Axioms for R

The handont contains a list of axioms for the real numbers.

Observations: Most of these were also axioms for 2

· There is no Well-Ordening axiom

· There are 2 new axioms.

Multiplicative Inverses: For each $a \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a \neq 0$, there exists $a'' \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a \cdot a'' = 1$.

Write $\frac{b}{a}$ to mean $b \cdot a''$.

(1) Least Upper Bound Property: Every non-empty subset of R which has an upper bound has a least upper bound in R.

· these new axioms will give R new properties that we did not have in Z.

Division and Rational Numbers

Lemma: For all a, b & R with a ≠0 and b≠0,

(a) If
$$a \cdot b = 1$$
, then $b = a^{-1}$. [Uniqueness of Mult. Inverses]

(b)
$$(a^{-1})^{-1} = a$$
.

(c)
$$(a \cdot b)^{-1} = a^{-1} \cdot b^{-1}$$

$$(d) (-a)^{-1} = -a^{-1}$$

In function Notation:
$$a \cdot b = 1 \Rightarrow b = \frac{1}{a}$$

$$\frac{1}{(-a)} = -\frac{1}{a}$$

$$\frac{1}{ab} = \frac{1}{a} \cdot \frac{1}{b}$$

$$a > 0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{a} > 0$$

Proof: See handout.

Thm: Every integer is a real number.

Proof: The integers consist of positive numbers (N), O, and negative numbers (-n for n & N).

OER by Identity axiom.

To show each neW is in IR, we use induction.

Buse Case: IER by Identity axiom.

Industrie Step: Let nEIN and suppose nEIR. Then, since IEIR, we have n+1 EIR.

Lastly, Since each nEN is in R, -n will also be in R by the Additive Inverses axiom.

Def: A real number $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is a rational number if there exist integers $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $b \neq 0$ and $x = a \cdot b^{-1}$.

Write $x = \frac{a}{b}$, and say $\frac{a}{b}$ is a fraction representing x.

The set of all national numbers is Q.

Ex: $\frac{2}{3}$ and $\frac{8}{12}$ and $\frac{10}{15}$ are all different functions representing the same rational number.

Rule: $\frac{a}{b} = \frac{c}{d}$ (=) $a \cdot b^{-1} = c \cdot d^{-1}$ (=) ad = bc"cross-multiply"

Lemma: For all x, y & Q,

- a) x+y & Q
- b) x-J & Q
- c) x·y eQ
- d) if y≠0, Hen x·y¹ ∈Q.

Proof: (a) Since x and y are rational, there exist integers $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $b \neq 0$, $d \neq 0$, and

$$x = \frac{a}{b}$$
, $y = \frac{x}{b}$.

$$x+y=\frac{a}{b}+\frac{c}{d}=a\cdot b^{-1}+c\cdot d^{-1}$$

 $(bd)\cdot(x+y)=(bd)(ab^{-1}+cd^{-1})$

$$x + y = (ad + bc) \cdot (bd)^{-1}$$
$$= \frac{ad + bc}{bd}.$$

Now

Lemma: Let $x \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then there is $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$x = \frac{u}{w}$$

Proof: Since x is restional, there exist a, b = \mathbb{Z} such that $x = \frac{a}{b}$.

- · If 6>0, take m=a and n=6.
- If b < 0, take m = -a and n = -b, since $X = \frac{a}{b} = \frac{-a}{-b} .$

Def: A fraction & is in lovest terms if for every delV, if dla and dlb, Hen d=1.

That is, I is the only positive divisor a and b have in common.

Ex: $\frac{2}{3}$ is in lovest terms. $\frac{8}{12}$ is not, because 418 and 4112.

Def: Let $x \in \mathbb{Q}$. A possible positive denominator for x is a positive integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that there exists $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $x = \frac{m}{n}$.

Ex: $\frac{2}{3} = \frac{4}{6} = \frac{8}{12} = \frac{20}{30} = \cdots$

Sor this rational number.

Thm: Let $x \in \mathbb{Q}$. There exist $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x = \frac{m}{n}$ and $\frac{m}{n}$ is in lovest terms.

Proof: Let S be the set of possible positive denominators for x.

By the lemm, x has a possible positive denominator, so S is a non-empty subset of IN.

By the Well-Ordering Principle, S has a smallest element. Call it n.

So $x = \frac{m}{n}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Claim: m is in lovest terms.

To prove this, assume it is not. Then there exists $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d \mid m$ and $d \mid n$, and $d \neq 1$. So there exist k, $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

m=dk and n=dl

Thus,

 $x = \frac{m}{n} = \frac{dL}{dL} = \frac{L}{L}.$

Now, · len [because n, deN]
· len [because d>1]

Thus, I is a possible positive denominator for x which is smaller than n, a contradiction.