Warm-Up: Prove that 1+3+5+...+(2n-1) = n<sup>2</sup>
for each n EN, without using induction

Suppose not. Then there is a smallest a EN such that 1+3+5+...+(2a-1) ≠ a². (why?)

What can you say about a?

Thm: Let nEN. If n>1, then there is a prime p such that pln.

Proof: Suppose, to get a contradiction, that the theorem is filse.

That is, there is a natural number greater than I which is not divisible by any prime.

By the Well-Ordering Principle, those is a smallest such number. (Uty?)
Call it a.

So · a ? I · no prime divides a · If I < d < a, then n is divisible by some prime.

Now, a is prime or composite.

· If a is prime, then ala, so a is divisible by a prime, which is a contradiction.

· If a is composite, then it has a positive divisor d with  $d \neq 1$  and  $d \neq a$ . Since dla,  $d \neq a$ . But  $d \neq a$ , so  $d \leq a$ . Also,  $d \neq 1$ , so  $d \geq 1$ .

Thus, d must have a prime divisor p.

Since pld and dla, he have pla. (HWII)

This is a contradiction.

Thus, the theorem holds for all natural numbers n ≥ 2.

## The infinitude of primes

Thm: There are infinitely many prime numbers.

Proof: Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that there are only finitely many primes, say

P1, P2, ..., Pn.

Let m = p.pz...pn be the product of all of these primes.

Now, by the previous theorem, there is a prime 2 such that 21 (m+1).

Since q must be one of the primes  $p_1, ..., p_n$  (because these are the only primes), so  $q_1m$ . Thus, q divides (m+1) - m = 1.

But this is a contradiction, since  $q \ge 2$ .