

# K-Theory and G-Theory of Algebraic Stacks

## Outline

- The lax-functor approach to stacks and algebraic stacks
- Review: the smooth site,  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -modules, quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -modules, coherent  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -modules and vector bundles
- Waldhausen categories and their K-theory
- Examples: G-theory and K-theory of algebraic stacks (definitions)
- Basic results on G-theory and K-theory of algebraic stacks

## The lax-functor approach to stacks and algebraic stacks

- $(schms/S) \subseteq (alg.spaces/S) \subseteq (spaces/S)$

$$\subseteq (functors : (schms/S)^{op} \rightarrow (sets))$$

- $(schms/S) \subseteq (alg.stacks/S) \subseteq (stacks/S)$

$$\subseteq (lax - functors : (schms/S)^{op} \rightarrow (groupoids))$$

**Example:** The stack of smooth curves of genus  $g$

## Review: the smooth site, $\mathcal{O}_S$ -modules, quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_S$ -modules, etc.

- Definition: the smooth site of a given algebraic stack
- $\mathcal{O}_S$ -modules, quasi-coherent, coherent  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -modules and vector bundles
- Quasi-coherent vs.  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -modules

## Waldhausen categories: review

- Categories with cofibrations
- Categories with cofibrations and weak-equivalences
- Functor of Waldhausen categories
- K-theory of Waldhausen categories
- K-theory of exact categories
- The Gillet-Waldhausen theorem:  $K(\mathcal{E}) \simeq K(C_b(\mathcal{E}))$

## The Waldhausen approximation Theorem

Given  $F : \mathcal{C}' \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  a functor of Waldhausen categories so that

i)  $F(f)$  is weak-equivalence in  $\mathcal{C}$  if and only if  $f$  is a weak-equivalence in  $\mathcal{C}'$  and

ii) any map  $x : F(C') \rightarrow C$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  factors as  $x' \circ F(c')$  where  $c' : C' \rightarrow C''$  in  $\mathcal{C}'$  and  $x' : F(C'') \rightarrow C$  is a weak-equivalence in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Then  $K(F) : K(\mathcal{C}') \simeq K(\mathcal{C})$  is a homotopy equivalence.

## Examples of Waldhausen categories (for algebraic stacks)

- G-theory: the K-theory of the category of coherent sheaves, other interpretations ( $G(\mathcal{S})$ )
- The K-theory of vector bundles ( $K_{naive}(\mathcal{S})$ )
- K-theory: the K-theory of perfect complexes ( $K(\mathcal{S})$ )

## G-theory: basic properties

• *Localization theorem*  $\mathcal{S}' \subseteq \mathcal{S}$  closed with  $\mathcal{S}'' = \mathcal{S} - \mathcal{S}'$ . Then  $G(\mathcal{S}') \rightarrow G(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow G(\mathcal{S}'')$  is a fibration sequence and hence one has the long exact sequence:

$$\cdots \rightarrow \pi_{n+1}G(\mathcal{S}'') \rightarrow \pi_n G(\mathcal{S}') \rightarrow \pi_n G(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \pi_n G(\mathcal{S}'') \rightarrow \cdots$$

- contravariant functoriality for flat maps
- covariant functoriality for proper maps of finite cohomological dimension



## K-theory of perfect complexes

- Contravariant functoriality
- **Theorem** (Poincaré duality)  $K(\mathcal{S}) \simeq G(\mathcal{S})$  when the stack  $\mathcal{S}$  is smooth
- **Theorem** If every coherent sheaf is the quotient of a vector bundle, then  $K(\mathcal{S}) \simeq K_{naive}(\mathcal{S})$ .

## Examples

- Projective space bundle formula
- Chern classes and Higher Chern classes

## Proof of Poincaré duality

- $\mathcal{S}$  smooth implies every finitely presented  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}}$ -module has finite tor dimension.
- suffices to show: every pseudo-coherent complex  $E^\bullet$  with bounded cohomology is perfect

Since this local on  $\mathcal{S}_{smt}$ , the same proof as for schemes (due to Thomason-Trobaugh) works. Here is an outline:

$U \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  in  $\mathcal{S}_{smt}$  with  $U$  affine. Consider  $E|_U^\bullet$ .

There exist  $N$  and  $K$  so that  $E^i = 0$ ,  $i > N$ ,  $\mathcal{H}^n(E|_U^\bullet) = 0$ ,  $n \leq K$ .

