## THE INTEGERS

## 1. Axioms of the Integers

The set of integers, denoted $\mathbb{Z}$, has the following properties:

1. (Operations) There are binary operations + (addition) and $\cdot$ (multiplication), which take pairs of elements of $\mathbb{Z}$ to elements of $\mathbb{Z}$,
2. (Commutativity) For all $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
a+b=b+a \quad \text { and } \quad a \cdot b=b \cdot a .
$$

3. (Associativity) For all $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
a+(b+c)=(a+b)+c \quad \text { and } \quad a \cdot(b \cdot c)=(a \cdot b) \cdot c .
$$

4. (Distributive Law) For all $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
a \cdot(b+c)=a \cdot b+a \cdot c .
$$

5. (Identity) There are elements $0,1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
a+0=a \quad \text { and } \quad a \cdot 1=a .
$$

Moreover, $0 \neq 1$.
6. (Additive Inverses) For each $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists $-a \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$
a+(-a)=0 .
$$

We write $b-a$ to mean $b+(-a)$.
7. (Positive Integers) There is a subset $\mathbb{N}$ of $\mathbb{Z}$ which we call the positive integers. We write $a<b$ when $b-a \in \mathbb{N}$.
8. (Positive Closure) For all $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
a+b \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text { and } \quad a \cdot b \in \mathbb{N} \text {. }
$$

9. (Trichotomy) For every $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ exactly one of the the following is true:
(i) $a \in \mathbb{N}$, or
(ii) $a=0$, or
(iii) $-a \in \mathbb{N}$.
10. (Well-Ordering) Every non-empty subset of $\mathbb{N}$ has a smallest element.

These properties are axioms, meaning that we declare them to be true without proof.

## 2. BASIC CONSEQUENCES OF THE AXIOMS

The following lemmas are direct consequences of the axioms.
Lemma 1 (Additive Cancellation Property). For any $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$, if $a+b=a+c$, then $b=c$.
Proof. Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ and suppose $a+b=a+c$. We add the additive inverse $-a$ to both sides of this equation to get

$$
-a+(a+b)=-a+(a+c) .
$$

By the Associativity axiom, we may rewrite this as

$$
(-a+a)+b=(-a+a)+c
$$

By the Commutativity and Additive Inverses axioms, $-a+a=a+(-a)=0$. Thus, we have

$$
0+b=0+c
$$

Finally, $0+b=b$ and $0+c=c$ by the Identity axiom. We conclude that $b=c$.
Lemma 2 (Uniqueness of Additive Inverses). For any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, if $a+b=0$, then $b=-a$.
Proof. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and suppose $a+b=0$. We also know that $a+(-a)=0$ by the Additive Inverses axiom. Thus,

$$
a+b=a+(-a)
$$

Applying the Additive Cancellation Property, we conclude $b=-a$.
Lemma 3. For any $a \in \mathbb{Z}, a \cdot 0=0$.
Proof. Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. By the Identity axiom, $0=0+0$. Multiplying this equation by $a$ and applying the Distributive Law gives

$$
a \cdot 0=a \cdot(0+0)=a \cdot 0+a \cdot 0
$$

We also have $a \cdot 0=a \cdot 0+0$ by the Identity axiom, so

$$
a \cdot 0+a \cdot 0=a \cdot 0+0
$$

Now, by the Additive Cancellation Property, we get $a \cdot 0=0$.
Lemma 4. For any $a \in \mathbb{Z},-(-a)=a$.
Proof. Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. By the Additive Inverses axiom, $(-a)+a=0$. By Lemma 2, we conclude that $a=-(-a)$.
Lemma 5. For any $a \in \mathbb{Z},-a=(-1) \cdot a$.
Proof. Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. By the Identity axiom, we have $a=1 \cdot a$. Therefore,

$$
a+(-1) \cdot a=1 \cdot a+(-1) \cdot a .
$$

By the Distributive Law,

$$
1 \cdot a+(-1) \cdot a=(1+(-1)) \cdot a=0 \cdot a .
$$

Since $0 \cdot a=0$ by Lemma 3, we may combine these equalities to obtain $a+(-1) \cdot a=0$. By Lemma 2, we get $(-1) \cdot a=-a$.

Lemma 6. The multiplicative identity 1 is an element of $\mathbb{N}$.

Proof. By the Trichotomy axiom, exactly one of the following possibilities holds: $1 \in \mathbb{N}, 1=0$, or $-1 \in \mathbb{N}$. We cannot have $1=0$, because this would violate the Identity axiom. Thus, it suffices to show that $-1 \notin \mathbb{N}$.

