

# Kunneth decomposition for quotient varieties

## Terminology and notation

- $k$ : a field of arbitrary characteristic
- All schemes projective over  $k$
- A scheme  $Y$  is pseudo-smooth if it is of the form  $X/G$ ,  $X$  smooth,  $G$  a finite group.
- The theory of correspondences extends to pseudo-smooth projective schemes with rational coefficients. (cf. Fulton).  $CH_{\mathbb{Q}}^*(X) = CH^*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ .  $\circ$ : composition of correspondences.
- Any Weil cohomology theory extends to pseudo-smooth schemes.

**Definition: Chow Kunneth decomposition**  
 $d = \dim(X)$ . Then  $X$  has a Chow Kunneth decomposition if there exist  $\pi_i \in CH_{\mathbb{Q}}^d(X \times X)$  so that  $[\Delta_X] = \sum_{i=0}^{2d} \pi_i$ ,  $\pi_i \circ \pi_j = 0$ ,  $i \neq j$  and  $\pi_i \circ \pi_i = \pi_i$ . If  $cl$  denotes the cycle map into any Weil cohomology,  $cl(\pi_i)$  = a Kunneth component of  $cl([\Delta_X])$ .

**Theorem**(Beauville, Denninger-Murre, Shermenev)

Let  $A$  be an abelian variety of dimension  $d$  over a field  $k$ . Then there exists a Chow-Künneth decomposition for  $A$ :

$$\Delta_A = \sum_{i=0}^{2d} \pi_i. \quad \square$$

Our main result:

**Theorem.** Let  $A$  be an abelian variety of dimension  $d$  over a field  $k$  and  $G$  a finite group acting on  $A$  such that  $g(0) \in A(k)$  is a torsion point for each  $g \in G$ . Let  $f : A \rightarrow A/G$  be the quotient map. Suppose  $\Delta_A = \sum_{i=0}^{2d} \pi_i$  is a Chow-Künneth decomposition for  $A$  and let

$$\eta_i = \frac{1}{|G|} (f \times f)_* \pi_i.$$

Then

$$\Delta_{A/G} = \sum_{i=0}^{2d} \eta_i$$

is a Chow-Künneth decomposition for  $A/G$ .  $\square$

## Examples

- Symmetric products of abelian varieties
- Smooth quotients of abelian varieties that are not abelian varieties (in pos. char) due to Igusa, Mehta and Srinivas

## Remark.

The hypothesis  $g(0)$  be a torsion point of  $A$  not always satisfied.

Key property:

$$f^* : CH_{\mathbb{Q}}^*(A/G) \longrightarrow CH_{\mathbb{Q}}^*(A)^G$$

is an isomorphism with inverse  $\frac{1}{|G|} f_*$ .

**Descent Lemma.** Suppose  $X$  is a pseudo-smooth projective variety of dimension  $d$  and  $G$  a finite group of automorphisms of  $X$ . Let  $f : X \longrightarrow Y = X/G$  be the quotient map and suppose

$$\sum_{g,h \in G} (g \times h)^* \Delta_X = \sum_{i=0}^{2d} \rho_i$$

where  $\rho_i \circ \rho_j = 0$  if  $i \neq j$ ,  $\rho_i \circ \rho_j = |G|^2 \rho_i$  if  $i = j$  and the  $\rho_i$  are  $G \times G$ -invariant, i.e. for any  $g, h \in G$ ,  $(g \times h)^* \rho_i = \rho_i$ .

Then

$$\Delta_Y = \sum_{i=0}^{2d} \frac{1}{|G|^3} (f \times f)_* \rho_i$$

is a Chow-Künneth decomposition for  $Y$ .

*Proof*(outline). We have

$$(f \times f)_*(f \times f)^* = |G|^2, \sum_{g,h \in G} (g \times h)^* = (f \times f)^*(f \times f)_* \text{ and } (f \times f)_*\Delta_X = |G|\Delta_Y,$$

and therefore:

$$|G|^2(f \times f)_*\Delta_X = (f \times f)_* \sum_i \rho_i$$

Hence

$$\Delta_Y = \frac{1}{|G|^3} \sum_i (f \times f)_*\rho_i$$

Remains to show that  $(f \times f)_*\rho_i$  are mutually orthogonal. Follows by similar argument.  $\square$



In Denninger-Murre, a crucial step is: for any integer  $n$ ,

$$(\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{1})^* \ell = n \ell$$

where  $\ell$  :the first Chern class of the Poincaré bundle.

