Warm-Up: What is the difference between  
(a) 
$$(\exists x \in \mathbb{R}) (\cos x = 0 \text{ and } \tan x = 0)$$
  
and  
(b)  $(\exists x \in \mathbb{R}) (\cos x = 0)$  and  $(\exists x \in \mathbb{R}) (\tan x = 0)$ ?

Ex: Let 
$$P(x)$$
 be a sentence depending  
on  $x \in A$ . Then  
 $(\forall x \in A) P(x) \implies (\exists x \in A) P(x)$   
is a trutology.

Then

a) 
$$P \wedge [(\exists x \in A) Q(x)] \equiv (\exists x \in A) [P \wedge Q(x)]$$
  
b)  $P \vee [(\forall x \in A) Q(x)] \equiv (\forall x \in A) [P \vee Q(x)].$ 

Proof: Omitted (see book).

Order of Quantifiers  
Suppose 
$$P(x, y)$$
 is a sentence involving 2 variables.  
What is the difference between  
(a)  $(\forall x)[(\exists y) P(x, y)]$   
and  
(b)  $(\exists y)[(\forall x) P(x, y)]$ ?  
Primphit

$$E_{\mathbf{X}}: \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = " \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{I}"$$

$$(a) \quad is \quad "for any \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}, fluere exists \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R} \text{ such that}$$

$$\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{I}" \quad \mathbf{True!}$$

$$P_{roof}: Let \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}. \text{ Set } \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{x}. \text{ Then}$$

$$\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R} \text{ and } \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{I}. \quad \mathbf{e}$$

(b) is "there is 
$$y \in \mathbb{R}$$
 such that for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have  
 $x + y = 1$ " False!

How to prove? Let's show 7(b) is true.

By DeMorgan,  

$$\neg (\exists y) (\forall x) P(x, y) \equiv (\forall y) \neg [(\forall x) P(x, y)]$$
  
 $\equiv (\forall y) [(\exists x) \neg P(x, y)]$ 

Proof: Let  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ . We must show there is  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x+y \neq 1$ . Take x = -y. Then  $x+y = (-y)+y=0 \neq 1$ .



Thm: Let 
$$P(x,y)$$
 be a sentence depending on  $x \in A$  and  
 $y \in B$ . Then  
 $(\exists y \in B) (\forall x \in A) P(x,y) \implies (\forall x \in A) (\exists y \in B) P(x,y).$