Assume, by way of contradiction, that $-1 \in \mathbb{N}$. Then by the Positive Closure axiom, we have $(-1) \cdot(-1) \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, by Lemma $5,(-1) \cdot(-1)=-(-1)$. By Lemma $4,-(-1)=1$. Thus, we see that the assumption that $-1 \in \mathbb{N}$ implies that $1 \in \mathbb{N}$ as well, which violates the Trichotomy axiom; we cannot have both $1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $-1 \in \mathbb{N}$. This contradiction shows that we cannot have $-1 \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 7. For any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, if $a \cdot b=0$, then $a=0$ or $b=0$.
Proof. We shall prove the contrapositive: if $a \neq 0$ and $b \neq 0$, then $a \cdot b \neq 0$.
Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and suppose $a \neq 0$ and $b \neq 0$. By the Trichotomy axiom, we are reduced to two possibilities each for $a$ and $b$ : Either $a \in \mathbb{N}$ or $-a \in \mathbb{N}$, and similarly either $b \in \mathbb{N}$ or $-b \in \mathbb{N}$. We shall consider all four possibilities, and show that $a \cdot b \neq 0$ in all cases.

First, suppose that $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$. Then by the Positive Closure axiom, $a \cdot b \in \mathbb{N}$ as well. By the Trichotomy axiom, it must be that $a \cdot b \neq 0$.

Next, suppose $a \in \mathbb{N}$ and $-b \in \mathbb{N}$. By the Positive Closure axiom, $a \cdot(-b) \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, using the Commutativity axiom, the Associativity axiom, and Lemma 5, we have

$$
a \cdot(-b)=a \cdot((-1) \cdot b)=(a \cdot(-1)) \cdot b=((-1) \cdot a) \cdot b=(-1) \cdot(a \cdot b)=-(a \cdot b)
$$

Thus, the Trichotomy axiom implies that $a \cdot b \neq 0$.
The third case, where $-a \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b \in \mathbb{N}$ is identical to the second case, with the roles of $a$ and $b$ interchanged.

Finally, suppose $-a,-b \in \mathbb{N}$. Applying the Positive Closure axiom, we get $(-a) \cdot(-b) \in \mathbb{N}$. However,

$$
(-a) \cdot(-b)=((-1) \cdot a) \cdot(-b)=(-1) \cdot(a \cdot(-b))=-(a \cdot(-b))
$$

where we have used Lemma 5 in the last step. As shown above, $a \cdot(-b)=-(a \cdot b)$, hence

$$
(-a) \cdot(-b)=-(a \cdot(-b))=-(-(a \cdot b))=a \cdot b
$$

by Lemma 4 . Therefore, $a \cdot b \in \mathbb{N}$ and so $a \cdot b \neq 0$ by the Trichotomy axiom.

Theorem 8 (Multiplicative Cancellation Property). For any $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $a \neq 0$, if $a \cdot b=a \cdot c$, then $b=c$.

Proof. Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $a \neq 0$. Suppose that $a \cdot b=a \cdot c$. Then $a \cdot b-a \cdot c=0$. By the Distributive Law, we may rewrite this as

$$
a \cdot(b-c)=0
$$

By Lemma 7, we have $a=0$ or $b-c=0$. But $a \neq 0$, so it must be true that $b-c=0$. Therefore, $b=c$.

## 3. Order Properties of the Integers

Recall that, for integers $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, we defined $a<b$ to mean $b-a \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, $0<b$ is equivalent to $b \in \mathbb{N}$. We shall write $a \leq b$ to mean $a=b$ or $a<b$.

Here we establish some basic properties of inequalities.
Lemma 9. For any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, exactly one of the following is true:
(i) $a<b$, or
(ii) $a=b$, or
(iii) $b<a$.

Proof. By the Trichotomy axiom, we know exactly one of the following statements is true:
(i) $b-a \in \mathbb{N}$, or
(ii) $b-a=0$, or
(iii) $-(b-a) \in \mathbb{N}$.

By definition, (i) is equivalent to $a<b$. Also, (ii) is equivalent to $a=b$. Lastly, using Lemma 4, Lemma 5 and the Distributive Law, we have

$$
-(b-a)=(-1) \cdot(b-a)=(-1) \cdot b-(-1) \cdot a=a-b .
$$

Thus, (iii) is equivalent to $b<a$, as desired.
Lemma 10. Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$.

1. If $a<b$, then $a+c<b+c$.
2. If $a<b$ and $0<c$, then $a \cdot c<b \cdot c$.

Proof. First, suppose $a<b$. Then $b-a \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, $(b+c)-(a+c)=b-a$ is an element of $\mathbb{N}$. By the definition of inequality, we have $a+c<b+c$.