$Z^n(E|_U^\bullet) = \ker(d^n) = \text{Im}(d^{n-1}) = B^{n-1}(E|_U^\bullet)$   
and

$E_{|U}^{n-2} \rightarrow E_{|U}^{n-1} \rightarrow Z^n(E_{|U}^\bullet) \rightarrow 0$  is exact,  $n \leq K$ .  
Hence  $Z^n(E_{|U}^\bullet)$  is finitely presented.

Suppose the stalk of  $Z^K(E_{|U}^\bullet)$  at  $u$  has tor dimension  $p$ . Using  $0 \rightarrow Z^{n-1}(E_{|U}^\bullet) \rightarrow E_{|U}^{n-1} \rightarrow Z^n(E_{|U}^\bullet) \rightarrow 0$ ,

$\text{Tor}_i(Z^n(E_{|U}^\bullet), M)_u \cong \text{Tor}_{i-1}(Z^{n-1}(E_{|U}^\bullet), M)_u$  for all  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -modules  $M$ .

Hence  $Z^{K-p}(E_{|U}^\bullet)$  is *flat* and finitely presented over  $\mathcal{O}_{S,u}$ , hence *free*. So  $Z^{K-p}(E_{|U}^\bullet)$  is free over some smaller  $V \rightarrow U$  and

$$0 \rightarrow Z^{K-p}(E_{|U}^\bullet) \rightarrow E_{|U}^{K-p} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow E^N \rightarrow 0$$

is strictly perfect over  $V$ . But this is  $\tau_{\geq K-p-1}(E_{|U}^\bullet) \simeq E_{|U}^\bullet$ . So  $E^\bullet$  is perfect.  $\square$

## Proof of the second theorem

(\*) Given any pseudo-coherent complex  $F^\bullet$  and a map  $p : P^\bullet \rightarrow F^\bullet$ , with  $P^\bullet$  a bounded above complex of vector bundles, there exists a bounded above complex of vector bundles  $Q^\bullet$ , and maps  $p' : P^\bullet \rightarrow Q^\bullet$ ,  $q : Q^\bullet \rightarrow F^\bullet$  so that  $p = q \circ p'$  and  $q$  is a quasi-isomorphism.

- Need to show that if  $F^\bullet$  is perfect and  $P^\bullet$  is a bounded complex of vector bundles, then  $Q^\bullet$  can be chosen to be a bounded complex.

- Can assume  $F^\bullet$  is bounded.

- Let  $Q^\bullet$  be as in (\*). It is perfect and let  $K$  be so that  $\mathcal{H}^n(Q^\bullet) = 0$ ,  $F^n = 0$ ,  $n \leq K$ . We will show  $B^p(Q^\bullet)$  is a vector bundle for some  $p \ll 0$  so that  $\tau_{\geq p}(Q^\bullet)$  is a bounded complex of vector bundles. Clearly  $P^\bullet \rightarrow Q^\bullet \rightarrow \tau_{\geq p}(Q^\bullet) \rightarrow \tau_{\geq p}(F^\bullet) = F^\bullet$ .

- Assume  $B^{K-1}(Q^\bullet)$  has finite tor dimension  $N$ . Then  $0 \rightarrow B^{n-1}(Q^\bullet) \rightarrow Q^n \rightarrow B^n(Q^\bullet) \rightarrow 0$ ,  $n \leq K$ , shows by argument using Tor that  $B^{K-N}(Q^\bullet)$  is flat. Now the proof follows from the argument above.

- Proof that  $B^{K-1}(Q^\bullet)$  is of finite tor dimension:

Consider  $\alpha : \sigma_{\geq K}(Q^\bullet) (= 0 \rightarrow Q^K \rightarrow Q^{K+1} \rightarrow \dots) \rightarrow Q^\bullet$ . Then  $\text{Cone}(\alpha)[-1]$  is perfect since  $Q^\bullet$  and  $\sigma_{\geq K}(Q^\bullet)$  are perfect. But  $\mathcal{H}^i(\text{Cone}(\alpha)[-1]) = 0$  for  $i \neq K$  and  $= \text{Im}(d^{K-1})$  if  $i = K$ . So  $\text{Im}(d^{K-1}) = B^{K-1}(Q^\bullet)$  is of finite tor dimension.  $\square$

## Examples

- On a quotient stack  $[X/G]$ ,  $X$   $G$ -quasi-projective, every coherent sheaf is the quotient of a vector bundle
- Converse (Theorem of Edidin, Hassett, Kresch and Vistoli): If on a Deligne-Mumford stack  $\mathcal{S}$ , every coherent sheaf is the quotient of a vector bundle, then it is a quotient stack.