The analogous strategy in our context:

**Proposition** There is an infinite subset  $E \subset \mathbf{N}$  such that for all  $n \in E$ ,

$$(\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{1})^*(g \times \mathbf{1})^* \ell = n(g \times \mathbf{1})^* \ell.$$

*Proof.* For each  $g \in G$ ,  $g = \tau_{a_g} \circ g_0$  where  $\tau_{a_g}$  is translation by  $a_g$ ,  $a_g = -g(0)$ ,  $g_0 =$  a homomorphism (of abelian varieties). Let  $m_g =$  the order of  $a_g = -g(0)$ . Next, let  $m = \prod_{g \in G} m_g$ , and

$$E = \{n \in \mathbf{N} : n \equiv 1(\text{mod } m)\}$$

Note: if  $n \in E$ ,  $m_g$  divides  $n - 1$  (for any  $g$ ), so  $na_g = a_g$ .

For  $n \in E$ :

$$(\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{1})^*(g \times \mathbf{1})^*\ell = (\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{1})^*(g_0 \times \mathbf{1})^*(\tau_{a_g} \times \mathbf{1})^*\ell$$

Since  $g_0$  is a homomorphism,  $\mathbf{n} \circ g_0 = g_0 \circ \mathbf{n}$ ; therefore the last expression equals

$$(g_0 \times \mathbf{1})^*(\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{1})^*(\tau_{a_g} \times \mathbf{1})^*\ell$$

Since  $a_g = na_g$ , this equals

$$(g_0 \times \mathbf{1})^*(\tau_{na_g} \times \mathbf{1})^*(\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{1})^*\ell$$

$$= (g_0 \times \mathbf{1})^*(\tau_{a_g} \times \mathbf{1})^*(\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{1})^*\ell$$

Since  $(\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{1})^*\ell = n\ell$ , the last term equals,

$$n(g_0 \times \mathbf{1})^*(\tau_{a_g} \times \mathbf{1})^*\ell = n(g \times \mathbf{1})^*\ell \quad \square$$

The next step in the proof of our main Theorem is to construct the elements  $\rho_i$  appearing in Lemma; for each  $i$ , we simply set

$$\rho_i = \sum_{g,h \in G} (g \times h)^* \pi_i$$

where  $\pi_i$  are the Chow-Künneth components of  $\Delta_A$ .

Clear from the formula that the  $\rho_i$  are  $G \times G$ -invariant and that  $\sum_{i=0}^{2d} \rho_i = \sum_{g,h \in G} (g, h)^* \Delta_A$ ; so it remains to prove that they are mutually orthogonal. In preparation for this, we study the action of  $(1 \times \mathbf{n})^*$  on  $\rho_i$ :

**Proposition** For  $n \in E$ ,  $(1 \times \mathbf{n})^*(g \times h)^* \pi_i = n^{2d-i} \pi_i$ . Hence,  $(1 \times \mathbf{n})^* \rho_i = n^{2d-i} \rho_i$ .

*Proof.* Observe:  $(1 \times \mathbf{n})^*(g \times h)^*\pi_i = (1 \times \mathbf{n})^*(g \times 1)^*(1 \times h)^*\pi_i = (g \times 1)^*(1 \times \mathbf{n})^*(1 \times h)^*\pi_i$ , so it suffices to consider the case  $g = 1$ .

Next review the definition of the  $\pi_i$ : first, consider  $A \times_k A$  as an abelian  $A$ -scheme via projection on the first factor; with respect to this structure, the dual abelian scheme is  $A \times_k \hat{A}$ . Then the Fourier transform

$$F_{CH} : CH_{\mathbf{Q}}^*(A \times_k A) \longrightarrow CH_{\mathbf{Q}}^*(A \times_k \hat{A})$$

is defined by  $F_{CH}(\alpha) = p_{13*}(p_{12}^*\alpha \cdot F)$ , where

$$F = 1 \times \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\ell^i}{i!} \in CH_{\mathbf{Q}}(A \times_k A \times_k \hat{A})$$

$p_{ij}$  represent projections from  $A \times_k A \times_k \hat{A}$  on the  $i$ th and  $j$ th factor. (Note: the sum defining  $F$  is actually finite.)