To prove the second statement, suppose $a<b$ and $0<c$. Then $b-a$ and $c$ are both elements of $\mathbb{N}$. By the Positive Closure axiom, $(b-a) c \in \mathbb{N}$. By the Distributive Law, $b c-a c \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, by the definition of inequality, we have $a c<b c$.

Theorem 11. The integer 1 is the smallest element of $\mathbb{N}$.
Proof. By the Well-Ordering axiom, $\mathbb{N}$ does in fact have a smallest element. Let $a \in \mathbb{N}$ be this smallest element, so that $a \leq n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, $a \leq 1$, so we must have $a<1$ or $a=1$. If $a=1$, then we are done.

Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that $a<1$. Because $a=a-0 \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $0<a$. Thus, by Lemma 10 , we may multiply the inequality $a<1$ by the positive integer $a$ to get

$$
a \cdot a<1 \cdot a .
$$

Therefore, $a \cdot a<a$ by the Identity axiom. Since $a \in \mathbb{N}$, we also have $a \cdot a \in \mathbb{N}$ by the Positive Closure axiom. That is, $a \cdot a$ is an element of $\mathbb{N}$ which is smaller than $a$. This contradicts the fact that $a$ is the smallest element of $\mathbb{N}$, and so $a<1$ cannot be true.

## 4. The Principle of Mathematical Induction

The Principle of Mathematical Induction says the following: Let $S$ be a subset of $\mathbb{N}$. If both statements

1. (Base Case) $1 \in S$, and
2. (Inductive Step) For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, if $n \in S$ then $n+1 \in S$
are true, then $S=\mathbb{N}$. In propositional logic, the Principle of Mathematical Induction is the conditional sentence

$$
(1 \in S) \wedge[(\forall n \in \mathbb{N})((n \in S) \Rightarrow(n+1 \in S))] \Longrightarrow(S=\mathbb{N})
$$

In class, we let $S$ be the set of natural numbers for which some sentence $P(n)$ is true. The Principle of Mathematical Induction then provides a method for showing that $P(n)$ is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Note that we did not prove the Principle of Mathematical Induction. Rather, we appealed to our intuition to explain why it is reasonable to believe. Here, we show that the Principle of Mathematical Induction follows from the axioms of the integers.

Theorem 12. The Principle of Mathematical Induction is true.
Proof. Let $S$ be a subset of $\mathbb{N}$, and suppose both hypotheses of the Principle of Mathematical Induction (the Base Case and the Inductive Step) are true. We must show that $S=\mathbb{N}$.

Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that $S \neq \mathbb{N}$, and let $T$ be the set of all positive integers which are not in $S$. Because $S \neq \mathbb{N}$, we know that $T$ is non-empty. By the Well-Ordering axiom, there is a smallest element $n_{0} \in T$. That is, $n_{0}$ is the smallest positive integer which is not in $S$.

Observe that $n_{0} \neq 1$, because $1 \in A$ by the Base Case. Therefore, $n_{0}>1$ by Theorem 11. Thus, $n_{0}-1 \in \mathbb{N}$ by our definition of inequalities. Moreover, $n_{0}-1<n_{0}$, so because $n_{0}$ is the smallest positive number not in $S$, we must have $n_{0}-1 \in S$.

But now, since $n_{0}-1 \in S$, the Inductive Step implies that $\left(n_{0}-1\right)+1=n_{0} \in S$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, our assumption that $S \neq \mathbb{N}$ must be false.

Remark. Essentially, the Principle of Mathematical Induction says that $\mathbb{N}$ (which a priori is only defined by axioms $7-10$ ) is the familiar set of natural numbers. We know by Theorem 11 that 1 is the smallest element of $\mathbb{N}$. Then $2=1+1 \in \mathbb{N}$ by the Positive Closure axiom, and $3=2+1 \in \mathbb{N}$ by Positive Closure again, and so on. The Principle of Mathematical Induction says that we will generate all of $\mathbb{N}$ in this way, i.e.,

$$
\mathbb{N}=\{1,2,3, \ldots\}
$$

Remark. It turns out that the Principle of Mathematical Induction is equivalent to the WellOrdering Principle, in that the Principle of Mathematical Induction can replace Well-Ordering as the 10th axiom of the integers. To see this, one needs to prove that the Well-Ordering Principle is true if we assume the Principle of Mathematical Induction and the other axioms of the integers. This is not especially difficult, but we will not do it here.

