

Warm-Up: Given that

$$10,192 = 2^4 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 13$$

and

$$271,656 = 2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7^3 \cdot 11$$

compute  $\gcd(10,192, 271,656)$   
and

$$\text{lcm}(10,192, 271,656).$$

Write FTA  
on side board

---

In general, let  $p_1, \dots, p_k$  be the complete list of primes which divide a or divide b.

We can write the prime factorizations as

$$a = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \dots p_k^{e_k}$$

and

$$b = p_1^{f_1} p_2^{f_2} \dots p_k^{f_k},$$

where  $e_i \geq 0$  and  $f_i \geq 0$  for all  $i$ .

Then

$$\gcd(a, b) = p_1^{\min(e_1, f_1)} p_2^{\min(e_2, f_2)} \cdots p_k^{\min(e_k, f_k)}.$$

Also,

$$\text{lcm}(a, b) = p_1^{\max(e_1, f_1)} p_2^{\max(e_2, f_2)} \cdots p_k^{\max(e_k, f_k)}.$$

Why? This is the smallest positive integer divisible by both  $a$  and  $b$ .

Thm: Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$\gcd(a, b) \cdot \text{lcm}(a, b) = ab.$$

Equivalently,  $\text{lcm}(a, b) = \frac{ab}{\gcd(a, b)}$  and  $\gcd(a, b) = \frac{ab}{\text{lcm}(a, b)}$ .

Proof: Write

$$a = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_k^{e_k} \quad \text{and} \quad b = p_1^{f_1} p_2^{f_2} \cdots p_k^{f_k}$$

as above.

Since  $\min(e_i, f_i) + \max(e_i, f_i) = e_i + f_i$ ,  
we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gcd(a, b) \cdot \text{lcm}(a, b) &= p_1^{e_1+f_1} p_2^{e_2+f_2} \cdots p_k^{e_k+f_k} \\ &= ab. \end{aligned}$$

■

Thm: Let  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

① If  $\gcd(b, c) = 1$ , then

$$\gcd(a, bc) = \gcd(a, b) \cdot \gcd(a, c).$$

② If  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$  and  $\gcd(a, c) = 1$ ,  
then  $\gcd(a, bc) = 1$ .

③ Let  $d = \gcd(a, b)$ . Then  $\gcd\left(\frac{a}{d}, \frac{b}{d}\right) = 1$ .

Proof: ① Let  $b = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_r^{e_r}$  and  $c = q_1^{f_1} q_2^{f_2} \cdots q_s^{f_s}$  be the unique prime factorizations of  $b$  and  $c$ , where  $p_1, \dots, p_r$  are the distinct prime divisors of  $b$  and  $q_1, \dots, q_s$  are the distinct prime divisors of  $c$ , and the exponents  $e_i$  and  $f_j$  are positive integers.

Since  $\gcd(b, c) = 1$ ,  $p_i \neq q_j$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ .

$$\text{So } bc = \underbrace{p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_r^{e_r}}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{No primes in common}}} \cdot \underbrace{q_1^{f_1} q_2^{f_2} \cdots q_s^{f_s}}_{\uparrow}$$

Now, the unique prime factorization of  $a$  will look like

$$a = p_1^{x_1} p_2^{x_2} \cdots p_r^{x_r} \cdot q_1^{y_1} q_2^{y_2} \cdots q_s^{y_s} \cdot (\text{other primes}),$$

where the exponents  $x_i, y_j$  are non-negative (some might be 0).

$$\text{Thus, } \gcd(a, b) = p_1^{\min(e_1, x_1)} p_2^{\min(e_2, x_2)} \cdots p_r^{\min(e_r, x_r)},$$

$$\gcd(a, c) = q_1^{\min(f_1, y_1)} q_2^{\min(f_2, y_2)} \cdots q_s^{\min(f_s, y_s)},$$

and

$$\gcd(a, bc) = \gcd(a, b) \cdot \gcd(a, c).$$

② + ③ HW 17.

# Proof of FTA part 1

Let  $S$  be the set of all counterexamples to FTA 1.

That is, for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$n \in S \iff n \geq 2 \text{ and } n \text{ is not equal to a product of primes.}$$

We want to argue that FTA 1 is true, meaning  $S$  is empty.

Suppose, to get a contradiction, that  $S$  is not empty. Then, by the Well-Ordering Axiom, there is a smallest element in  $S$ .

Call it  $a$ .

Since  $a \geq 2$ , we know there is some prime  $p$  such that  $p | a$ .

Thus,  $a = pk$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Since  $a$  and  $p$  are both positive, so is  $k$ . So  $k \geq 1$ .

If  $k=1$ , then  $a=p$  is prime.  
But then  $a \notin S$ , a contradiction.

If  $k > 1$ , then  $k \geq 2$  (since  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ )  
but  $k < pk = a$  (since  $p \geq 2$ ).

So  $k$  is smaller than  $a$ , the smallest element in  $S$ . Thus,  $k \notin S$ , meaning  $k$  is a product of primes.

But then  $a = pk$  is a product of primes. So  $a \notin S$ , a contradiction. 