Dualize this construction, to define

$$\widehat{F}_{CH} : CH_{\mathbf{Q}}^*(A \times_k \widehat{A}) \longrightarrow CH_{\mathbf{Q}}^*(A \times_k A)$$

by  $\widehat{F}_{CH}(\gamma) = q_{13*}(q_{12}^*\gamma \cdot \widehat{F})$ , where

$$\widehat{F} = 1 \times \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{t\ell^i}{i!} \in CH_{\mathbf{Q}}^*(A \times_k \widehat{A} \times_k A)$$

and  $q_{ij}$  represent the various projections from  $A \times_k \widehat{A} \times_k A$ . Switching the last two factors,

$$\widehat{F}_{CH}(\gamma) = p_{12*}(p_{13}^*\gamma \cdot F).$$

Theorem of the square then shows  $\widehat{F}_{CH}(F_{CH}(\alpha)) = (-1)^d \sigma^* \alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in CH^*(A \times_k A)$ , and similarly for the other composition.

Observe that  $[\Delta_A] \in CH^d(A \times_k A)$ , and write  $F_{CH}([\Delta_A]) = \sum_{i=0}^{2d} \beta_i$ , where  $\beta_i \in CH_{\mathbb{Q}}^i(A \times_k \hat{A})$ .

$$\pi_i = (-1)^d \sigma^* \hat{F}_{CH}(\beta_i)$$

Now:

$$(1 \times \mathbf{n})^*(1 \times h)^* \pi_i = (-1)^d \sigma^*(1 \times \mathbf{n})^*(1 \times h)^* \hat{F}_{CH}(\beta_i)$$

From the definition of  $\hat{F}_{CH}$  this identifies with:

$$(-1)^d \sigma^*(1 \times \mathbf{n})^*(1 \times h)^* p_{12*}(p_{13}^* \beta_i \cdot (1 \times \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} \frac{\ell^\mu}{\mu!}))$$

However,  $\deg(\hat{F}_{CH}(\beta_i)) = d$ , all terms except with  $\mu = 2d - i$  vanish. Using base-change one identifies the latter with:

$$(-1)^d \sigma^* p_{12*}(p_{13}^* \beta_i \cdot (1 \times \frac{1}{(2d-i)!} ((\mathbf{n} \times 1)^*(h \times 1)^* \ell^{2d-i})))$$

At this point, our earlier observations imply that for an infinite set of  $n$ s, this identifies with:

$$n^{2d-i}(-1)^d \sigma^* p_{12*} (p_{13}^* \beta_i \cdot (1 \times \frac{1}{(2d-i)!} ((h \times 1)^* \ell^{2d-i})))$$

Reversing the arguments, one identifies this with  $n^{2d-i} (1 \times h)^* \pi_i$ . This concludes the proof of the last proposition.  $\square$

To prove orthogonality of the  $\rho_i$ , we need a version of Liebermann's trick; first the following simple lemma:

**Lemma** For every  $g, h \in G$ ,  $\rho_j \circ (g \times h)^* \Delta_A = \rho_j$ .

**Proposition**(Liebermann's trick) For every  $i, j, i \neq j, \rho_i \circ \rho_j = 0$ .

*Outline of proof.* Suppose  $n \in E$ . By our earlier result,

$$\begin{aligned} n^{2d-j} \rho_j &= (\mathbf{1} \times \mathbf{n})^* \rho_j \\ &= (\mathbf{1} \times \mathbf{n})^* (\rho_j \circ \Delta_A) \end{aligned}$$

By the last Lemma, the last term equals

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{|G|^2} (\mathbf{1} \times \mathbf{n})^* (\rho_j \circ \sum_{g,h} (g \times h)^* \Delta_A) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|^2} (\mathbf{1} \times \mathbf{n})^* (\rho_j \circ \sum_{i=0}^{2d} \rho_i) \end{aligned}$$

One shows this is equal to:  $\frac{1}{|G|^2} \sum_{i=0}^{2d} n^{2d-i} (\rho_j \circ \rho_i)$



