall in accordance with the GRH prediction.
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