

Last week

Thm (Adiprasito-Huh-Katz '15)

M simple manifold of rank r ,
 $0 \leq k \leq \frac{r-1}{2}$, $l \in K(M)$.

$$(PD) \quad A^k(M) \times A^{r-1-k}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$
$$(\eta_1, \eta_2) \longmapsto \deg(\eta_1 \cdot \eta_2)$$

is a perfect pairing

$$(HL) \quad L_l^k : A^k(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow A^{r-1-k}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$$
$$\eta \longmapsto l^{r-1-2k} \cdot \eta$$

is an isomorphism of \mathbb{R} -vector spaces.

$$(HR) \quad Q_l^k : A^k(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \times A^k(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$
$$(\eta_1, \eta_2) \longmapsto (-1)^k \deg(l^{r-1-2k} \cdot \eta_1 \cdot \eta_2)$$

is positive definite on $P_l^k := \ker \underline{d \cdot L_l^k}$.

= mult. by l^{r-2k}

Now, (HL) implies

$$A^k(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = P_{\mathfrak{l}}^k \oplus \mathfrak{l} \cdot A^{k-1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

for $k \leq \frac{r-1}{2}$.

Proof: Multiplication by \mathfrak{l} gives an injection

$$A^{k-1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \xrightarrow{\cdot \mathfrak{l}} A^k(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

$$\text{So } \mathfrak{l} \cdot A^{k-1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \subseteq A^k(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Now, let $\eta \in A^k(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then

$$\mathfrak{l} \cdot L_{\mathfrak{l}}^k(\eta) = \mathfrak{l}^{r-2k} \cdot \eta \in A^{r-1-(k-1)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$$

But $L_{\mathfrak{l}}^{k-1}: A^{k-1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \xrightarrow{\sim} A^{r-1-(k-1)}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is an isomorphism.

So there is a unique $\theta \in A^{k-1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that

$$L_{\mathfrak{l}}^{k-1}(\theta) = \mathfrak{l}^{r-2k} \cdot \eta.$$

i.e. $l^{r-1-2(k-1)} \cdot \theta = l^{r-2k} \cdot \eta$

$$\Rightarrow l^{r-2k}(l \cdot \theta) = l^{r-2k} \cdot \eta$$

$$\Rightarrow l \cdot L_l^k(l \cdot \theta) = l \cdot L_l^k(\eta).$$

Thus, $\zeta := \eta - l \cdot \theta \in \ker(l \cdot L_l^k) = P_l^k$

and

$$\eta = \zeta + l \cdot \theta.$$

So

$$A^k(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = P_l^k \oplus l \cdot A^{k-1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

□

Thus, we have the Lefschetz decomposition

$$A^k(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = P_l^k \oplus l \cdot P_l^{k-1} \oplus l^2 \cdot P_l^{k-2} \oplus \dots \oplus l^k \cdot P_l^0.$$

Last time: This decomposition is orthogonal with respect to Q_l^k .

Let's consider 2 special cases:

$k=0$

Here, $A^0(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathbb{R}$. (HL) gives the isomorphism

$$L_l^0 : A^0(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow A^{r-1}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$$

which is just mult. by l^{r-1} .

Since $A^r(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = 0$,

$$P_l^0(M) = \ker \left(\underbrace{l \cdot L_l^0}_{\text{mult. by } l^r} \right) = A^0(M)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Now, (HR) tells us that

$$Q_\ell^0: \underbrace{A^0(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \times A^0(M)_{\mathbb{R}}}_{= \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$(c_1, c_2) \longmapsto \deg(c_1 c_2 \ell^{r-1}) \\ = c_1 c_2 \deg(\ell^{r-1})$$

is positive-definite on $P_\ell^0 = A^0(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Thus,

$$\deg(\ell^{r-1}) > 0$$

$k=1$

Here, our Lefschetz decomposition is

$$A^1(M)_{\mathbb{R}} = P_\ell^1 \oplus \ell \cdot \underbrace{P_\ell^0}_{= A^0(M)_{\mathbb{R}}} \\ = P_\ell^1 \oplus \mathbb{R} \cdot \ell.$$

(HR) tells us that Q_ℓ^1 is positive-definite on P_ℓ^1 .

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} Q'_l(l, l) &= (-1) \deg(l^{r-3} \cdot l \cdot l) \\ &= -\deg(l^{r-1}) \\ &< 0 \end{aligned}$$

So Q'_l is negative-definite on $\mathbb{R} \cdot l$.

Now, let $\eta \in A'(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $\eta \notin \mathbb{R} \cdot l$.
Then the restriction of Q'_l to

$$\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\eta, l\} \subseteq A'(M)_{\mathbb{R}}$$

will have matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q'_l(\eta, \eta) & Q'_l(\eta, l) \\ Q'_l(l, \eta) & Q'_l(l, l) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\deg(\eta^2 l^{r-3}) & -\deg(\eta l^{r-2}) \\ -\deg(\eta l^{r-2}) & -\deg(l^{r-1}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since the form is indefinite, the determinant must be negative (one positive eigenvalue + one negative eigenvalue).

Thus,

$$\deg(\eta^2 l^{r-3}) \cdot \deg(l^{r-1}) < \deg(\eta l^{r-2})^2$$

Cor: Let $\eta, l \in A'(M)$, with l nef and $\eta \in \mathbb{R} \cdot l$.
Then

$$\deg(\eta^2 l^{r-3}) \cdot \deg(l^{r-1}) \leq \deg(\eta l^{r-2})^2.$$

Proof: We already know this when l is ample.

If l is nef, then for any ample l' and $\epsilon > 0$,

$$l + \epsilon l'$$

will be ample.

Apply the above discussion to $l + \epsilon l'$, then let $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.



Cor: The coefficients μ^k of $\bar{\pi}_M(q)$ are log-concave, i.e.

$$\mu^{k-1} \mu^{k+1} \leq (\mu^k)^2$$

for $1 \leq k \leq r-2$.

Proof: When $k = r-2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^{r-3} \mu^{r-1} &= \deg(\alpha^2 \beta^{r-3}) \deg(\beta^{r-1}) \\ &\leq \deg(\alpha \beta^{r-2})^2 \\ &= (\mu^{r-2})^2 \end{aligned}$$

Since β is nef.

Now, if $k \leq r-2$, the coefficient μ^k is unchanged by truncation.

To complete the proof, apply this argument to

$\text{trunc}(M)$, $\text{trunc}^2(M)$, $\text{trunc}^3(M)$, ...



Cor: The unsigned Whitney numbers of the first kind, $1w_i$, form a log-concave sequence.