Hence

$$n^{2d-j}((\rho_j \circ \rho_j) - |G|^2 \rho_j) + \sum_{i \neq j} n^{2d-i}(\rho_i \circ \rho_j) = 0$$

for all  $n \in E$ . Since  $E$  is infinite, this forces  $\rho_i \circ \rho_j = 0$  for all  $i \neq j$ , and also  $\rho_j \circ \rho_j = |G|^2 \rho_j$ .  
 $\square$

## Remarks

- One may show readily that the cycle map is compatible with Kunneth decomposition.
- The Kunneth components for pseudo-smooth schemes satisfy Poincaré duality, i.e.  $\eta_{2d-i} = {}^t \eta_i$

## Definition: Strong Kunneth decomposition

$X$  any scheme of pure dimension  $d$ .  $X$  possesses a *strong Kunneth decomposition* if there exist elements  $a_{i,j}, b_{i,j} \in CH_{\mathbb{Q}}^i(X)$  such that

$$[\Delta_X] = \sum_i \sum_j a_{i,j} \times b_{d-i,j}$$

□

**Exercise:** Strong Kunneth decomposition implies a Chow Kunneth decomposition

**Proposition** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be pseudo-smooth proper varieties and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  a finite surjective map. If  $X$  has a strong Kunneth decomposition, then  $Y$  also has a strong Kunneth decomposition.

**Corollary** Let  $X$  be a pseudo-smooth quasi-projective variety,  $G$  a finite group of automorphisms of  $X$ . If  $X$  possesses a strong Kunneth decomposition, so does  $Y = X/G$ .

**Example** (Symmetric Products of projective spaces)

Let  $\ell \in CH_{\mathbb{Q}}^1(\mathbf{P}_k^m)$  be the class of a generic hyperplane in  $\mathbf{P}_k^m$ .  $\mathbf{P}_k^m$  has a strong Künneth decomposition:

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{P}_k^m} = \sum_{i=0}^m \ell^i \times \ell^{m-i}$$

Let  $X = (\mathbf{P}_k^m)^n$ . By the Künneth formula:

$$\Delta_X = \sum_{0 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq m} f_{i_1, \dots, i_n}$$

where  $f_{i_1, \dots, i_n} = \ell^{i_1} \times \dots \times \ell^{i_n} \times \ell^{m-i_1} \times \dots \times \ell^{m-i_n} \in CH_{\mathbb{Q}}^{mn}(X \times_k X)$ .

Let  $Y = X/S_n$  and  $q : X \longrightarrow Y$  the quotient map.

Applying  $(q \times q)_*$  to the strong Künneth decomposition for  $\Delta_X$  given above, and noting that  $\deg q = n!$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
(n!) \Delta_Y &= \sum_{0 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq m} (q \times q)_* f_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \\
&= \sum_{0 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_n \leq m} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (q \times q)_* f_{\sigma(i_1), \dots, \sigma(i_n)} \\
&= \sum_{0 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_n \leq m} n! (q \times q)_* f_{i_1, \dots, i_n}
\end{aligned}$$

Now let  $\bar{\ell}^i = q_*(\ell^i)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_Y &= \sum_{0 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_n \leq m} (q \times q)_* f_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \\
&= \sum_{0 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_n \leq m} \bar{\ell}^{i_1} \times \dots \times \bar{\ell}^{i_n} \times \bar{\ell}^{m-i_1} \times \dots \times \bar{\ell}^{m-i_n}
\end{aligned}$$

giving a strong Künneth decomposition for  $Y$ .

**Corollary**  $CH^*(Y, Q, r)$

$$\cong CH^*(Y, Q, 0) \otimes CH^*(\text{Spec } k, Q, r)$$

where  $CH^*(Z, Q, r) = \pi_r(z^*(Z, \cdot) \otimes \mathbb{Q})$  and  $z^*(Z, \cdot)$  denotes the higher cycle complex of the scheme  $Z$ .

*Proof* This follows readily from the above strong Künneth decomposition for the class  $\Delta_Y$  and a Theorem on the higher Chow groups of linear schemes.  $\square$

See:

<http://www.math.ohio-state.edu/~joshua/pub.html>  
or

<http://www.math.ias.edu/~joshua>